Lectures on Conformal Field Theories in more than two dimensions

Hugh Osborn

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, England

Abstract

email:ho@damtp.cam.ac.uk

Contents

[7 Three Point Functions](#page-53-0) 51

1 Introduction

"It is difficult to overstate the importance of conformal field theories (CFTs)" [\[1\]](#page-58-0). That such a sentence might be written at the start of paper in 2014 is indicative of the genuine progress in our understanding of CFTs in more than two dimensions over the last few years and the resurgence of the conformal bootstrap as surprisingly accurate calculational tool. There are now insightful introductions to this rapidly developing field [\[2\]](#page-58-1), [\[3\]](#page-58-2), [\[4\]](#page-58-3).

Two dimensional CFTs have been explored in great detail from the 1980's and partially classified, motivated by applications in string theory and statistical physics. This is described and reviewed in the compendious book [\[5\]](#page-58-4). However many of the techniques used in understanding two dimensional CFTs do not extend to higher dimensions. In two dimensions there is the infinite dimensional Virasoro algebra whereas in higher dimensions the conformal group is finite dimensional. The subject of CFTs in four, and later three, dimensions was revitalised in 2008 [\[6\]](#page-58-5) when it was shown that the bootstrap equations, which follow just from crossing and unitarity, are tractable numerically and lead to significant constraints on the spectrum of operators and their dimensions and spins.

Conformal transformations may be defined as preserving angles and are more general than translations and rotations, or Lorentz transformations, which preserve lengths, or their relativistic equivalent space-time interval. They were considered in the 19th century, for a history see [\[7\]](#page-58-6), but were first applied in a fundamental physics context by Bateman [\[8\]](#page-59-0) who showed how the four dimensional scalar wave equation was invariant under conformal transformations and then Bateman [\[9\]](#page-59-1) and Cunningham [\[10\]](#page-59-2) extended this to Maxwell's equations for electromagnetic field.^{[1](#page-3-1)} However conformal transformations do not play a significant role in classical electrodynamics, they do not survive as a symmetry when coupled to matter and there are issues with causality.

In the modern context CFTs are relevant for quantum field theories at RG fixed points. For Lorentz invariant quantum field theories in d -dimensions the trace of the energy momentum tensor is a linear combinations of scalar operators of dimension d, with coefficients the RG β-functions, and also if present contributions involving lower dimension operators with derivatives and mass terms. At RG fixed points the β -functions vanish and if this leads to zero trace for the energy momentum tensor the fixed point defines a CFT. In these lectures we show how CFTs can be analysed using the basic requirements of conformal symmetry in association with the locality and unitarity conditions satisfied by quantum field theories to significantly constrain the spectrum of operators and their scale dimensions as well as other quantities which define a CFT. Although perhaps pedestrian these lectures are not intended just for pedestrians and cover in part different material from [\[2,](#page-58-1) [3,](#page-58-2) [4\]](#page-58-3).

¹Ebenezer Cunningham and Harry Bateman were both senior wranglers in the Cambridge mathematical tripos, Cunningham (St. Johns) in 1902 and Bateman (Trinity) in 1903, although he tied.

2 Conformal Transformations

The basic definition of a conformal transformations is a transformation of coordinates $x^{\mu} \rightarrow$ $x^{\prime \mu}(x)$ such that infinitesimal line elements are invariant up to a local scale factor

$$
dx'^2 = \Omega(x)^2 dx^2
$$
, $dx^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$, (2.1)

with $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag.}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ the Minkowski flat space metric or $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ the usual Euclidean metric. For $\Omega = 1$ these reduce to translations and rotations with also Lorentz transformations in the Minkowski case. For the more general conformal transformations we consider infinitesimal transformations such that

$$
x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + v^{\mu}(x), \qquad \Omega(x) = 1 + \sigma(x). \tag{2.2}
$$

To first order in v, σ [\(2.1\)](#page-4-1) requires

$$
\partial_{\mu}v_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}v_{\mu} = 2\,\sigma\,\eta_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2.3}
$$

An immediate consequence is that v determines σ through

$$
\partial_{\mu}v^{\mu} = d\,\sigma \,, \qquad d = \eta_{\mu}{}^{\mu} \,. \tag{2.4}
$$

To find solutions of [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2) we consider

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\rho} (\partial_{\mu} v_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} v_{\mu}) + \partial_{\nu} (\partial_{\mu} v_{\rho} + \partial_{\rho} v_{\mu}) - \partial_{\mu} (\partial_{\rho} v_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} v_{\rho}) \right) \n= \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} v_{\mu} = \partial_{\rho} \sigma \eta_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} \sigma \eta_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\mu} \sigma \eta_{\rho\nu} .
$$
\n(2.5)

Acting with ∂^{μ} gives

$$
(d-2)\,\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\nu}\sigma = -\eta_{\rho\nu}\,\partial^2\sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad (d-1)\,\partial^2\sigma = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (d-1)(d-2)\,\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\nu}\sigma = 0. \tag{2.6}
$$

Clearly, except in one dimension which is trivial, $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$ and then, so long as $d \neq 2$, $\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\nu}\sigma=0$ and hence σ is linear in x,

$$
\sigma = \kappa - 2 b_{\mu} x^{\mu} \,. \tag{2.7}
$$

From [\(2.5\)](#page-4-3)

$$
\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\nu}v_{\mu} = -2b_{\rho}\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2b_{\mu}\eta_{\rho\nu} - 2b_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\rho}, \qquad (2.8)
$$

which can be integrated, consistent with (2.4) , to give

$$
v_{\mu}(x) = \underbrace{a_{\mu}}_{\text{translations}} - \underbrace{\omega_{\mu\nu}x^{\nu}}_{\text{rotations}} + \underbrace{\kappa x_{\mu}}_{\text{scale}} + \underbrace{b_{\mu}x^{2} - 2 x_{\mu}b_{\nu}x^{\nu}}_{\text{special conformal}}, \qquad \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}. \tag{2.9}
$$

The total number of parameters defining conformal transformations, so long as $d \neq 2$, is therefore $\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$. The solutions of (2.3) are conformal Killing vectors.

Two dimensions are different. In the Euclidean case with complex coordinates $z, \bar{z}, dx^2 =$ dzd \bar{z} , so that $\eta_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, then $z \to z' = f(z), \bar{z} \to \bar{z}' = \bar{f}(\bar{z})$ for any f is a conformal transformation since $\tilde{dx}'^2 = f'(z)\bar{f}'(\bar{z}) dx^2$. Infinitesimally $v^z = v(z)$, $v^{\bar{z}} = \bar{v}(\bar{z})$ and then (2.3) gives $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(v'(z) + \bar{v}'(\bar{z}))$ which is a general solution of $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$ in two dimensions. A basis of two dimensional conformal Killing vectors is given by $v_n(z) = z^{n+2}$, $\bar{v}_n(\bar{z}) =$ \bar{z}^{n+2} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. which is clearly infinite dimensional.

The generators of infinitesimal conformal transformations satisfy

$$
\left[v^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}v^{\prime\nu}\partial_{\nu}\right] = [v,v']^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}, \qquad [v,v']^{\mu} = v^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}v^{\prime\mu} - v^{\prime\nu}\partial_{\nu}v^{\mu}. \tag{2.10}
$$

It is convenient to define

$$
\partial_{\mu}v_{\nu} = \sigma_v \eta_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\omega}_{v\,\mu\nu} \,, \qquad \hat{\omega}_{v\,\mu\nu} = -\hat{\omega}_{v\,\nu\mu} \,. \tag{2.11}
$$

so that with [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5) $\hat{\omega}_{v \mu\nu} = \omega_{\mu\nu} - 2(b_{\mu}x_{\nu} - b_{\nu}x_{\mu})$. As a consequence of [\(2.5\)](#page-4-3)

$$
\partial_{\rho} \hat{\omega}_{v \mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \sigma_v \eta_{\rho\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \sigma_v \eta_{\rho\mu} \,. \tag{2.12}
$$

From (2.10) also

$$
v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \sigma_{v'} - v'^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \sigma_{v} = \sigma_{[v,v']} ,
$$

\n
$$
v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\omega}_{v' \mu \nu} - v'^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\omega}_{v \mu \nu} + \hat{\omega}_{v \mu}^{\alpha} \hat{\omega}_{v' \alpha \nu} - \hat{\omega}_{v \nu}^{\alpha} \hat{\omega}_{v' \alpha \mu} = \hat{\omega}_{[v,v']} \mu \nu .
$$
\n(2.13)

For an infinitesimal interval dx then

$$
\delta_v \mathrm{d}x^\mu = \mathrm{d}v^\mu(x) = \sigma_v(x) \,\mathrm{d}x^\mu - \hat{\omega}_v{}^\mu{}_\nu(x) \,\mathrm{d}x^\nu \,,\tag{2.14}
$$

and for two points x, y

$$
\delta_v(x - y)^2 = (v^{\mu}(x)\partial_{x\mu} + v^{\mu}(y)\partial_{y\mu})(x - y)^2
$$

= $2(v_{\mu}(x) - v_{\mu}(y))(x - y)^{\mu} = (\partial_{\nu}v_{\mu}(x) + \partial_{\nu}v_{\mu}(y))(x - y)^{\nu}(x - y)^{\mu}$
= $(\sigma_v(x) + \sigma_v(y))(x - y)^2$. (2.15)

Finite conformal transformations can be obtained by integrating

$$
\frac{d}{dt} x_t^{\mu} = v^{\mu}(x_t), \qquad x_0^{\mu} = x^{\mu}.
$$
 (2.16)

Writing

$$
dx_t^{\mu} = \Omega_t(x) R_t^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x) dx^{\nu}, \qquad \det[R_t^{\mu}{}_{\nu}] = 1, \qquad (2.17)
$$

then from (2.14) and (2.16)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Omega_t(x) = \sigma_v(x_t) \Omega_t(x), \qquad \Omega_0(x) = 1,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_t^{\mu}(\omega) = -\hat{\omega}_v^{\mu}(\omega) \Omega_t^{\mu}(\omega), \qquad R_0^{\mu}(\omega) = \delta^{\mu}(\omega).
$$
\n(2.18)

The solutions satisfy the group property

$$
\Omega_{t'+t}(x) = \Omega_{t'}(x_t) \, \Omega_t(x) \,, \qquad R_{t'+t}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x) = R_{t'}{}^{\mu}{}_{\omega}(x_t) \, R_{t}{}^{\omega}{}_{\nu}(x) \,. \tag{2.19}
$$

From [\(2.15\)](#page-5-3)

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(x_t - y_t)^2 = (\sigma_v(x_t) + \sigma_v(y_t))(x_t - y_t)^2 \Rightarrow (x_t - y_t)^2 = \Omega_t(x)\Omega_t(y)(x - y)^2. \quad (2.20)
$$

For v^{μ} in [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5) restricted to just special conformal transformations [\(2.16\)](#page-5-2) and [\(2.18\)](#page-5-4) give^2 give^2

$$
x_t^{\mu} = \Omega_t(x)(x^{\mu} + t b^{\mu} x^2), \qquad \Omega_t(x) = \frac{1}{1 + 2tb \cdot x + t^2 b^2 x^2}.
$$
 (2.21)

In general for conformal transformation $x \to x'$ then

$$
\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = \Omega(x) R^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x) , \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu}{}_{\rho}(x) R^{\nu}{}_{\tau}(x) = \eta_{\rho\tau} . \tag{2.22}
$$

so that R is an orthogonal, or pseudo-orthogonal, rotation matrix, and hence $R \in O(d)$ or $R \in O(d-1,1)$, and

$$
(x'-y')^2 = \Omega(x)\Omega(y)(x-y)^2.
$$
 (2.23)

To form a conformal invariant it is necessary to have at least four points. There are then invariant cross ratios

$$
u_{ijkl} = \frac{x_{ij}^2 x_{kl}^2}{x_{ik}^2 x_{jl}^2}, \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq l, \ x_{ij} = x_i - x_j,
$$
 (2.24)

since from [\(2.23\)](#page-6-2) the factors $\Omega(x_i)$ all cancel. The $\{u_{ijkl}\}\$ are not independent and obey various identities, such as $u_{ijkl} = u_{klij} = u_{jilk} = u_{ikjl}^{-1}$ and $u_{ijkm}/u_{ijkl} = u_{jlmk}$. For n points a basis is provided by u_{12kl} , $3 \leq k \leq l \leq n$, and u_{132l} , $4 \leq l \leq n$, which gives 1 $\frac{1}{2}n(n-3)$ possible invariants. However for any given dimension this overcounts invariants when $n > d + 2$ since there are further relations between different x_{ij}^2 . If the conformal group acts transitively on n points there are just $N_{d,n} = nd - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$ invariants. When $N_{d,n} < \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}n(n-3)$ the number of invariants is then reduced to $N_{d,n}$ but if $n \leq d+2$ then $N_{d,n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}n(n-3)$ and $\frac{1}{2}n(n-3)$ provides the correct counting. In this case there is a residual subgroup of the conformal group which leaves the n points invariant. Thus for $d = 4$ and $n = 4, 5, 6, 7$ there are 2, 5, 9, 13 independent conformal invariants with the extra restrictions first relevant when $n = 7$.

2.1 Inversion Tensor and Conformal Vectors

An important role is played by inversions for which

$$
x^{\mu} \rightarrow x_i^{\mu} = \frac{x^{\mu}}{x^2},\qquad(2.25)
$$

²The solution for x_t may be obtained by writing $x_t^{\mu} = \alpha(t) x^{\mu} + \beta(t) b^{\mu}$ where $\alpha(0) = 1, \beta(0) = 0$. The differential equation reduces to $\dot{\alpha} = -2\alpha^2 \dot{b} \cdot x - 2\alpha \beta b^2$, $\dot{\beta} = \alpha^2 x^2 - \beta^2 b^2$. These may be decoupled giving $\frac{d}{dt}(\beta + \lambda \alpha) = -b^2(\beta + \lambda \alpha)^2$ for $\lambda^2 b^2 = 2\lambda b \cdot x - x^2$ which integrates to $\beta + \lambda \alpha = \lambda/(1 + \lambda^2 b^2 t)$. Eliminating β then $\dot{\alpha} = 2(\lambda b^2 - b \cdot x)\alpha^2 - 2\lambda b^2 \alpha/(1 + \lambda^2 b^2 t)$ and hence $\alpha = 1/(1 + 2tb \cdot x + t^2 b^2 x^2)$ which with $\beta = \alpha x^2 t$ gives [\(2.21\)](#page-6-3).

in which case

$$
dx_i^{\mu} = \frac{1}{x^2} I^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x) dx^{\nu}, \qquad (2.26)
$$

where the inversion tensor for vectors is given by

$$
I^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x) = \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - 2 \frac{x^{\mu} x_{\nu}}{x^2}.
$$
 (2.27)

satisfying $\eta_{\mu\nu}I^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}(x)I^{\nu}{}_{\beta}(x)=\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ so that $dx_i{}^2 = dx^2/(x^2)^2$ and, for two points,

$$
(x - y)^2 \to (x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} (x - y)^2.
$$
 (2.28)

Inversions are therefore discrete conformal transformations not connected to the identity, since $\det[I^{\mu}{}_{\nu}] = -1$, but they can be used to generate finite special conformal transformations by considering an inversion followed by a translation and then another inversion,

$$
x^{\mu} \to \frac{x^{\mu}}{x^2} \to \frac{x^{\mu}}{x^2} + b^{\mu} \to \frac{\frac{x^{\mu}}{x^2} + b^{\mu}}{(\frac{x}{x^2} + b)^2} = \frac{x^{\mu} + b^{\mu}x^2}{1 + 2b \cdot x + b^2x^2},
$$
\n(2.29)

identical to (2.21) for $t = 1$. To first order in b this reduces to the result for special conformal transformations in [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5)

Since

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x\mu}\partial_{y\nu}\ln(x-y)^2 = \frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x-y)}{(x-y)^2},
$$
\n(2.30)

then as $\ln(x'-y')^2 = \ln(x-y)^2 + \ln \Omega(x) + \ln \Omega(y)$ we have from [\(2.22\)](#page-6-4)

$$
I_{\mu\nu}(x - y) = I_{\rho\tau}(x' - y') R^{\rho}{}_{\mu}(x) R^{\tau}{}_{\nu}(y) ,
$$

\n
$$
I_{\mu\nu}(x - y) = I_{\rho\tau}(x_i - y_i) I^{\rho}{}_{\mu}(x) I^{\tau}{}_{\nu}(y) .
$$
\n(2.31)

For infinitesimal transformations using [\(2.15\)](#page-5-3)

$$
\left(v^{\rho}(x)\partial_{x\rho} + v^{\rho}(y)\partial_{y\rho}\right)I_{\mu\nu}(x-y) = -\hat{\omega}_{v\,\mu}^{\,\,\rho}(x)I_{\rho\nu}(x-y) + I_{\mu\rho}(x-y)\,\hat{\omega}_v^{\,\,\rho}{}_{\nu}(y). \tag{2.32}
$$

For three points x, y, z we may define

$$
\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x\mu}\ln\left((x-y)^2/(x-z)^2\right) = \frac{(x-y)_{\mu}}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{(x-z)_{\mu}}{(x-z)^2} = X_{\mu}.
$$
\n(2.33)

From [\(2.23\)](#page-6-2) under a conformal transformation $x, y, z \rightarrow x', y', z'$ then $X \rightarrow X'$ and also from [\(2.28\)](#page-7-0) under an inversion $x, y, z \rightarrow x_i, y_i, z_i$ similarly $X \rightarrow X_i$ where

$$
X_{\mu} = X'_{\rho} R^{\rho}_{\mu}(x) \Omega(x) , \qquad X_{\mu} = X_{i\rho} I^{\rho}_{\mu}(x) \frac{1}{x^2} . \tag{2.34}
$$

so that X_{μ} transforms as vector at x. In a similar fashion

$$
Y_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{y\mu} \ln \left((y - z)^2 / (y - x)^2 \right), \qquad Z_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{z\mu} \ln \left((z - x)^2 / (z - y)^2 \right), \tag{2.35}
$$

are vectors at y, z . It is easy to see that

$$
X^{2} = \frac{(y-z)^{2}}{(x-y)^{2}(x-z)^{2}}, \quad Y^{2} = \frac{(z-x)^{2}}{(y-x)^{2}(y-z)^{2}}, \quad Z^{2} = \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{(z-x)^{2}(z-y)^{2}}.
$$
 (2.36)

Infinitesimally [\(2.34\)](#page-7-1) corresponds to

$$
\left(v(x)^{\rho}\partial_{x\rho} + v(y)^{\rho}\partial_{y\rho} + v(z)^{\rho}\partial_{z\rho}\right)X_{\mu} = -\sigma_v(x)X_{\mu} + X_{\nu}\hat{\omega}^{\nu}{}_{\mu}(x).
$$
 (2.37)

Under $y \leftrightarrow z$, $X_{\mu} \rightarrow -X_{\mu}$, $Y_{\mu} \leftrightarrow -Z_{\mu}$.

Directly from [\(2.33\)](#page-7-2)

$$
X_{\mu}\overleftarrow{\partial}_{y\nu} = -\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}I_{\mu\nu}(x-y),\qquad(2.38)
$$

so that by evaluating $X^2\overleftarrow{\partial}_{y\nu}$ we obtain

$$
X^{\mu}I_{\mu\nu}(x-y) = -\frac{(x-z)^2}{(y-z)^2}Y_{\nu}.
$$
\n(2.39)

By cyclically permuting x, y, z

$$
Y^{\mu}I_{\mu\nu}(y-z) = -\frac{(y-x)^2}{(z-x)^2}Z_{\nu}, \qquad Z^{\mu}I_{\mu\nu}(z-x) = -\frac{(z-y)^2}{(x-y)^2}X_{\nu}. \tag{2.40}
$$

Rewriting [\(2.39\)](#page-8-1) as

$$
\frac{1}{Y^2}Y_{\nu} = -(y-x)^2 X^{\rho} I_{\rho\nu}(x-y)
$$
\n(2.41)

and using

$$
\partial_{z\mu}Y_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{(z-y)^2}I_{\mu\nu}(z-y), \quad \partial_{z\mu}X_{\rho} = \frac{1}{(z-x)^2}I_{\mu\rho}(z-x), \quad (2.42)
$$

we obtain

$$
I_{\mu}{}^{\rho}(z-y) I_{\rho\nu}(Y) = I_{\mu}{}^{\rho}(z-x) I_{\rho\nu}(x-y).
$$
 (2.43)

Similarly

$$
I_{\mu}{}^{\rho}(Z) I_{\rho\nu}(z - y) = I_{\mu}{}^{\rho}(z - x) I_{\rho\nu}(x - y), \qquad (2.44)
$$

with other identities following from permuting x, y, z .

2.2 Conformal Transformations of Fields

Acting on fields ϕ_I , where I is a spin index for the rotation group $O(d)$ or $O(d-1, 1)$, we can define the action of a conformal transformation such that $x \to x'$ on the field by

$$
\phi_I \to \phi'_I, \qquad \phi'_I(x') = \Omega(x)^{-\Delta} \mathcal{R}_I{}^J(x) \phi_J(x). \tag{2.45}
$$

where Δ is the scale dimension of ϕ_I and \mathcal{R}_I^J is the matrix corresponding to R^{ν}_{μ} in the representation of $O(d)$ or $O(d-1, 1)$ determined by ϕ_I . For an inversion [\(2.45\)](#page-8-2) becomes

$$
\phi'_I(x_i) = (x^2)^{\Delta} \mathcal{I}_I{}^J(x) \phi_J(x), \qquad (2.46)
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_I^J(\lambda x) = \mathcal{I}_I^J(x)$ and $\mathcal{I}_I^K(x) \mathcal{I}_K^J(x) = \delta_I^J$. Fields satisfying [\(2.45\)](#page-8-2) or [\(2.46\)](#page-8-3) are called conformal primary fields. In general the transformation $\phi \to \phi'$ for an inversion may not be possible. If the inversion [\(2.30\)](#page-7-3) is combined with a reflection $x^1 \to -x^1$ then the resulting transformation belongs to the identity component of the conformal group $SO(d+1,1)$ or $SO(d,2)$. To show this we may consider the combination of a special conformal and scale transformation with a translation given by

$$
x_{\lambda}^{\mu} = \frac{(1+\lambda^2) x^{\mu}}{1+\lambda^2 x^2 + 2\lambda x^1}, \quad \mu \neq 1, \qquad x_{\lambda}^{\ 1} = -\lambda + \frac{(1+\lambda^2)(x^1 + \lambda x^2)}{1+\lambda^2 x^2 + 2\lambda x^1}.
$$
 (2.47)

Clearly $x_0^{\mu} = x^{\mu}$ and, as $\lambda \to \infty$, $x_{\lambda}^{\mu} \to r_1 x^{\mu}/x^2$ for $r_1 x^{\mu} = x^{\mu}$, $\mu \neq 1$, $r_1 x^1 = -x^1$. The corresponding action on fields is therefore defined in any CFT. For chiral CFTs when parity is not a symmetry neither is invariance under inversions.

Infinitesimally, when $\delta x^{\mu} = v^{\mu}$, the corresponding change in ϕ resulting from [\(2.45\)](#page-8-2) is

$$
\delta_v \phi_I = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_I - \sigma_v \Delta \phi_I + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu} (s_{\mu\nu})_I^J \phi_J , \qquad (2.48)
$$

with $s_{\mu\nu}$ the appropriate spin matrices satisfying

$$
[s_{\mu\nu}, \, s_{\rho\tau}] = \eta_{\mu\rho} \, s_{\nu\tau} - \eta_{\nu\rho} \, s_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\mu\tau} \, s_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\tau} s_{\mu\rho} \,. \tag{2.49}
$$

For a Euclidean metric, so that in [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0) $\eta_{\mu\rho} \to \delta_{\mu\rho}$ and $s_{\mu\nu}$ are the generators for a representation of $SO(d)$, then we may take the spin matrices to be anti-hermitian, $s_{\mu\nu}$ [†] = $-s_{\mu\nu}$. Together with [\(2.13\)](#page-5-5), [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0) ensures $[\delta_v, \delta_{v'}] \phi_I = \delta_{[v,v']} \phi_I$.

For an irreducible spin representation R the quadratic Casimir becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left(s_{\mu\nu} \, s^{\mu\nu} \right)_{I}{}^{J} = -C_{R} \, \delta_{I}{}^{J} \,. \tag{2.50}
$$

For a vector field A_{σ} the vector representation R_V is defined by spin matrices

$$
(s_{\mu\nu})_{\lambda}{}^{\rho} = \delta_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \eta_{\nu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu}{}^{\rho} \eta_{\mu\lambda} , \qquad \frac{1}{2} (s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu})_{\lambda}{}^{\rho} = -C_V \delta_{\lambda}{}^{\rho} , \quad C_V = d - 1 . \tag{2.51}
$$

If $s_{\mu\nu}$ is complex there is a conjugate spin matrix $\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}$, also satisfying [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0), and the conjugate conformal primary transforms as

$$
\delta_v \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}} = -v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}} - \sigma_v \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}} \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu \nu} \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}} (\bar{s}_{\mu \nu})^{\bar{J}}_{\bar{I}}.
$$
\n(2.52)

In order to discuss spinor fields $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ we it is necessary to define gamma matrices. In even dimensions, $d = 2n$, we may define $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ chiral gamma matrices such that

$$
\gamma_{\mu}\bar{\gamma}_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu}\bar{\gamma}_{\mu} = 2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathbb{1}\,,\qquad \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}\,\gamma_{\nu} + \bar{\gamma}_{\nu}\,\gamma_{\mu} = 2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\bar{\mathbb{1}}\,,\tag{2.53}
$$

where $\gamma_{\mu}, \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$ are inequivalent matrices and we distinguish the identity matrices for chiral and anti-chiral spinors. With a Minkowski metric we may impose the hermeticity conditions

$$
\gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = -\gamma_{\mu} , \quad \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}^{\dagger} = -\bar{\gamma}_{\mu} , \qquad \bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} , \tag{2.54}
$$

and hence we must require $\mathbb{1}^{\dagger} = \bar{\mathbb{1}}$. For odd dimensions, $d = 2n - 1$, there are also $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ gamma matrices γ_{μ} satisfying [\(2.53\)](#page-9-1) with $\bar{\gamma}_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}$. For d odd it is important to recognise

that γ_{μ} and $-\gamma_{\mu}$ define inequivalent representations of the Dirac algebra. In this case we may take

$$
\beta \gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} \beta = -\gamma_{\mu} , \qquad \bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \beta , \qquad \beta = \beta^{\dagger} = \beta^{-1} . \qquad (2.55)
$$

For a Euclidean metric, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, the hermeticity conditions become $\gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \gamma_{\mu}$ for d odd and $\gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$ for d even but then in [\(2.53\)](#page-9-1) we must take $\mathbb{1}^{\dagger} = \mathbb{1}, \bar{\mathbb{1}}^{\dagger} = \bar{\mathbb{1}}$.

The spin matrices for chiral/anti-chiral spinors are then for $d = 2n$

$$
s_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{[\mu} \bar{\gamma}_{\nu]}, \qquad \bar{s}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma}_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]}, \qquad s_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} = -\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (2.56)
$$

which obey (2.49) by virtue of

$$
s_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{\rho} - \gamma_{\rho}\bar{s}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\rho}\gamma_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho}\gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_{\sigma}\left(s_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\sigma}{}_{\rho}, \qquad \bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\gamma}_{\rho} - \bar{\gamma}_{\rho}\bar{s}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\rho}\bar{\gamma}_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho}\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}. (2.57)
$$

For $d = 2n - 1$ identical relations are obtained so long as we identify $\bar{s}_{\mu\nu} = s_{\mu\nu}$ and now $s_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} = -\beta s_{\mu\nu} \beta$. The quadratic Casimir from [\(2.50\)](#page-9-2) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2} s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu} = -C_s \, 1 \,, \quad \frac{1}{2} \, \bar{s}_{\mu\nu} \bar{s}^{\mu\nu} = -C_s \, \bar{1} \,, \qquad C_s = \frac{1}{8} d(d-1) \,. \tag{2.58}
$$

If $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ are conformal primary chiral spinors then under infinitesimal conformal transformations

$$
\delta_v \psi = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \sigma_v \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\ \mu\nu} s_{\mu\nu} \psi \,, \quad \delta_v \bar{\psi} = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} - \sigma_v \bar{\psi} \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\ \mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \bar{s}_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2.59}
$$

If we apply (2.59) for the conformal transformation in (2.47)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2} \left(\left((1-x^2)\partial_1 + 2x^1 x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \right) \psi_{\lambda}(x) + \Delta 2x^1 \psi_{\lambda}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma \cdot x \ \bar{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1 \ \bar{\gamma} \cdot x \right) \psi_{\lambda}(x) \right). \tag{2.60}
$$

Solving this equation gives

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \left(\frac{1+\lambda^2x^2-2\lambda x^1}{1+\lambda^2}\right)^{-\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-\lambda\gamma \cdot x \overline{\gamma}_1}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi(x_{-\lambda}).
$$
\n(2.61)

For $\lambda \to \infty$

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(x) \rightarrow -(x^2)^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \gamma \cdot x \overline{\gamma}_1 \psi(r_1 x/x^2), \qquad (2.62)
$$

with r_1 reflection in the 1-direction.

When d is even for theories which are parity invariant then it is necessary for there to be spinors $\chi, \bar{\chi}$ of opposite chirality to ψ, ψ and which transform similarly to [\(2.59\)](#page-10-0)

$$
\delta_v \chi = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \chi - \sigma_v \Delta \chi + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\ \mu\nu} \bar{s}_{\mu\nu} \chi \,, \quad \delta_v \bar{\chi} = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\chi} - \sigma_v \bar{\chi} \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\ \mu\nu} \bar{\chi} s_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2.63}
$$

The parity transformation is then $\psi(x) \to \gamma_1 \chi(r_1 x)$. In this case the action of inversion is given by

$$
\psi(x) \to (x^2)^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \gamma \cdot x \chi(x/x^2). \tag{2.64}
$$

For d odd inversions can be defined on spinor fields in general by

$$
\psi(x) \to (x^2)^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \gamma \cdot x \psi(x/x^2), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \to -(x^2)^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \bar{\psi}(x/x^2) \gamma \cdot x. \tag{2.65}
$$

2.3 Derivative Constraints

In general derivatives of primary fields are not primary. From [\(2.48\)](#page-9-4) and using [\(2.12\)](#page-5-6)

$$
\delta_v \partial_\lambda \phi_I = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda \phi_I - \sigma_v (\Delta + 1) \partial_\lambda \phi_I - \hat{\omega}_v \chi^\rho \partial_\rho \phi_I + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu} (s_{\mu\nu})_I^J \partial_\lambda \phi_J - (\Delta \delta_I^J \eta_{\lambda\tau} + (s_{\lambda\tau})_I^J) \phi_J \partial^\tau \sigma_v.
$$
\n(2.66)

Only if the second line vanishes is $\partial_{\lambda}\phi_{I}$ also a conformal primary as well as ϕ_{I} . Imposing

$$
f^{\lambda I} \left(\Delta \delta_I^J \delta_\lambda^{\tau} - M_{\lambda I}^{\tau J} \right) = 0 , \qquad (2.67)
$$

where, using the vector representation for the spin matrices in (2.51) ,

$$
M_{\lambda I}^{\tau J} = -(s_{\lambda}^{\tau})_I^{\ J} = \frac{1}{2} (s_{\mu\nu})_I^{\ J} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\lambda}^{\ \tau} , \qquad (2.68)
$$

gives an eigenvalue equation for Δ which ensures $f^{\lambda I}\partial_{\lambda}\phi_I$ are conformal primaries. The matrix M in [\(2.68\)](#page-11-1) commutes with $SO(d)$, or alternatively $SO(d-1,1)$, so that to solve [\(2.67\)](#page-11-2) it is sufficient to decompose $f^{\lambda I}$ into irreducible representations. If ϕ_I belongs to the representation space V_{ϕ} for a representation R_{ϕ} and

$$
R_{\phi} \otimes R_V \simeq \bigoplus_i R_i , \qquad (2.69)
$$

then, acting on the tensor product $V_{\phi} \times V_V$,

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left((s_{\mu\nu})_I{}^K\delta_\lambda{}^\rho + \delta_I{}^K(s_{\mu\nu})_\lambda{}^\rho\right)\left((s^{\mu\nu})_K{}^J\delta_\rho{}^\tau + \delta_K{}^J(s^{\mu\nu})_\rho{}^\tau\right) = \sum_i C_{R_i}\left(P_i\right)_{\lambda I}{}^{\tau J},\tag{2.70}
$$

with P_i the projector onto the irreducible representation space $V_i \subset V_\phi \times V_V$. The eigenvalue Δ_i corresponding to the irreducible representation R_i is, as a consequence of (2.67) , determined by the Casimir eigenvalues according to

$$
\Delta_i = \frac{1}{2} (C_{\phi} + C_V - C_i). \tag{2.71}
$$

Since $M_{\lambda I}^{\lambda I} = 0$ the eigenvalues Δ are in general both positive and negative as their sum, $\sum_i \dim V_i \; \Delta_i = 0.$

Simple cases can be analysed directly. For spinless fields trivially $\Delta = 0$. For a vector, $\phi \rightarrow A_{\sigma}$, [\(2.67\)](#page-11-2) becomes

$$
f^{\lambda\rho}\left(\Delta\,\delta_{\rho}^{\,\,\sigma}\,\eta_{\lambda\tau} + \delta_{\lambda}^{\,\,\sigma}\,\eta_{\rho\tau} - \delta_{\tau}^{\,\,\sigma}\,\eta_{\rho\lambda}\right) = 0\,,\tag{2.72}
$$

which has solutions

$$
f^{\lambda\rho} = \eta^{\lambda\rho}, \ \Delta = d - 1, \quad f^{\lambda\rho} = f^{[\lambda\rho]}, \ \Delta = 1, \quad f^{\lambda\rho} = f^{(\lambda\rho)}, \ \eta_{\lambda\rho} f^{\lambda\rho} = 0, \ \Delta = -1. \tag{2.73}
$$

Thus $\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu}$ is a conformal primary if $\Delta = d-1$ as is $\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ for $\Delta = 1$. The eigenvalue sum is then $d-1+\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)-\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1) = 0$. For a rank two tensor field $T_{\sigma\rho}$ the equations become

$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu}(\Delta\,\delta_{\mu}^{\,\sigma}\delta_{\nu}^{\,\rho}\,\eta_{\lambda\tau} + \delta_{\nu}^{\,\rho}(\delta_{\lambda}^{\,\sigma}\,\eta_{\mu\tau} - \delta_{\tau}^{\,\sigma}\,\eta_{\mu\lambda}) + \delta_{\mu}^{\,\sigma}(\delta_{\lambda}^{\,\rho}\,\eta_{\nu\tau} - \delta_{\tau}^{\,\rho}\,\eta_{\nu\lambda})) = 0. \tag{2.74}
$$

For $T_{\sigma\rho} = T_{\rho\sigma}$, $\eta^{\sigma\rho} T_{\sigma\rho} = 0$, this has solutions

$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \eta^{\lambda\mu}\epsilon^{\nu} + \eta^{\lambda\nu}\epsilon^{\mu} - \frac{2}{d}\eta^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\lambda}, \qquad \Delta = d,
$$

\n
$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = f^{\lambda\nu\mu}, f^{(\lambda\mu\nu)} = 0, f^{\lambda\mu\nu}\eta_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \Delta = 1,
$$

\n
$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = f^{(\lambda\mu\nu)}, f^{\lambda\mu\nu}\eta_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \Delta = -2.
$$
 (2.75)

Thus $\partial^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}$ is a conformal primary for $\Delta = d$. For $T_{\sigma\rho} = -T_{\rho\sigma}$ the solutions are

$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \eta^{\lambda\mu} \epsilon^{\nu} - \eta^{\lambda\nu} \epsilon^{\mu}, \qquad \Delta = d - 2,
$$

\n
$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = f^{[\lambda\mu\nu]}, \qquad \Delta = 2,
$$

\n
$$
f^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -f^{\lambda\nu\mu}, f^{[\lambda\mu\nu]} = 0, f^{\lambda\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\mu} = 0, \qquad \Delta = -1,
$$
\n(2.76)

with the first two conditions corresponding to $\partial^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}, \partial_{[\lambda}T_{\mu\nu]}$, being conformal primaries.

If the derivative generates a conformal primary then it is possible to impose a constraint on the fields such that this vanishes. In the above examples $\partial^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is the conservation equation for the symmetric traceless energy momentum tensor whereas $\partial_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu]} = 0$ is the Bianchi identity for the abelian field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$, the field equation $\partial^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is then conformally consistent only for $d = 4$.

More generally if we consider symmetric traceless tensors of rank ℓ , corresponding to a representation $R_{(\ell)}$, corresponding to a Young tableau with just a single row of ℓ boxes ¹ ² ` , then R^V = R(1) and

$$
R_{(\ell)} \otimes R_{(1)} \simeq R_{(\ell-1)} \oplus R_{(\ell+1)} \oplus R_{(\ell,1)}\,,\tag{2.77}
$$

where $R_{(\ell,1)}$ denotes the mixed symmetry representation corresponding to $\frac{1}{2}$ Using

$$
C_{(\ell)} = \ell(\ell + d - 2), \qquad C_{(\ell,1)} = (\ell + 1)(\ell + d - 3), \tag{2.78}
$$

[\(2.71\)](#page-11-3) gives

$$
\Delta_{(\ell-1)} = \ell + d - 2, \ \ell \ge 1, \qquad \Delta_{(\ell+1)} = -\ell, \qquad \Delta_{(\ell,1)} = 1, \ \ell \ge 1. \tag{2.79}
$$

This of course corresponds to [\(2.75\)](#page-12-0) when $\ell = 2$. For $d = 3$, $R_{(\ell,1)} \simeq R_{(\ell)}$.

For representations $R_{(n,m)}$ defined by mixed symmetry tensors corresponding to tableaux $\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{|1|}{m}$, $m \leq n$, then

$$
R_{(n,m)} \otimes R_{(1)} \simeq R_{(n-1,m)} \oplus R_{(n+1,m)} \oplus R_{(n,m-1)} \oplus R_{(n,m+1)} \oplus R_{(n,m,1)},
$$
\n(2.80)

with

$$
C_{(n,m)} = n(n+d-2) + m(m+d-4), \quad C_{(n,m,1)} = n(n+d-2) + (m+1)(m+d-5). \tag{2.81}
$$

Hence we get, assuming $n \geq m \geq 1$,

$$
\Delta_{(n-1,m)} = n + d - 2, \ n > m, \quad \Delta_{(n+1,m)} = -n, \quad \Delta_{(n,m,1)} = 2,
$$

$$
\Delta_{(n,m-1)} = m + d - 3, \qquad \Delta_{(n,m+1)} = -m + 1, \ n > m.
$$
 (2.82)

The results [\(2.82\)](#page-12-1) reproduce [\(2.76\)](#page-12-2) for $n = m = 1$. For $d = 4$ in [\(2.80\)](#page-12-3) we may identify $R_{(n,1,1)} \simeq R_{(n)}, R_{(n,m,1)} = 0, m \ge 2$ whereas for $d = 5, R_{(n,m,1)} \simeq R_{(n,m)}$ and then (2.82) encompasses all tensorial representations.

2.4 Two Point Functions

For any CFT the natural observables are the correlation functions for arbitrarily many conformal primary fields. Conformal invariance determines the form of the two point function in terms of the scale dimension and spin. For a conformal primary and its conjugate satisfying (2.48) and (2.52) then

$$
\langle \phi_I(x) \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(y) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{I}_{I\bar{I}}(x-y)}{((x-y)^2)^\Delta},\tag{2.83}
$$

where conformal invariance requires

$$
\begin{split} \left(v^{\mu}(x)\partial_{x\mu} + v^{\mu}(y)\partial_{y\mu}\right) \mathcal{I}_{I\bar{I}}(x-y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \,\hat{\omega}_{v}^{\,\mu\nu}(x)(s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J} \mathcal{I}_{J\bar{I}}(x-y) - \mathcal{I}_{I\bar{J}}(x-y) \,\frac{1}{2} \,\hat{\omega}_{v}^{\,\mu\nu}(y)(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{J}}_{\bar{I}} \,. \end{split} \tag{2.84}
$$

 $\mathcal{I}_{II}(x - y)$ is an interwiner between a spin representation and its conjugate at x, y. For general representations formed from tensor products of vectors, which are self-conjugate, then from [\(2.32\)](#page-7-4) $\mathcal{I}_{I\bar{I}}$ can be constructed in terms of corresponding products of the inversion tensor. For spinors we use, from (2.11) and (2.57) ,

$$
\begin{split}\n\left(v^{\mu}(x)\partial_{x\mu} + v^{\mu}(y)\partial_{y\mu}\right)(x - y)^{\rho}\gamma_{\rho} &= \left(v^{\rho}(x) - v^{\rho}(y)\right)\gamma_{\rho} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\sigma}v^{\rho}(x) + \partial_{\sigma}v^{\rho}(y)\right)(x - y)^{\sigma}\gamma_{\rho} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{v}(x) + \sigma_{v}(y)\right)(x - y)^{\rho}\gamma_{\rho} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}_{v}^{\mu\nu}(x)s_{\mu\nu}\left(x - y\right)^{\rho}\gamma_{\rho} - (x - y)^{\rho}\gamma_{\rho}\frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}_{v}^{\mu\nu}(y)\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\,,\n\end{split} \tag{2.85}
$$

since $(\hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x) - \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(y))(s_{\mu\nu}(x-y)^\rho \gamma_\rho + (x-y)^\rho \gamma_\rho \bar{s}_{\mu\nu}) = 0$, together with its conjugate with $\bar{\gamma}_{\rho} \to \gamma_{\rho}, s_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \bar{s}_{\mu\nu}$. For a self conjugate scalar

$$
\langle \phi(x)\,\phi(y)\rangle = \frac{1}{((x-y)^2)^{\Delta}},\tag{2.86}
$$

while for a real vector V_{μ} and spinor fields $\psi, \bar{\psi}$

$$
\langle V_{\mu}(x) V_{\nu}(y) \rangle = \frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x-y)}{((x-y)^2)^{\Delta}}, \qquad \langle \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \rangle = \frac{(x-y)^{\rho} \gamma_{\rho}}{((x-y)^2)^{\Delta + \frac{1}{2}}}.
$$
 (2.87)

Two point functions for conformal primary fields belonging to more general spinorial or tensorial representations are formed from the reduction of the tensor products of the results in [\(2.87\)](#page-13-2).

2.5 Dirac Algebra

We analyse here in more detail the structure of Dirac gamma matrices, defined by [\(2.53\)](#page-9-1). Various properties have significant differences according to the dimension modulo 8.

To construct expressions for gamma matrices in even or odd dimensions it is convenient to start, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, from $2n - 1$, $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ dimensional, generalised Pauli matrices σ_i which are hermitian and traceless and obey^{[3](#page-14-0)}

$$
\sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i = 2 \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} \,. \tag{2.88}
$$

Defining

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{2n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \sigma_{2n-1} \sigma_{2n-2} \dots \sigma_1 , \qquad (2.89)
$$

then

$$
[\hat{\sigma}, \sigma_i] = 0, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbb{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\sigma} = \rho \mathbb{1}, \quad \rho = \pm i^{n-1}.
$$
 (2.90)

The two choices for ρ are inequivalent and are related by taking $\sigma_i \to -\sigma_i$. From [\(2.88\)](#page-14-1)

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = 2^{n-1} \delta_{ij} \,. \tag{2.91}
$$

The $\binom{2n-1}{s}$ matrices

$$
\sigma_{i_1...i_s} = \sigma_{[i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_s]}, \qquad s = 1, 2, \dots n - 1,
$$
\n(2.92)

are all linearly independent and traceless and, together with 1, span the space of $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ matrices. Hence if $[\sigma_i, X] = 0$ then $X \propto \mathbb{1}$.

The gamma matrices for Minkowski signature are then given by, for $d = 2n - 1$ odd.

$$
\gamma_0 = i \sigma_d, \qquad \gamma_i = \sigma_i, \quad i = 1, ..., 2n - 1, \qquad \text{tr}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu) = 2^{n-1} \eta_{\mu\nu}.
$$
\n(2.93)

and, for $d = 2n$ even,

$$
\gamma_0 = \bar{\gamma}_0 = i \, 1 \,, \qquad \gamma_i = -\bar{\gamma}_i = i \, \sigma_i \,, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1 \,, \qquad \text{tr}(\gamma_\mu \bar{\gamma}_\nu) = 2^{n-1} \, \eta_{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{2.94}
$$

It is easy to see that with this basis $\gamma_{\mu}, \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$ satisfy [\(2.54\)](#page-9-7) and that [\(2.93\)](#page-14-2) obeys [\(2.55\)](#page-10-2) with $\beta = \sigma_{2n-1}$. From [\(2.90\)](#page-14-3)

$$
\gamma_0 \bar{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \dots \bar{\gamma}_{2n-1} = \rho \mathbb{1}, \qquad \bar{\gamma}_0 \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}_2 \dots \gamma_{2n-1} = -\rho \bar{\mathbb{1}}.
$$
\n(2.95)

To discuss charge conjugation and time reversal it is necessary to know the properties of the Dirac matrices under transposition and complex conjugation, which are linked by hermeticity. For the $2n-1$ matrices σ_i the charge conjugation C matrix satisfies^{[4](#page-14-4)}

$$
C\sigma_i C^{-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \sigma_i^T,
$$
\n(2.96)

where the sign $(-1)^{n-1}$ is determined by requiring $C\hat{\sigma}C^{-1} = \hat{\sigma}^T$ in accord with (2.90) . Since σ_i are hermitian $[\sigma_i, C^{\dagger}C] = 0$ and also from $(2.96) [\sigma_i, C^{-1}C^T] = 0$ so that $C^{\dagger}C, C^{-1}C^T \propto \mathbb{1}$. For $C = \tau C^{T}$ it follows that $\tau^{2} = 1$ or $\tau = \pm 1$. By rescaling C we may then require

$$
CC^{\dagger} = 1, \qquad C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} C^T.
$$
 (2.97)

The sign for τ assumed in [\(2.97\)](#page-14-6) is determined by counting of symmetric/antisymmetric matrices. The matrices defined in [\(2.92\)](#page-14-7) satisfy, from [\(2.96\)](#page-14-5),

$$
C\sigma_{i_1...i_s}C^{-1} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}s(2n-1-s)}\sigma_{i_1...i_s}^T,
$$
\n(2.98)

³Such matrices can be constructed iteratively. If $\sigma_i^{(2n-1)}$ are matrices for $d = 2n - 1$ we may define $\sigma_i^{(2n+1)} = \sigma_i^{(2n-1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, ..., 2n-1$ and $\sigma_{2n}^{(2n+1)} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Beginning from $\sigma_1^{(1)} = 1$ this of course gives the usual Pauli matrices for $d = 3$. With this definition in $(2.90) \rho^{(2n+1)} = i \rho^{(2n-1)}$ $(2.90) \rho^{(2n+1)} = i \rho^{(2n-1)}$.

⁴For the σ_i constructed in the previous footnote we may take $C^{(2n+1)} = C^{(2n-1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 1, 3, ...$ and $C^{(2n+1)} = C^{(2n-1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 2, 4, \dots$

and the set of all matrices $\{C, C\sigma_{i_1...i_s}: s=1,...,n-1\}$ form a linearly independent basis, which are alternately either symmetric or antisymmetric, for $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ matrices. As a consequence of

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n-1}{s} = 2^{2(n-1)}, \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}s(2n-1-s)} \binom{2n-1}{s} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} 2^{n-1}, \tag{2.99}
$$

the requirement for there to be $\frac{1}{2} 2^{n-1} (2^{n-1} \pm 1)$ symmetric/antisymmetric matrices in this basis determines the sign in [\(2.97\)](#page-14-6) (to verify the second binomial sum we may use $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}s(2n-1-s)}$ Exermines the sign in (2.97) (to verify the second binomial $\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{4}(2s+1), n = 2, 4, ..., \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{4}(2s+1), n = 1, 3, ...$).

These results for C immediately demonstrate that for $d = 2n - 1$ from [\(2.93\)](#page-14-2)

$$
C\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1} = (-1)^{n-1}\gamma_{\mu}^{T}, \quad Cs_{\mu\nu}C^{-1} = -s_{\mu\nu}^{T}, \quad C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}C^{T}, \quad C\beta C^{-1} = (-1)^{n-1}\beta^{T}.
$$
\n(2.100)

For $d = 2n$ from (2.94)

$$
C\gamma_{\mu}\bar{C}^{-1} = \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}^{T}, \quad \bar{C}\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}C^{-1} = \gamma_{\mu}^{T}, \quad Cs_{\mu\nu}C^{-1} = -s_{\mu\nu}^{T}, \quad \bar{C}\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\bar{C}^{-1} = -\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}^{T},
$$

$$
C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n}C^{T}, \quad \bar{C} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n}\bar{C}^{T}, \quad n = 2, 4, ..., \tag{2.101}
$$

and

$$
C\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1} = \gamma_{\mu}^{T}, \quad C\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}C^{-1} = \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}^{T}, \quad Cs_{\mu\nu}C^{-1} = -\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}^{T}, \quad C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}C^{T}, \quad n = 1, 3,
$$
\n(2.102)

Although [\(2.101\)](#page-15-1), for $d = 4, 8, \ldots$, is derived here for $C = \overline{C}$ it is convenient to generalise to distinct C, \bar{C} with $C^{\dagger} = \bar{C}^{-1}$.

For $d = 2n$ and n even then defining

$$
\bar{\psi}^C = \bar{C}^{-1} \bar{\psi}^T, \qquad \psi^C = \psi^T C, \qquad (2.103)
$$

gives charge conjugate spinors of opposite chirality satisfying [\(2.63\)](#page-10-3) for $\chi = \bar{\psi}^C$, $\bar{\chi} = \psi^C$. Similarly $\chi^C = \chi^T \bar{C}, \bar{\chi}^C = C^{-1} \bar{\chi}^T$ transform as $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ and $(\bar{\psi}^C)^C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \bar{\psi}, (\psi^C)^C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \psi$. For $d = 2n$ and n odd [\(2.103\)](#page-15-2), with $\bar{C}=C$, gives spinors of the same chirality as ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ and in this case acting twice $(\bar{\psi}^C)^C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}\bar{\psi}, (\psi^C)^C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}\psi$. For $d = 2 \mod 8$ then we may impose $\psi = \bar{\psi}^C$ giving Majorana-Weyl spinors in these dimensions.

For Lorentz transformations we can write

$$
g\gamma_{\mu}\bar{g}^{-1} = \gamma_{\nu}\Lambda^{\nu}_{\mu}, \quad \bar{g}\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}g^{-1} = \bar{\gamma}_{\nu}\Lambda^{\nu}_{\mu}, \quad \bar{g}^{-1} = g^{\dagger}, \qquad d \text{ even},
$$

\n
$$
g\gamma_{\mu}g^{-1} = \gamma_{\nu}\Lambda^{\nu}_{\mu}, \quad g^{-1} = \beta g^{\dagger}\beta, \qquad d \text{ odd}, \qquad (2.104)
$$

The traces $tr(\gamma_\mu \bar{\gamma}_\nu) = 2^{n-1} \eta_{\mu\nu}$ for d even, or $tr(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu) = 2^{n-1} \eta_{\mu\nu}$ for d odd, ensure that the metric is an invariant tensor, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\sigma\tau} \Lambda^{\sigma}{}_{\mu} \Lambda^{\tau}{}_{\nu}$. For $d = 2n$ and n even, or d odd, $g^T C g = C$ and det $g = \pm 1$. When $d = 4$, $C^{T} = -C$, this implies, for det $g = 1$, that $g \in Sl(2, \mathbb{C})$.

2.6 Three, Four, Six and Five Dimensions

For particular low dimensions the rotation groups $SO(d)$ or $SO(d-1,1)$ are isomorphic to other groups in which tensorial and spinorial representations are unified which allows significant simplifications.

With $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ spinorial indices for $d = 3$ there are three independent γ -matrices $(\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ and also three linearly independent spin matrices $(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_{\lbrack \mu} \gamma_{\nu \rbrack} \right) \alpha^{\beta}$ which form a basis for traceless 2×2 matrices and hence

$$
-(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (\gamma^{\mu})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} , \qquad (2.105)
$$

which of course implies $-\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} (s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ as required by [\(2.58\)](#page-10-4) when $d = 3$. Equivalently they are a basis for symmetric 2×2 matrices since

$$
(C\gamma_{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} = (C\gamma_{\mu})^{\beta\alpha}, \quad (Cs_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta} = (Cs_{\mu\nu})^{\beta\alpha}, \qquad C^{\alpha\beta} = -C^{\beta\alpha}.
$$
 (2.106)

For any spin representation matrices $\{(s_{\mu\nu})_I{}^J\}$ we can then define an equivalent basis

$$
(S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta})_{I}{}^{J} = (s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} , \qquad (s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J} = -(S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta})_{I}{}^{J} (s_{\mu\nu})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} . \tag{2.107}
$$

From [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0) using [\(2.105\)](#page-16-0) these have the commutation relations

$$
\left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} S_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} S_{\gamma}{}^{\beta},\tag{2.108}
$$

which corresponds to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 . For

$$
\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 & S_+ \\ S_- & -S_3 \end{pmatrix},\tag{2.109}
$$

then S_3, S_{\pm} obey the usual angular momentum commutation relations and the Casimir operator becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{2} s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} S_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} = S_3 (S_3 + 1) + S_- S_+ . \tag{2.110}
$$

Irreducible representations R_s are just labelled by $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, 1 \ldots$, and can be described in terms of the representation space V_s formed by symmetric rank 2s spinors $\Psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s}} =$ $\Psi_{(\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s})}$ where of course dim $V_s = 2s + 1$ and the associated Casimir $C_s = s(s + 1)$. The vector representation requires $s = 1$. Corresponding to (2.69) we now have

$$
R_s \otimes R_1 \simeq R_{s-1} \oplus R_s \oplus R_{s+1} \,, \tag{2.111}
$$

and the formula [\(2.71\)](#page-11-3) then gives for the critical scaling dimensions for the three representations appearing in [\(2.111\)](#page-16-1)

$$
\Delta_{s-1} = 1 + s, \ s \ge 1, \qquad \Delta_s = 1, \ s \ge \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Delta_{s+1} = -s.
$$
\n(2.112)

The first two cases correspond to $(C\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s-2}}$ and $(\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})_{(\alpha_1}^{\beta}\Psi_{\alpha_2...\alpha_{2s})\beta}$ being conformal primaries. Imposing them to be zero gives a conserved current and a solution of the free Dirac equation respectively. For $s = \ell = 0, 1, \ldots$ the conditions in [\(2.112\)](#page-16-2) of course match (2.79) when $d = 3$.

For $d = 4$ there are two inequivalent chiral spinors with spinorial indices $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$. The gamma matrices then become $(\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, (\bar{\gamma}_\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\gamma}^\mu)^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\beta}} = 2 \delta_\beta{}^{\alpha} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}},$ ^{[5](#page-16-3)} which construct,

⁵From [\(2.94\)](#page-14-8), $(\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = i(\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}},$ $(\bar{\gamma}_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = i(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}$ where σ_{μ} , $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}$ are Wess and Bagger spin matrices.

according to [\(2.56\)](#page-10-5), two inequivalent 2×2 spin matrices $(s_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}$, $(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}$. These satisfy analogous completeness relations to [\(2.105\)](#page-16-0)

$$
-(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} , \quad -(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} (\bar{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} = \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} , (2.113)
$$

as well as $(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ $(\bar{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = 0$. The six spin matrices $(s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J}$ can be rewritten just as in [\(2.107\)](#page-16-4)

$$
\left(S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\right)I^{J} = \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\mu\nu}\right)I^{J}\left(s^{\mu\nu}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \quad \left(\bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\right)I^{J} = \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\mu\nu}\right)I^{J}\left(\bar{s}^{\mu\nu}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}.
$$
\n(2.114)

and satisfy from [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0)

$$
\left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} S_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} S_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}, \quad \left[\bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \bar{S}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}\right] = \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} - \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} \bar{S}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\right] = 0, \tag{2.115}
$$

with the quadratic Casimir becoming

$$
-\frac{1}{2} s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu} = S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} S_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} + \bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}.
$$
 (2.116)

The Lie algebra is then isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$. As in [\(2.109\)](#page-16-5)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 & S_+ \\ S_- & -S_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}_3 & \bar{S}_+ \\ \bar{S}_- & -\bar{S}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.117}
$$

define two commuting sets of angular momentum generators. Irreducible representations are given by $R_{[s,\bar{s}]}, s, \bar{s} = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$, with a representation space $V_{[s,\bar{s}]}$ formed by spinors $\Psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s},\dot{\alpha}_1...\dot{\alpha}_{2s}} = \Psi_{(\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s}),(\dot{\alpha}_1...\dot{\alpha}_{2s})}$, so that $\dim V_{(s,\bar{s})} = (2s+1)(2\bar{s}+1)$ and the Casimir

$$
C_{[s,\bar{s}]} = 2s(s+1) + 2\bar{s}(\bar{s}+1). \tag{2.118}
$$

The matrix corresponding to [\(2.68\)](#page-11-1) here takes the form

$$
M_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}I}{}^{\dot{\beta}\beta J} = -\left(S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right)I^{J}\left(s_{\delta}{}^{\gamma}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \delta^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \left(\bar{S}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}\right)I^{J}\left(\bar{s}^{\dot{\delta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}}\right)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\,,\tag{2.119}
$$

and [\(2.69\)](#page-11-4) becomes

$$
R_{[s,\bar{s}]} \otimes R_{[\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]} \simeq R_{[s-\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}-\frac{1}{2}]} \oplus R_{[s-\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}]} \oplus R_{[s+\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}-\frac{1}{2}]} \oplus R_{[s+\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}]}.
$$
(2.120)

In this case the eigenvalues are just

$$
\Delta_{[s',\bar{s}']} = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{[s,\bar{s}]} + 3 - C_{[s',\bar{s}']}\right),\tag{2.121}
$$

for $s' = s \pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \bar{s}' = \bar{s} \pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. This gives

$$
\Delta_{[s-\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}-\frac{1}{2}]} = 2 + s + \bar{s}, \ s, \bar{s} \ge \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \Delta_{[s+\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}]} = -s - \bar{s},
$$

$$
\Delta_{[s-\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}]} = 1 + s - \bar{s}, \ s \ge \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \Delta_{[s+\frac{1}{2},\bar{s}-\frac{1}{2}]} = 1 + \bar{s} - s, \ \bar{s} \ge \frac{1}{2}.
$$
 (2.122)

The different conditions are related by elements of the Weyl group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ which is here generated by the reflections $[s, \bar{s}] \rightarrow [-s-1, \bar{s}]$ and $[s, \bar{s}] \rightarrow [s, -\bar{s}-1]$. Symmetric traceless tensorial representations correspond to $s = \bar{s}$ and then [\(2.122\)](#page-17-0) coincides with [\(2.79\)](#page-12-4) for $[s, s] \rightarrow (2s)$. The results for mixed symmetry tensors in (2.82) , excluding $\Delta_{[n,m,1]}$, correspond to taking $[s, \bar{s}] \oplus [\bar{s}, s] \rightarrow (s + \bar{s}, |s - \bar{s}|), s \neq \bar{s}, s - \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In six dimensions Weyl spinors ψ_{α} have four components and the 15 spin matrices $(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ form a basis for traceless 4×4 matrices. In consequence they satisfy the completeness relation

$$
-(s_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(s^{\mu\nu})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = 2\,\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\,\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\,\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\,,\tag{2.123}
$$

so that any matrix $X = [X_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}]$ can be expressed as $X = \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ tr(X) 1 – $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ tr($s^{\mu\nu}$ X) $s_{\mu\nu}$. Hence any six dimensional spin matrix can be written in a spinorial basis, $(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})_I{}^J \to (S_\alpha{}^\beta)_I{}^J$, by

$$
(S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta})_{I}{}^{J} = \frac{1}{2} (s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}.
$$
 (2.124)

The Lie algebra [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0) gives

$$
\left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right]_{I}{}^{J} = (s^{\mu}{}_{\tau})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (s^{\nu\tau})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} (s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J}. \tag{2.125}
$$

With the completeness condtiion [\(2.123\)](#page-18-0) and using $s^{\mu}_{\tau} s^{\nu\tau} = -\frac{5}{4}$ $\frac{5}{4}$ 1 + 2 s^{$\mu\nu$}, s^{μ} _τ s_{σρ} s^{ν τ} = $\delta_{[\sigma}^{\mu} \delta_{\rho]}^{\nu} \, 1 - \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} s_{\sigma\rho} - 2 \, \delta_{[\sigma}^{\ (\mu} s_{\rho]}^{\ \nu)},$ [\(2.125\)](#page-18-1) becomes

$$
[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} S_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} S_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}, \qquad (2.126)
$$

which corresponds to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_4 .

It is convenient to decompose the \mathfrak{sl}_4 spin generators as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}(3h_1 + 2h_2 + h_3) & e_1 & e_{12} & e_{123} \\ f_1 & \frac{1}{4}(-h_1 + 2h_2 + h_3) & e_2 & e_{23} \\ f_{12} & f_2 & -\frac{1}{4}(h_1 + 2h_2 - h_3) & e_3 \\ f_{123} & f_{23} & f_3 & -\frac{1}{4}(h_1 + 2h_2 + 3h_3) \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
e_{12} = [e_1, e_2], \quad e_{23} = [e_2, e_3], \quad e_{123} = [e_1, [e_2, e_3]], \quad [e_1, e_3] = 0,
$$

\n
$$
f_{12} = -[f_1, f_2], \quad f_{23} = -[f_2, f_3], \quad f_{123} = [f_1, [f_2, f_3]], \quad [f_1, f_3] = 0. \tag{2.127}
$$

In this basis h_i are the generators of the Cartan subalgebra and e_i correspond to the simple roots. In general $i = 1, ..., r$ with r the rank, here $r = 3$ and $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$ satisfy the commutation relations, corresponding to a Chevalley basis, for the Lie algebra,

$$
[h_i, h_j] = 0
$$
, $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_j$, $[h_i, e_j] = e_j K_{ji}$, $[h_i, f_j] = -f_j K_{ji}$, no sum on j, (2.128)

with K_{ij} defining the $r \times r$ Cartan matrix, here

$$
[K_{ij}] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (2.129)

Evidently $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ generate a \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra for each i.

The representation space for an irreducible representation are generated by the action of the lowering operators $\{f_i\}$ on a highest weight vector v satisfying

$$
e_i v = 0, \quad h_i v = s_i v, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,
$$
\n
$$
(2.130)
$$

giving here, for $r = 3$, a representation space $V_{[s_1,s_2,s_3]}$ and spin representation $R_{[s_1,s_2,s_3]}$. For finite dimensional representations s_i are positive integers or zero and then

$$
\dim V_{[s_1, s_2, s_3]} = \frac{1}{12}(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + 3)(s_1 + s_2 + 2)(s_2 + s_3 + 2)(s_1 + 1)(s_2 + 1)(s_3 + 1). \tag{2.131}
$$

The quadratic Casimir becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{2} s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu} = S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} S_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} = \frac{3}{4} (h_1^2 + h_3^2) + \frac{1}{2} h_1 h_3 + 3(h_1 + h_3) + h_2 (h_2 + h_1 + h_3 + 4) + 2(f_1 e_1 + f_2 e_2 + f_3 e_3 + f_1 2 e_1 2 + f_1 2 3 e_1 2 3).
$$
 (2.132)

For representations defined by a highest weight vector as in [\(2.130\)](#page-18-2)

$$
C_{[s_1,s_2,s_3]} = s_1(s_1+3) + s_3(s_3+3) - \frac{1}{4}(s_1-s_3)^2 + s_2(s_2+s_1+s_3+4). \tag{2.133}
$$

The vector representation has a highest weight $[0, 1, 0]$ and it decomposes under the action of the lowering operators f_i according to the weight diagram

$$
[0, 1, 0] \xrightarrow{f_2} [1, -1, 1] \xrightarrow{f_1 \nearrow} [-1, 0, 1] \searrow f_3
$$

$$
[0, 1, 0] \xrightarrow{f_2} [1, -1, 1] \xrightarrow{f_3} \searrow [1, 0, -1] \nearrow f_2
$$

Consequently in general

$$
R_{[s_1,s_2,s_3]} \otimes R_{[0,1,0]} \simeq R_{[s_1,s_2+1,s_3]} \oplus R_{[s_1+1,s_2-1,s_3+1]} \oplus R_{[s_1-1,s_2,s_3+1]} \oplus R_{[s_1+1,s_2,s_3-1]} \oplus R_{[s_1-1,s_2+1,s_3-1]} \oplus R_{[s_1,s_2-1,s_3]},
$$
\n(2.134)

with $R_{[s_1,s_2,s_3]} \simeq 0$ if any $s_i = -1$. Using [\(2.71\)](#page-11-3) with [\(2.133\)](#page-19-0) and [\(2.134\)](#page-19-1) gives the critical scaling dimensions

$$
\Delta_{[s_1, s_2+1, s_3]} = -s_2 - \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), \quad \Delta_{[s_1, s_2-1, s_3]} = 4 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), \quad s_2 \ge 1,
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{[s_1-1, s_2, s_3+1]} = 2 + \frac{1}{2}(s_1 - s_3), \quad s_1 \ge 1, \quad \Delta_{[s_1+1, s_2, s_3-1]} = 2 - \frac{1}{2}(s_1 - s_3), \quad s_3 \ge 1,
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{[s_1+1, s_2-1, s_3+1]} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), \quad s_2 \ge 1, \quad \Delta_{[s_1-1, s_2+1, s_3-1]} = 3 + \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), \quad s_1, s_3 \ge 1.
$$
\n(2.135)

The Weyl group for \mathfrak{sl}_4 is the permutation group \mathcal{S}_4 and is generated by reflections r_i , where $r_i^2 = 1$, with respect to the simple roots, $[s_1, s_2, s_3]^{r_1} = [-s_1 - 2, s_1 + s_2 + 1, s_3]$, $[s_1, s_2, s_3]^{r_2} = [s_1 + s_2 + 1, -s_2 - 2, s_2 + s_3 + 1], [s_1, s_2, s_3]^{r_3} = [s_1, s_2 + s_3 + 1, -s_3 -$ 2, $(r_1r_3)^2 = (r_1r_2)^3 = (r_2r_3)^3 = 1$. Symmetric traceless rank ℓ tensors correspond to $[s_1, s_2, s_3] = [0, \ell, 0]$ and the results [\(2.82\)](#page-12-1) for mixed symmetry (n, m) tensors correspond to $[s_1, s_2, s_3] = [m, n-m, m].$

In five dimensions spinors ψ_{α} also have four components while the 10 spin matrices $(s_{\mu\nu}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}$, $(Cs_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta}$ are symmetric with the completeness relation

$$
-(s_{\mu\nu}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}(Cs^{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\delta_{\beta}^{\ \delta} + \delta_{\alpha}^{\ \delta}\delta_{\beta}^{\ \gamma}.
$$
 (2.136)

Hence we can express spin matrices in a spinorial basis by

$$
(S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta})_{I}{}^{J} = (s_{\mu\nu})_{I}{}^{J} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \qquad (2.137)
$$

The commutation relations [\(2.49\)](#page-9-0) require

$$
\left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right]I^{J} = 4\left(s^{\mu}{}_{\tau}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\left(s^{\nu\tau}\right)_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\left(s_{\mu\nu}\right)I^{J},\tag{2.138}
$$

where

$$
4\left(s^{[\mu}_{\tau}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\left(s^{\nu}\right)^{\tau}\right)_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}\left(s^{\mu\nu}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\left(s^{\mu\nu}\right)_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} + C^{-1}_{\alpha\gamma}\left(Cs^{\mu\nu}\right)^{\beta\delta} + C^{\beta\delta}\left(s^{\mu\nu}C^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\gamma}.\tag{2.139}
$$

The form of the right hand side of [\(2.139\)](#page-20-0) is dictated by the completeness of the symmetric matrices $s^{\mu\nu}C^{-1}$, and also that the relation must be invariant under $\gamma_{\mu} \to -\gamma_{\mu}$, and the coefficient may be determined from $s^{\mu}_{\tau} s^{\nu\tau} = -\eta^{\mu\nu} 1 + \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2} s^{\mu\nu}$. As a consequence of (2.139) [\(2.138\)](#page-20-1) becomes

$$
\left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, S_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} S_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} S_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} + C^{-1}{}_{\alpha\gamma} (CS)^{\beta\delta} + C^{\beta\delta} (SC^{-1})_{\alpha\gamma},\tag{2.140}
$$

which defines the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$.

Choosing a basis such that

$$
\begin{bmatrix} C^{-1}{}_{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} C^{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.141}
$$

then

$$
\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 + h_2 & e_1 & e_{112} & e_{12} \\ f_1 & h_2 & e_{12} & 2e_2 \\ f_{112} & f_{12} & -h_1 - h_2 & -f_1 \\ f_{12} & 2f_2 & -e_1 & -h_2 \end{pmatrix},\tag{2.142}
$$

$$
e_{12} = [e_1, e_2], \quad e_{112} = [e_1, [e_1, e_2]], \quad f_{12} = -[f_1, f_2], \quad f_{112} = [f_1, [f_1, f_2]]. \tag{2.143}
$$

In this basis e_i correspond to the simple roots with h_i the Cartan generators so that ${e_i, f_i, h_i}$ satisfy the commutation relations [\(2.128\)](#page-18-3) for rank $r = 2$ and Cartan matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} K_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2.144}
$$

As before the representation space $V_{[s_1,s_2]}$ for irreducible representations $R_{[s_1,s_2]}$ may be defined in terms of highest weight vectors satisfying [\(2.130\)](#page-18-2). For finite dimensional representations, so that s_i are positive integers or zero,

$$
\dim V_{[s_1, s_2]} = \frac{1}{6}(s_1 + 1)(s_2 + 1)(s_1 + s_2 + 1)(s_1 + 2s_2 + 3). \tag{2.145}
$$

The quadratic Casimir is also

$$
-\frac{1}{2} s_{\mu\nu} s^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} S_{\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} h_1 (h_1 + 4) + h_2 (h_2 + h_1 + 3) + f_1 e_1 + 2 f_2 e_2 + f_{12} e_{12} + \frac{1}{2} f_{112} e_{112} ,
$$
(2.146)

and therefore acting on $V_{[s_1,s_2]}$ the Casimir eigenvalue is

$$
C_{[s_1, s_2]} = \frac{1}{2} s_1 (s_1 + 4) + s_2 (s_2 + s_1 + 3).
$$
 (2.147)

The vector representation is labelled by [0, 1] and the associated weight diagram becomes

$$
[0,1] \xrightarrow{f_2} [2,-1] \xrightarrow{f_1} [0,0] \xrightarrow{f_1} [-2,1] \xrightarrow{f_2} [0,-1].
$$
 (2.148)

Hence

$$
R_{[s_1,s_2]} \otimes R_{[0,1]} \simeq R_{[s_1,s_2+1]} \oplus R_{[s_1+2,s_2-1]} \oplus R_{[s_1,s_2]} \oplus R_{[s_1-2,s_2+1]} \oplus R_{[s_1,s_2-1]}.
$$
 (2.149)

For $s_1 = 0$ the tensor product is truncated since $R_{[-2,s_2+1]} \simeq -R_{[0,s_2]}$ and also we take $R_{[-1,s_2]}, R_{[s_1,-1]} \simeq 0.$ Implementing (2.71) gives

$$
\Delta_{[s_1, s_2+1]} = -s_2 - \frac{1}{2}s_1, \quad \Delta_{[s_1+2, s_2-1]} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}s_1, \ s_2 \ge 1, \quad \Delta_{[s_1, s_2]} = 2, \ s_1 \ge 1, \n\Delta_{[s_1-2, s_2+1]} = 2 + \frac{1}{2}s_1, \ s_1 \ge 2, \quad \Delta_{[s_1, s_2-1]} = 3 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2}s_1, \ s_2 \ge 1,
$$
\n(2.150)

for the critical dimensions when derivatives generate conformal primaries. The Weyl group for $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$, $D_4 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_4$, is generated by reflections r_1, r_2 with respect to the short and long simple roots which give $[s_1, s_2]^{r_1} = [-s_1 - 2, s_1 + s_2 + 1]$ and $[s_1, s_2]^{r_2} = [s_1 + 2s_2 + 2, -s_2 - 2]$, $(r_1 r_2)^4 = 1$, links the different conditions in [\(2.150\)](#page-21-1). Symmetric traceless rank ℓ tensors correspond to $[s_1, s_2] = [0, \ell]$ and for mixed symmetry $[n, m]$ -tensors $[s_1, s_2] = [2m, n - m]$.

3 Embedding Space

The action of conformal transformations in d-dimensions on $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is nonlinear, as exem-plified in [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5) or [\(2.29\)](#page-7-5). By extending to $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ it is possible to define linear group transformations which reduce to conformal transformations under appropriate restrictions, [\[11,](#page-59-3) [12\]](#page-59-4). Defining coordinates

$$
X^{A}, \quad A = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1, d + 1, d + 2,
$$
\n
$$
(3.1)
$$

then a d-dimensional space is obtained by imposing

$$
0 = \eta_{AB} X^A X^B = \eta_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu} X^{\nu} + (X^{d+1})^2 - (X^{d+2})^2
$$

= $\eta_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu} X^{\nu} + X^+ X^-$, $X^{\pm} = X^{d+1} \pm X^{d+2}$, (3.2)

and also requiring that the overall scale of X is arbitrary so that

$$
X^A \sim \lambda X^A. \tag{3.3}
$$

With these conditions $\{X^A\}$ are coordinates for a d-dimernsional projective null cone embedded in \mathbb{R}^{d+2} . The natural isometry group is clearly by

$$
X^A \to G^A{}_B X^B \,, \quad \eta_{CD} G^C{}_A G^D{}_B = \eta_{AB} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [G^A{}_B] \in O(d+1,1) \text{ or } O(d,2) \,. \tag{3.4}
$$

To make the connection with conformal transformations on x we define for $X^+ \neq 0$

$$
x^{\mu} = \frac{X^{\mu}}{X^{+}},\tag{3.5}
$$

which is well defined since this is invariant under [\(3.3\)](#page-21-2). Conversely

$$
x^{\mu} \to X^{A}(x) \text{ for } (X^{\mu}, X^{+}, X^{-}) = X^{+}(x^{\mu}, 1, -x^{2}), \quad X^{+} \neq 0. \tag{3.6}
$$

From [\(3.2\)](#page-21-3)

$$
2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu}\mathrm{d}X^{\nu} + \mathrm{d}X^{+}X^{-} + X^{+}\mathrm{d}X^{-} = 0\,,\tag{3.7}
$$

and using this relation

$$
\eta_{AB} \mathrm{d} X^A \mathrm{d} X^B = (X^+)^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} + 2 \eta_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu} \mathrm{d} X^{\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d} X^+}{X^+} + \eta_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu} X^{\nu} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d} X^+}{X^+}\right)^2 + \mathrm{d} X^+ \mathrm{d} X^-
$$
\n
$$
= (X^+)^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} . \tag{3.8}
$$

Hence for any transformation $X^A \to X'^A$ as in [\(3.4\)](#page-21-4) $\eta_{AB} dX^A dX^B$ is invariant and with $X'^{A} \to x'^{\mu}$, $X^{A} \to x^{\mu}$ defined by [\(3.5\)](#page-21-5)

$$
\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\prime \mu} dx^{\prime \nu} = \left(\frac{X^+}{X^{\prime +}}\right)^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}, \qquad (3.9)
$$

which demonstrates that $x^{\mu} \to x^{\prime \mu}$ determined by $[G^{A}{}_{B}]$ is a conformal transformation. Furthermore since $\dim O(d+1,1) = \dim O(d,2) = \frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$ the number of parameters match.

From [\(3.6\)](#page-22-0) we may define

$$
e_{\mu}^{A}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} X^{A}(x) , \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\nu}} X^{A}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} P^{A} , \qquad (3.10)
$$

and then $e_{\mu}^{A}(x)X_{A}(x) = 0$, $P^{A}X_{A}(x) = -(X^{+})^{2}$. With these definitions

$$
e_{\mu}^{A}(x)e_{\nu}^{B}(x)\eta_{AB} = (X^{+})^{2}\eta_{\mu\nu},
$$

\n
$$
\eta^{\mu\nu}e_{\mu}^{A}(x)e_{\nu}^{B}(x) = (X^{+})^{2}\eta^{AB} + X^{A}(x)P^{B} + P^{A}X^{B}(x), \quad \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{A}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}P^{A}. \quad (3.11)
$$

and

$$
P^A = -2X^+ \delta^A_-.
$$
\n(3.12)

An inversion [\(2.25\)](#page-6-5) corresponds in the embedding space to a reflection

$$
X^{d+1} \to X'^{d+1} = -X^{d+1},\tag{3.13}
$$

or $X^+ \leftrightarrow -X^-$. The transformation given in [\(2.47\)](#page-9-3) connected to the identity is just a rotation

$$
X_{\theta}^{d+1} = \cos\theta \, X^{d+1} + \sin\theta \, X^{1}, \quad X_{\theta}^{1} = \cos\theta \, X^{1} - \sin\theta \, X^{d+1}, \tag{3.14}
$$

with $\lambda = 2 \tan \frac{1}{2}\theta$ which clearly demonstrated the simplicity of the embedding formalism.

For two points X^A, Y^B on the null cone if

$$
X \cdot Y \equiv -2 \eta_{AB} X^A Y^B \,, \tag{3.15}
$$

then

$$
X \cdot Y = (x - y)^2 X^+ Y^+ \,. \tag{3.16}
$$

Although $X \cdot Y$ is invariant under [\(3.4\)](#page-21-4) it is of course not an invariant on the projective null cone. Such invariants need four points as in [\(2.24\)](#page-6-6).

3.1 Scalar and Vector Fields on the Null Cone

Fields may also be extended to the null cone. For a scalar we may take

$$
\phi(x) \to \Phi(X), \tag{3.17}
$$

with X satisfying (3.8) , and to ensure it is defined on the projective null cone so that (3.3) holds then Φ is required to be homogeneous,

$$
\Phi(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta} \Phi(X),\tag{3.18}
$$

where the scale dimension Δ determines the weight. For any such $\Phi(X)$ satisfying [\(3.18\)](#page-23-1) then conversely $\Phi \to \phi$ by taking

$$
\phi(x) = (X^+)^{\Delta} \Phi(X(x)). \tag{3.19}
$$

Correspondingly for a vector field

$$
V_{\mu}(x) \to V_A(X), \qquad V_A(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta} V_A(X), \qquad (3.20)
$$

but to reduce the spin degrees of freedom from $d + 2$ to d it is also necessary to require

$$
X^{A}V_{A}(X) = 0, \t V_{A}(X) \sim V_{A}(X) + X_{A} s(X), \t (3.21)
$$

for arbitrary $s(X)$, $s(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta-1} s(X)$. The constraint and freedom in [\(3.21\)](#page-23-2) reduce the degrees of freedom from $d+2$ to d. In this case V_μ is then given, with e_μ^A defined in [\(3.10\)](#page-22-2), by

$$
V_{\mu}(x) = (X^{+})^{\Delta - 1} e_{\mu}^{A}(x) V_{A}(X(x)). \qquad (3.22)
$$

Since $e_{\mu}^{A}(x)X_{A}(x) = 0$ it is easy to see that V_{μ} is invariant under the equivalence relation in [\(3.21\)](#page-23-2) and also $X^A \to \lambda(x) X^A$ for arbitrary $\lambda(x)$.

Extending [\(3.11\)](#page-22-3) to tensor fields there is a correspondence $T_{\mu\nu}(x) \to T_{AB}(X)$, where, with the obvious modification of[\(3.21\)](#page-23-2), $X^AT_{AB} = 0$, $X^BT_{AB} = 0$ and $T_{AB} \sim T_{AB} + X_Av_B + Y_Av_B$ $v'_{A}X_{B}$ for arbitrary v_{B} , v'_{A} of the necessary homogeneity. As a consequence of [\(3.11\)](#page-22-3) the traceless condition $\eta^{AB}T_{AB}(X) = 0$ is equivalent to $\eta^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$.

For infinitesimal conformal transformations the action on the fields is just, for arbitrary $\omega^{AB}=-\omega^{BA},$

$$
\delta_{\omega} \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} L_{AB} \Phi , \qquad L_{AB} = -X_A \partial_B + X_B \partial_A ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{\omega} V_C = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} L_{AB} V_C - \omega_C^{D} V_D .
$$
\n(3.23)

The conformal algebra is then

$$
[L_{AB}, L_{CD}] = \eta_{AC} L_{BD} - \eta_{BC} L_{AD} - \eta_{AD} L_{BC} + \eta_{BD} L_{AC}.
$$
 (3.24)

Hence $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} L_{AB}, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\prime CD} L_{CD} \big] = -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} [\omega, \omega']^{AB} L_{AB}$, where $[\omega, \omega']^{AB} = \omega^{AC} \omega'_{C}{}^{D} - \omega'{}^{AC} \omega_{C}{}^{D}$, and $[\delta_{\omega}, \delta_{\omega'}] = \delta_{[\omega, \omega']}$. Consistency with [\(3.21\)](#page-23-2) follows from $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} L_{AB} X^C = -X^A \omega_A^C$.

Since X is null it is necessary to be careful in the definition of ∂_A . For derivatives ∂_A acting on $f(X)$ restricted to the null cone $X^2 = 0$ the usual rules of differentiation lead to apparent inconsistencies as $\partial_A X^2 = 2 X_A$ so that it is necessary to include additional contributions proportional to X_A beyond the result obtained by differentiation disregarding the constraint $X^2 = 0$. These extra terms disappear in L_{AB} and

$$
L_{+-}X^{A}|_{X \to X(x)} = -\frac{1}{2}(x^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - X^{+}\partial_{+})X^{A}(x), \quad L_{\mu\nu}X^{A}|_{X \to X(x)} = -(x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu})X^{A}(x),
$$

\n
$$
L_{-\mu}X^{A}|_{X \to X(x)} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}X^{A}(x),
$$

\n
$$
L_{+\mu}X^{A}|_{X \to X(x)} = \frac{1}{2}(-x^{2}\partial_{\mu} + 2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - 2x_{\mu}X^{+}\partial_{+})X^{A}(x).
$$
\n(3.25)

With these results $\delta_{\omega} \Phi$ coincides under the reduction [\(3.19\)](#page-23-3) with $\delta_v \phi$ in [\(2.48\)](#page-9-4) for v^{μ} given by [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5) if $\omega^{-\mu} = 2 a^{\mu}, \omega^{+-} = 2 \kappa, \omega^{+\mu} = -2 b^{\mu}$. For the reduction $\delta_{\omega} V_C \rightarrow \delta_{v} V_{\mu}$ it is necessary also to use $-v^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} e_{\mu}{}^{C} + e_{\mu}{}^{D}\omega_{D}{}^{C} = \hat{\omega}_{\mu}{}^{\nu} e_{\nu}{}^{C} + 2b_{\mu}X^{C}(x)$.

3.2 Spinors

To discuss spinor fields in the embedding formalism requires extending the usual d-dimensional gamma matrices to $d + 2$ dimensions. For $d = 2n$ these are required to satisfy the Dirac algebra

$$
\bar{\Gamma}_A \Gamma_B + \bar{\Gamma}_B \Gamma_A = 2 \eta_{AB} \mathbb{1}, \qquad \Gamma_A \bar{\Gamma}_B + \Gamma_B \bar{\Gamma}_A = 2 \eta_{AB} \mathbb{1}, \tag{3.26}
$$

and may be obtained from $\gamma_{\mu}, \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$ satisfying [\(2.53\)](#page-9-1) by taking

$$
\Gamma_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\gamma}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma}_{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_{\mu} \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
\Gamma_{d+1} = \bar{\Gamma}_{d+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_{d+2} = \bar{\Gamma}_{d+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.27)
$$

and correspondingly

$$
\Gamma_+ = \bar{\Gamma}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_- = \bar{\Gamma}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (3.28)

Assuming [\(2.54\)](#page-9-7) we may then require

$$
\bar{\Gamma}_A = \mathcal{B}\Gamma_A^{\dagger}\mathcal{B}, \qquad \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.29}
$$

For $d = 2n - 1$ we have $\Gamma_A \Gamma_B + \Gamma_B \Gamma_A = 2 \eta_{AB} 1$ and a representation is given by [\(3.27\)](#page-24-1) with $\bar{\gamma}_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}$ and in this case from [\(2.55\)](#page-10-2) with a Minkowski metric

$$
\Gamma_A = \mathcal{B} \Gamma_A^{\dagger} \mathcal{B}, \qquad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{B}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.30}
$$

For conformal transformations as in (3.4) when $d = 2n$

$$
\mathcal{G}\,\Gamma_A\,\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} = \Gamma_B\,G^B{}_A\,,\qquad \bar{\mathcal{G}}\,\bar{\Gamma}_A\,\mathcal{G}^{-1} = \bar{\Gamma}_B\,G^B{}_A\,,\tag{3.31}
$$

where from [\(3.30\)](#page-24-2)

$$
\mathcal{G}^{-1} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\mathcal{B}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} = \mathcal{B}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{\dagger}\mathcal{B}, \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{B}, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{B}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{B}.
$$
 (3.32)

Alternatively for $d = 2n - 1$

$$
\mathcal{G}\Gamma_A\mathcal{G}^{-1} = \Gamma_B G^B{}_A\,,\qquad \mathcal{G}^{-1} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^\dagger\mathcal{B}\,.
$$

The associated conformal generators are defined similarly to [\(2.56\)](#page-10-5)

$$
S_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{[A} \bar{\Gamma}_{B]}, \qquad \bar{S}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Gamma}_{[A} \Gamma_{B]}, \qquad (3.34)
$$

and satisfy, analogously to [\(2.57\)](#page-10-1),

$$
S_{AB}\Gamma_C - \Gamma_C \bar{S}_{AB} = \eta_{AC}\Gamma_B - \eta_{BC}\Gamma_A, \qquad \bar{S}_{AB}\bar{\Gamma}_C - \bar{\Gamma}_C S_{AB} = \eta_{AC}\bar{\Gamma}_B - \eta_{BC}\bar{\Gamma}_A, (3.35)
$$

so that S_{AB} , \bar{S}_{AB} have the same Lie algebra as L_{AB} in [\(3.24\)](#page-23-4).

From (2.100) when $d = 2n - 1$

$$
\mathcal{C}\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = (-1)^n \Gamma_A^T, \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\mathcal{B}^T, \qquad \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ (-1)^n C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.36}
$$

where $C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} C^T$ and $C^{\dagger}C = 1$, For $d = 2n$ from [\(2.101\)](#page-15-1), [\(2.102\)](#page-15-4)

$$
\mathcal{C}\Gamma_A\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} = \Gamma_A^T, \quad \bar{\mathcal{C}}\bar{\Gamma}_A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \bar{\Gamma}_A^T, \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{B}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots,
$$

$$
\mathcal{C}\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\bar{\Gamma}_A^T, \quad \mathcal{C}\bar{\Gamma}_A\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\Gamma_A^T, \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}^{\dagger} = -\mathcal{B}, \quad n = 1, 3, \dots,
$$
 (3.37)

where with the representation [\(3.27\)](#page-24-1)

$$
\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{C} \\ -C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -C \\ \bar{C} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots, \qquad \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ -C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 3, \dots, \quad (3.38)
$$

where $C = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \bar{C}^T$, $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \mathcal{C}^T$ respectively.

In [\(3.31\)](#page-24-3) we may then take

$$
\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}, \ n = 2, 4, \dots, \ \mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}, \ \mathcal{C}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^T\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}, \ n = 1, 3, \dots, \tag{3.39}
$$

and in [\(3.33\)](#page-25-0)

$$
\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{G}^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{G}^T\mathcal{C}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C} \,. \tag{3.40}
$$

For spinors an extension to corresponding spinor fields defined on the null cone and transforming covariantly

$$
\psi(x) \to \Psi(X), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \to \bar{\Psi}(X), \quad \Psi(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}} \Psi(X), \quad \bar{\Psi}(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{\Psi}(X), \tag{3.41}
$$

then requires doubling the number of spinor components. Assuming [\(3.29\)](#page-24-4), or [\(3.30\)](#page-24-2), requires $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^{\dagger} \mathcal{B}$. The degrees of freedom of Ψ , $\bar{\Psi}$ are reduced to those for ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ by imposing

$$
\overline{\Gamma}_A X^A \Psi(X) = 0, \qquad \overline{\Psi}(X) \Gamma_A X^A = 0.
$$
\n(3.42)

With these conditions in the representation provided by [\(3.27\)](#page-24-1),

$$
\bar{\Gamma}_A X^A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma} \cdot X & X^+ \\ X^- & -\gamma \cdot X \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_A X^A = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \cdot X & X^+ \\ X^- & -\bar{\gamma} \cdot X \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.43}
$$

the converse to [\(3.41\)](#page-25-1), $\Psi(X) \to \psi(x)$, $\Psi(X) \to \bar{\psi}(x)$, is given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \psi(x) \\ -\bar{\gamma} \cdot x \, \psi(x) \end{pmatrix} = (X^+)^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \, \Psi(X(x)), \quad (\bar{\psi}(x) \, \bar{\gamma} \cdot x \, \bar{\psi}(x)) = (X^+)^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \, \bar{\Psi}(X(x)), \quad (3.44)
$$

so that

$$
\psi(x) = (X^+)^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \bar{\epsilon}(x) \Psi(X(x)), \qquad \bar{\psi}(x) = (X^+)^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \bar{\Psi}(X(x)) \epsilon(x).
$$
 (3.45)

with $\bar{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
e_{\mu}^{A}(x)\,\bar{\epsilon}(x)\Gamma_{A} = \gamma_{\mu}\,\bar{\epsilon}'(x)\,,\quad e_{\mu}^{A}(x)\,\bar{\epsilon}'(x)\bar{\Gamma}_{A} = \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}\,\bar{\epsilon}(x)\,,\quad \bar{\epsilon}(x)\Gamma_{-} = \bar{\epsilon}'(x)\bar{\Gamma}_{-} = 0\,,\tag{3.46}
$$

and similarly for ϵ .

Alternatively to [\(3.41\)](#page-25-1) spinor fields may be extended to the null cone as

$$
\psi(x) \to \Psi'(X), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \to \bar{\Psi}'(X), \quad \Psi'(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \Psi'(X), \quad \bar{\Psi}'(\lambda X) = \lambda^{-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \bar{\Psi}'(X), \tag{3.47}
$$

where the degrees of freedom are now halved by imposing the equivalence relations

$$
\Psi'(X) \sim \Psi'(X) + \bar{\Gamma}_A X^A \zeta(X) , \qquad \bar{\Psi}'(X) \sim \bar{\Psi}'(X) + \bar{\zeta}(X) \Gamma_A X^A , \qquad (3.48)
$$

for arbitrary spinors $\zeta(X)$, $\overline{\zeta}(X)$ of appropriate homogeneity. The equivalence to [\(3.41\)](#page-25-1) is obtained by taking

$$
\Psi(X) = \Gamma_A X^A \Psi'(X), \qquad \bar{\Psi}(X) = \bar{\Psi}'(X) \bar{\Gamma}_A X^A. \tag{3.49}
$$

The infinitesimal conformal transformation in [\(3.23\)](#page-23-5) extends to spinor fields by taking

$$
\delta_{\omega}\Psi = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega^{AB}\left(L_{AB} + S_{AB}\right)\Psi\,,\qquad \delta_{\omega}\bar{\Psi} = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega^{AB}\left(L_{AB}\bar{\Psi} - \bar{\Psi}\,S_{AB}\right). \tag{3.50}
$$

Under the reduction $\Psi \to \psi$, $\bar{\Psi} \to \bar{\psi}$ given by [\(3.44\)](#page-26-0) $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \, \omega^{AB} \, S_{AB} \Psi \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \, \omega_v^{\mu\nu} s_{\mu\nu} \psi - \frac{1}{2}$ Under the reduction $\Psi \to \psi$, $\Psi \to \psi$ given by $(3.44) \frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} S_{AB} \Psi \to \frac{1}{2} \omega_v^{\mu\nu} s_{\mu\nu} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_v \psi$,
 $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} \bar{\Psi} S_{AB} \to \frac{1}{2} \omega_v^{\mu\nu} s_{\mu\nu} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_v \psi$, $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} \, \bar{\Psi} \, S_{AB} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \, \omega_v^{\ \mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \, \bar{s}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \sigma_v \bar{\psi}$. Corresponding to [\(3.47\)](#page-26-1)

$$
\delta_{\omega}\Psi' = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega^{AB}\left(L_{AB} + \bar{S}_{AB}\right)\Psi', \qquad \delta_{\omega}\bar{\Psi}' = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega^{AB}\left(L_{AB}\bar{\Psi}' - \bar{\Psi}'\,\bar{S}_{AB}\right). \tag{3.51}
$$

These transformations show that

$$
V_A(X) = \bar{\Psi}(X)\Gamma_A\Psi'(X)\,,\tag{3.52}
$$

transforms as a vector field as required in [\(3.23\)](#page-23-5) with scale dimension 2∆. Furthermore the constraint [\(3.42\)](#page-25-2) and the arbitrariness [\(3.48\)](#page-26-2) translate into [\(3.22\)](#page-23-6) and $V_A \sim -\bar{\Psi}' \bar{\Gamma}_A \Psi$. The transformations [\(3.50\)](#page-26-3) and [\(3.51\)](#page-26-4) suggest $\bar{\Psi}\Psi$ and $\bar{\Psi}'\Psi'$ are scalars but $\bar{\Psi}\Psi = 0$ and $\bar{\Psi}'\Psi'$ is not invariant under [\(3.48\)](#page-26-2) and so does not correspond to a scalar on the projective null

cone. In odd dimensions, when Γ_A and $\overline{\Gamma}$ can be identified, $\Psi\Psi' = \overline{\Psi}'\Psi$ is a scalar which is invariant under [\(3.48\)](#page-26-2).

The transformations corresponding to (3.14) are obtained by a rotation in the 1- $(d+1)$ plane,

$$
\Psi_{\theta}(X) = \left(\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta \, \mathbb{1} - \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta \, \Gamma_1 \bar{\Gamma}_{d+1}\right) \Psi(X_{-\theta}).\tag{3.53}
$$

It is easy to check that this is consistent with [\(3.42\)](#page-25-2) and on reduction is identical with $(2.61).$ $(2.61).$

The two point function for Φ

$$
\langle \Phi(X)\,\Phi(Y) \rangle = \frac{1}{(X \cdot Y)^{\Delta}},\tag{3.54}
$$

is determined by invariance under $O(d+1, 1)$ or $O(d, 2)$ and [\(3.18\)](#page-23-1) and is easily seen to be equivalent to [\(2.86\)](#page-13-3). Corresponding to [\(2.87\)](#page-13-2)

$$
\langle V_A(X) V_B(Y) \rangle = \frac{\eta_{AB} X \cdot Y + 2 Y_A X_B + \alpha X_A Y_B}{(X \cdot Y)^{\Delta + 1}},
$$

$$
\langle \Psi(X) \overline{\Psi}(Y) \rangle = \frac{\Gamma_A X^A \overline{\Gamma}_B Y^B}{(X \cdot Y)^{\Delta + \frac{1}{2}}},
$$
 (3.55)

with α arbitrary. These results are equivalent to [\(3.20\)](#page-23-7) and [\(3.42\)](#page-25-2). To reduce the vector two point function we may note that $e_{\mu}{}^{A}(x)Y_{A}(y) = (y-x)_{\mu}$, $e_{\nu}{}^{B}(y)X_{B}(x) = (x-y)_{\nu}$.

3.3 Reduction to Low Dimensions

In the obviously interesting cases of three and four dimensions the embedding formalism and the special properties of Dirac matrices allow further simplifications.

In four dimensions from [\(3.39\)](#page-25-3) $\bar{G}^{-1} = C^{-1} \mathcal{G}^T \mathcal{C}$ determines $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ in terms of \mathcal{G} . Furthermore from [\(3.31\)](#page-24-3) and [\(3.37\)](#page-25-4)

$$
\mathcal{G}\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T = \Gamma_B\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^B_A, \qquad (\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1})^T = -\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1}, \qquad (3.56)
$$

requires no restriction on G since the six $\Gamma_A C^{-1}$ form a basis for antisymmetric 4×4 matrices. Hence G is constrained just by (3.32) , $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}=\mathcal{B}$, and since, up to an equivalence, $\mathcal{B} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ this requires $\mathcal{G} \in SU(2, 2)$ and the conformal group $SO(4, 2) \simeq SU(2, 2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, with dimension 15.

With explicit indices $(\Gamma_A C^{-1})_{ab} = -(\Gamma_A C^{-1})_{ba}$, $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Since ϵ^{abcd} is an invariant tensor for $SU(2, 2)$ then we must have, by a choice of normalisation for Γ_A ,

$$
\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{abcd} \left(\Gamma_A C^{-1} \right)_{ab} \left(\Gamma_B C^{-1} \right)_{cd} = \eta_{AB} . \tag{3.57}
$$

This allows $\bar{\Gamma}_A$ to be defined by

$$
(\mathcal{C}\bar{\Gamma}_A)^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} \left(\Gamma_A \mathcal{C}^{-1}\right)_{cd},\tag{3.58}
$$

since

$$
0 = \frac{5}{4} \delta_f^{[e} \epsilon^{abcd]} (\Gamma_A C^{-1})_{ab} (\Gamma_B C^{-1})_{cd}
$$

= $2 \delta_f^e \eta_{AB} - (\Gamma_A C^{-1})_{fb} (\mathcal{C} \bar{\Gamma}_B)^{be} - (\Gamma_B C^{-1})_{fd} (\mathcal{C} \bar{\Gamma}_A)^{de},$ (3.59)

verifying the Dirac algebra [\(3.26\)](#page-24-5). For any six dimensional X^A then equivalently we can consider 4×4 antisymmetric matrices given by

$$
\mathcal{X}_{ab} = X^A (\Gamma_A \mathcal{C}^{-1})_{ab} , \qquad \bar{\mathcal{X}}^{ab} = X^A (\mathcal{C} \bar{\Gamma}_A)^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} \mathcal{X}_{cd} , \qquad (3.60)
$$

where

$$
Pf(\mathcal{X}) \equiv \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{abcd} \mathcal{X}_{ab} \mathcal{X}_{cd} = \eta_{AB} X^A X^B , \qquad (3.61)
$$

with Pf denoting the Pfaffian, satisfying $Pf(\mathcal{G} \mathcal{X} \mathcal{G}^T) = \det \mathcal{G} Pf(\mathcal{X})$. For X^A coordinates on the projective null cone then of course $Pf(\mathcal{X}) = 0$. The Minkowski space reality condition requires

$$
\bar{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{B} \mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{B}.
$$
\n(3.62)

In three dimensions, so that there are five 4×4 matrices Γ_A ,

$$
\mathcal{G}\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T = \Gamma_B\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^B{}_A\,,\quad \mathcal{G}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^T = \mathcal{C}^{-1}\,,\qquad \mathcal{C}^T = -\mathcal{C}\,,\quad (\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1})^T = -\Gamma_A\mathcal{C}^{-1}\,,\tag{3.63}
$$

where now $\Gamma_A C^{-1}$, C^{-1} form a basis for 4×4 antisymmetric matrices. The condition $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{G}^T = \mathcal{C}^{-1}$ then implies $\mathcal{G} \in Sp(4)$. In addition in this case

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^*\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}, \qquad (\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B})^T = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}, \qquad (3.64)
$$

which ensures that the representation is equivalent to a real representation, essentially taking $\mathcal{CB} = \mathbb{1}$, belonging to $Sp(4,\mathbb{R})$, and hence $SO(3,2) \simeq Sp(4,\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}_2$, with dimension 10. Since $\Gamma_A = \mathcal{BC}^{-1} \Gamma_A^* \mathcal{CB}$ the Gamma matrices are real if $\mathcal{CB} = 1$.

4 Energy Momentum Tensor

In any local quantum field theory the energy momentum tensor plays an important role. In an arbitrary CFT such a local field may not be present but if the CFT is derived from a conformally invariant action then Noether's theorem provides a construction of the energy momentum tensor. To show this we assume an action $S[\varphi]$ which is a local functional of fundamental fields φ and various derivatives is conformally invariant so that for a conformal Killing vector v_{μ} there is an action $\delta_{v}\varphi$ so that $\delta_{v}S[\varphi]=0$. Conformal primary fields constructed in terms of φ then transform as in [\(2.48\)](#page-9-4) or [\(2.52\)](#page-9-6).

The energy momentum tensor can be constructed, using a version of Noether's theorem, by extending conformal transformations $\delta_v \varphi$ so as to allow v_μ to be unconstrained and also $\omega_v^{\mu\nu} \to \omega^{\mu\nu}, \sigma_v \to \sigma$, for arbitrary $\omega^{\mu\nu}(x) = -\omega^{\nu\mu}(x), \sigma(x)$, so that [\(2.48\)](#page-9-4) becomes

$$
\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma}\phi_I = -v^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_I - \sigma \Delta \phi_I + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (s_{\mu\nu})_I{}^J \phi_J , \qquad (4.1)
$$

where Δ may be a matrix. In this case we must have

$$
\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma}S[\varphi] = \int d^d x \left((\partial_\mu v_\nu - \omega_{\mu\nu} - \sigma \,\eta_{\mu\nu}) T_c^{\mu\nu} + (\partial_\rho \,\omega_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \sigma \,\eta_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\nu \sigma \,\eta_{\rho\mu}) X^{\rho\mu\nu} + (\partial_\rho \partial_\nu v_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} \,\partial_\nu \sigma - \eta_{\mu\nu} \,\partial_\rho \sigma + \eta_{\rho\nu} \,\partial_\mu \sigma) Y^{\mu\rho\nu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \sigma \, Z^{\mu\nu} \right),
$$
\n
$$
X^{\rho\mu\nu} = -X^{\rho\nu\mu}, \qquad Y^{\mu\rho\nu} = Y^{\mu\nu\rho}, \qquad Z^{\mu\nu} = Z^{\nu\mu}, \qquad (4.2)
$$

since the right side vanishes when restricted to an infinitesimal conformal transformation as a consequence of (2.11) , (2.12) , (2.5) and (2.6) . The equations of motion are obtained by requiring $\delta S[\phi_I] = 0$ for arbitrary $\delta \phi_I$. Hence varying $v^{\mu}, \omega^{\mu\nu}, \sigma$ independently gives

$$
\partial_{\mu}T_{c}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\rho}\partial_{\mu}Y^{\nu\rho\mu},
$$

\n
$$
T_{c}^{[\mu\nu]} = -\partial_{\rho}X^{\rho\mu\nu},
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}T_{c}^{\mu\nu} = 2\,\partial_{\mu}X^{\rho\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\nu} + 2\,\partial_{\mu}Y^{\rho\nu\mu}\eta_{\rho\nu} - \partial_{\mu}Y^{\mu\rho\nu}\eta_{\rho\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}Z^{\mu\nu},
$$
\n(4.3)

subject to ϕ satisfying its equations of motion. In general $T_c^{\mu\nu}$, which may be regarded as the canonical energy momentum tensor, is neither symmetric or traceless or indeed conserved in general. However, defining

$$
T^{\mu\nu} = T_c^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\rho \left(X^{\rho\mu\nu} - X^{\mu\rho\nu} - X^{\nu\rho\mu} + Y^{\rho\mu\nu} - Y^{\mu\nu\rho} - Y^{\nu\mu\rho} \right) + \mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} Z_{\sigma\rho} ,\qquad(4.4)
$$

with the differential operator

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} = \frac{1}{d-2} \left(\eta^{\mu(\sigma}\partial^{\rho})\partial^{\nu} + \eta^{\nu(\sigma}\partial^{\rho)}\partial^{\mu} - \eta^{\mu(\sigma}\eta^{\rho)\nu}\partial^{2} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\partial^{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{(d-2)(d-1)} \left(\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^{2} \right) \eta^{\sigma\rho},
$$
\n(4.5)

which is constructed to satisfy $\partial_\mu \mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} = 0$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} = -\partial^\sigma \partial^\rho$, ensures, subject to the equations of motion,

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad T^{[\mu\nu]} = 0, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0.
$$
\n(4.6)

In a CFT taking $T^{\mu\nu}$ as the energy momentum tensor ensures that it is symmetric and traceless as well as conserved, the additional contributions involving X, Y, Z are 'improvement' terms. With the improvement (4.4) , (4.2) becomes

$$
\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma} S[\phi_I] = \int d^d x \, (\partial_\mu v_\nu - \omega_{\mu\nu} - \sigma \, \eta_{\mu\nu}) T^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4.7}
$$

which directly implies (4.6) subject to the equations of motion.

For any conformal Killing vector satisfying [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2), [\(4.6\)](#page-29-2) implies that there is an associated conserved current

$$
J_v^{\mu} = T^{\mu\nu} v_{\nu} \Rightarrow \partial_{\mu} J_v^{\mu} = T^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} v_{\nu} = 0.
$$
 (4.8)

An alternative prescription for determining the energy momentum tensor is possible if the theory is extended to an arbitrary curved background with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ so that $S[\varphi] \rightarrow$ $S[\varphi, g_{\mu\nu}]$. In this case we may define a symmetric energy momentum tensor by

$$
T^{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} S[\varphi, g_{\rho\tau}]. \tag{4.9}
$$

Invariance under diffeomorphisms, $\delta_v \varphi = -\mathcal{L}_v \varphi$, $\delta_v g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu v_\nu + \nabla_\nu v_\mu$, for \mathcal{L}_v an appropriate Lie derivative, requires

$$
\int d^d x \left(-\mathcal{L}_v \varphi \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} + (\nabla_\mu v_\nu + \nabla_\nu v_\mu) \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \right) S[\varphi, g_{\rho\tau}] = 0. \tag{4.10}
$$

Varying v ensures conservation

$$
\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (4.11)
$$

up to terms which vanish on the equations of motion. For Weyl invariant theories S is invariant under local Weyl rescalings of the metric so that $S[e^{-\sigma \Delta} \varphi, e^{2\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}] = S[\varphi, g_{\mu\nu}]$. Infinitesimally

$$
\int d^d x \,\sigma \bigg(-\Delta_{\varphi}\varphi \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} + 2\,g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\bigg) S[\varphi, g_{\rho\tau}] = 0\,,\tag{4.12}
$$

which implies by varying σ

$$
g_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (4.13)
$$

so long as ϕ satisfies the equations of motion. If [\(4.12\)](#page-30-0) holds up to contributions involving two derivatives of σ then these can generally be removed, so as to restore [\(4.12\)](#page-30-0), by adding appropriate curvature dependent contributions to S.

Weyl invariant theories reduce to CFTs on flat space since for conformal Killing vectors the metric variation can be eliminated between [\(4.10\)](#page-30-1) and [\(4.12\)](#page-30-0)

$$
\nabla_{\mu} v_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} v_{\mu} = 2 \sigma g_{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int d^d x \left(-\mathcal{L}_v \varphi + \sigma \Delta_{\varphi} \varphi \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} S[\varphi, g_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \tag{4.14}
$$

Reduced to flat space, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, this ensures that S is conformally invariant.

For a free scalar field φ with $\Delta_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(d-2)$ then the extension of (4.29) to a Weyl invariant action on curved space has the form

$$
S[\varphi, g_{\mu\nu}] = -\int \mathrm{d}^d x \sqrt{-g} \; \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial^\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi + \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)} R \, \varphi^2 \big) \,, \tag{4.15}
$$

with R the scalar curvature. Under a Weyl rescaling $g_{\mu\nu} \to e^{2\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}$, $\sqrt{-g} \to e^{d\sigma} \sqrt{-g}$, then $R \to e^{-2\sigma} (R - 2(d - 1) \nabla^2 \sigma - (d - 1)(d - 2) \partial^{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\mu} \sigma)$ and, for $\varphi \to e^{-\frac{1}{2}(d - 2)\sigma} \varphi$,

$$
\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\mu}\varphi \to e^{-d\sigma} \left(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\mu}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(d-2)\left(\nabla^{2}\sigma\,\varphi^{2} - \nabla_{\mu}(\partial^{\mu}\sigma\,\varphi^{2})\right) + \frac{1}{4}(d-2)^{2}\,\partial^{\mu}\sigma\partial_{\mu}\sigma\,\varphi^{2}\right),\tag{4.16}
$$

which is sufficient to verify the invariance of S in (4.15) . For variations of the metric which is so $\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g}, \delta_g R = (\nabla^{\mu}\nabla^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}\nabla^2 - R^{\mu\nu}) \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and it is easy to see that [\(4.9\)](#page-29-3) for S given by (4.15) gives an identical result to (4.31) for the energy momentum tensor on reduction to flat space.

If the theory defined by $S[\varphi]$ on flat space is just scale invariant, in addition to invariance under translations and rotations or Lorentz transformations, then it is necessary to restrict σ in [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2) to be just a constant, so that in [\(2.9\)](#page-4-5) $b_{\mu} = 0$. In such a case [\(4.2\)](#page-29-1) is relaxed to

$$
\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma} S[\varphi]_{\text{Scale}} = \delta_{v,\omega,\sigma} S[\varphi] - \int d^d x \, \partial_\mu \sigma \, V^\mu \,, \tag{4.17}
$$

for some vector field V^{μ} termed the virial current and where in [\(4.2\)](#page-29-1) we set $Y^{\mu\rho\nu} = Z^{\mu\nu} = 0$. The trace condition now becomes

$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}V^{\mu}.
$$
\n(4.18)

In this case there is a conserved current associated with scale transformations

$$
J_{\text{Scale}}^{\mu} = T^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu} - V^{\mu}, \qquad (4.19)
$$

but no associated current associated with special conformal transformations. If

$$
V^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} L^{\mu\nu}, \qquad L^{\mu\nu} = L^{\nu\mu}.
$$
\n(4.20)

then [\(4.17\)](#page-30-3) becomes equivalent to [\(4.2\)](#page-29-1) with now $Z^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu}$ so that

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{improved}} = T^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} L_{\sigma\rho} \,, \tag{4.21}
$$

with $\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}$ defined in [\(4.5\)](#page-29-4), is an improved conserved traceless energy momentum tensor and scale invariance extends to the full conformal group.

4.1 Ward Identities

In a CFT correlation functions involving the energy momentum tensor $\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \dots \rangle$ satisfy Ward identities. In two dimensional CFTs the energy momentum tensor, when $T_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow$ $T(z) = T_{zz}(x), \overline{T}(\overline{z}) = T_{\overline{z}\overline{z}}(x), \langle T(z) X \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{T}(\overline{z}) X \rangle$ are fully determined in terms of $\langle X \rangle$ by the extended Virasoro identities for any X which is a product of conformal primary fields. However for $d > 2$ Ward identities still provide constraints without determining $\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \dots \rangle$ completely although the identities do give a precise prescription for the normalisation of $T^{\mu\nu}$.

In a Lagrangian theory the correlation functions are determined by functional integrals, continuing here to a Euclidean metric (the Minkowski identities are obtained by letting $\delta^d(x-y) \to i \delta^d(x-y)),$

$$
\langle \phi_I(x) \dots \rangle = \int d[\varphi] \; e^S \; \phi_I(x) \dots \,, \tag{4.22}
$$

We assume that the functional measure is invariant under $\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma}\varphi$ (of course classical conformal invariance of S is generally broken by quantum anomalies but here we assume the theory is at a fixed point) and then considering variations as in (4.1) and (4.7) we have the identity

$$
\int d^d x \left(\partial_\mu v_\nu(x) - \omega_{\mu\nu}(x) - \sigma(x) \eta_{\mu\nu} \right) \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \phi_I(y) \dots \rangle + \langle \delta_{v,\omega,\sigma} \phi_I(y) \dots \rangle = 0. \quad (4.23)
$$

Varying v, ω, σ gives three independent Ward identities

$$
\partial_{x\mu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle = -\delta^d(x-y)\,\partial_y^{\nu}\langle\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle,
$$

$$
\langle T^{[\mu\nu]}(x)\,\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle = \delta^d(x-y)\,\frac{1}{2}(s^{\mu\nu})_I^J\langle\phi_J(y)\dots\rangle,
$$

$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle = -\Delta\,\delta^d(x-y)\,\langle\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle.
$$
 (4.24)

However Ward identities involving the energy momentum tensor are not unique since $T^{\mu\nu}(x)\phi_I(y)$ is arbitrary, as a result of $T^{\mu\nu}$ having dimension d, up to contact terms proportional to $\delta^d(x-y)$. Letting

$$
T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y) \to T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y) + \delta^d(x-y)\,\left(\frac{1}{2}(s^{\mu\nu})_I{}^J - (X^{\mu\nu})_I{}^J\right)\phi_J(y)\,,\tag{4.25}
$$

for some $(X^{\mu\nu})_I{}^J = (X^{\nu\mu})_I{}^J$ satisfying $[X^{\mu\nu}, \frac{1}{2}]$ $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\sigma \rho} s_{\sigma \rho}$ = $\omega^{\mu}{}_{\lambda} X^{\lambda \nu} + \omega^{\nu}{}_{\lambda} X^{\mu \lambda}$, requiring then $\eta_{\mu\nu}(X^{\mu\nu})_I{}^J = X \,\delta_I{}^J$. With the change (4.25) , (4.24) becomes

$$
\partial_{x\mu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle = -\delta^d(x-y)\,\partial_y^{\nu}\langle\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle \n- \partial_{x\mu}\delta^d(x-y)\big(\frac{1}{2}(s^{\mu\nu})_I^J - (X^{\mu\nu})_I^J\big)\langle\phi_J(y)\dots\rangle\,,
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle = (X-\Delta)\,\delta^d(x-y)\,\langle\phi_I(y)\dots\rangle\,,
$$
\n(4.26)

with $T^{[\mu\nu]} = 0$ including contact terms. The freedom arising from the choice of $(X^{\mu\nu})_I{}^J$ can be used to recast the Ward identities in various different forms. For scalars taking $X^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}$ we get

$$
\partial_{x\mu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi(y)\dots\rangle = \partial_x^{\nu}\delta^d(x-y)\,\langle\phi(x)\dots\rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\,\phi(y)\dots\rangle = (d-\Delta)\,\delta^d(x-y)\,\langle\phi(y)\dots\rangle,
$$
\n(4.27)

and for vectors if $(X^{\mu\nu})_\rho{}^\lambda = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_\rho{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\ \nu}_{\rho}+\eta^{\nu\lambda}\delta^{\ \mu}_{\rho})$ [\(4.26\)](#page-32-4) simplifies to

$$
\partial_{x\mu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)V_{\rho}(y)\dots\rangle = \partial_x^{\nu}\delta^d(x-y)\langle V_{\rho}(x)\dots\rangle - \delta_{\rho}^{\nu}\partial_x^{\lambda}\delta^d(x-y)\langle V_{\lambda}(x)\dots\rangle,
$$

$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)V_{\rho}(y)\dots\rangle = (d-1-\Delta)\delta^d(x-y)\langle V_{\rho}(y)\dots\rangle.
$$
 (4.28)

[\(4.27\)](#page-32-5) and [\(4.28\)](#page-32-6) are the Ward identities obtained starting from curved space with the definition [\(4.9\)](#page-29-3) and considering diffeomorphisms and Weyl scale transformations $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ = $\nabla_{\mu}v_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}v_{\mu} + 2\sigma g_{\mu\nu}$ and extending [\(4.10\)](#page-30-1), [\(4.12\)](#page-30-0) to include sources or couplings dual to ϕ_I . In [\(4.28\)](#page-32-6) the trace identity vanishes if V_ρ is conserved so that $\Delta = d - 1$.

4.2 Free Fields

As an illustration of the construction of the energy momentum tensor we consider a free spinless scalar field with an action

$$
S_{\varphi}[\varphi] = -\int d^d x \, \frac{1}{2} \, \partial^{\mu} \varphi \, \partial_{\mu} \varphi \,, \qquad \Delta_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} (d - 2) \,. \tag{4.29}
$$

Under transformations of φ as in [\(4.1\)](#page-28-1) the variation of S can be expressed in the form [\(4.2\)](#page-29-1) with

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,c} = \partial^{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{\nu}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\partial^{\rho}\varphi\partial_{\rho}\varphi\,, \qquad Z^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi} = -\frac{1}{2}(d-2)\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\varphi^2\,. \tag{4.30}
$$

The construction [\(4.4\)](#page-29-0) then gives for the free scalar field

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi} = \partial^{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{\nu}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\partial^{\rho}\varphi\partial_{\rho}\varphi - \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}\left(\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\,\partial^{2}\right)\varphi^{2},\tag{4.31}
$$

from which it is easy to verify

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi} = \partial^2 \varphi \,\partial^{\nu}\varphi \,, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}(d-2)\,\partial^2 \varphi \,\varphi \,, \tag{4.32}
$$

which of course vanish when $\partial^2 \varphi = 0$.

A less trivial example is the higher derivative non unitary scalar theory with an action

$$
S_{\varphi,4}[\varphi] = -\int \mathrm{d}^d x \; \frac{1}{2} \, \partial^2 \varphi \, \partial^2 \varphi \,, \qquad \Delta_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}(d-4) \,. \tag{4.33}
$$

In this case, using $\partial_{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^2 \varphi = \partial^{\rho}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi \,\partial_{\rho}\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\,\partial_\mu(\partial^\rho\varphi\partial_\rho\varphi),$

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4,c} = 2 \,\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \,\eta^{\mu\nu} \,\partial^{2}\varphi \partial^{2}\varphi, \qquad Y^{\mu\rho\nu}_{\varphi,4} = \eta^{\rho\nu} \,\partial^{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi, Z^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4} = 2 \,\partial^{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{\nu}\varphi - \eta^{\mu\nu} \,\partial^{\rho}\varphi \partial_{\rho}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(d-4) \,\eta^{\mu\nu} \,\partial^{2}\varphi \,\varphi.
$$
 (4.34)

From [\(4.4\)](#page-29-0)

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4} = 2 \,\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \,\eta^{\mu\nu} \,\partial^{2}\varphi \partial^{2}\varphi - \partial^{\mu}(\partial^{\nu}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi) - \partial^{\nu}(\partial^{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi) + \eta^{\mu\nu} \,\partial_{\rho}(\partial^{\rho}\varphi \,\partial^{2}\varphi) + 2\,\mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}\varphi \,\partial_{\rho}\varphi) - \frac{1}{d-1} \,(\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^{2})(\partial^{\rho}\varphi \,\partial_{\rho}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}(d-4) \,\partial^{2}\varphi \,\varphi), \quad (4.35)
$$

and then

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4} = -\partial^2\partial^2\varphi\,\partial^{\nu}\varphi\,, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4} = -\frac{1}{2}(d-4)\,\partial^2\partial^2\varphi\,\varphi\,,\tag{4.36}
$$

with $\partial^2 \partial^2 \varphi = 0$ the equation of motion.

Free fermions also provide an example of a CFT for any dimension d . In this case we take

$$
S_{\psi}[\psi,\bar{\psi}] = -\int d^d x \ \bar{\psi} \ \bar{\gamma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \,, \qquad \Delta_{\psi} = \Delta_{\bar{\psi}} = \frac{1}{2}(d-1) \,, \tag{4.37}
$$

where ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ transform as in [\(2.59\)](#page-10-0). Extending (2.59) as in [\(4.1\)](#page-28-1) then the variation of S, using (2.57) , is in the form (4.2) with

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\psi,c} = \bar{\psi}\,\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\psi - \eta^{\mu\nu}\,\bar{\psi}\,\bar{\gamma}\cdot\partial\,\psi\,, \qquad X^{\rho\mu\nu}_{\psi} = -\frac{1}{2}\,\bar{\psi}\,\bar{\gamma}^{\rho}s^{\mu\nu}\,\psi\,.
$$
 (4.38)

Since now $X^{\rho \mu \nu}_{\psi} - X^{\mu \rho \nu}_{\psi} - X^{\nu \rho \mu}_{\psi} = -\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}\,\bar{\psi}\big(\bar{\gamma}^{\rho}s^{\mu\nu}+\bar{s}^{\mu\nu}\,\bar{\gamma}^{\rho}\big)\psi-\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\rho\nu} \bar{\psi} \bar{\gamma}^{\mu} \psi + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \bar{\gamma}^{\rho} \psi$ it follows that (4.4) gives

$$
T^{\mu\nu}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \left(\bar{\gamma}^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\nu} + \bar{\gamma}^{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \right) \psi - \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \bar{\gamma} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\nu} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \left(\bar{\gamma} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial} s^{\mu\nu} + \bar{s}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\gamma} \cdot \partial \right) \psi, \qquad (4.39)
$$

for $\overleftrightarrow{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(\partial - \overleftarrow{\partial})$. The last term, antisymmetric in μ, ν , vanishes on the equations of motion. From (4.39)

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\psi} = \bar{\psi}(\bar{\gamma}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}\partial^{\nu} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\nu}\bar{\gamma}\cdot\partial)\psi, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\psi} = -(d-1)\bar{\psi}\bar{\gamma}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\partial}\psi. \tag{4.40}
$$

In $d = 2n$ dimensions free conformal field theories are obtained in terms of $(n-1)$ -forms $A_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}}$ with an action

$$
S_A[A] = -\int d^{2n}x \, \frac{1}{2n!} F^{\mu_1...\mu_n} F_{\mu_1...\mu_n} , \quad F_{\mu_1...\mu_n} = n \, \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2...\mu_n]} , \quad \Delta_A = n - 1 . \tag{4.41}
$$

[\(4.1\)](#page-28-1) becomes $\delta_{v,\omega,\sigma} A_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} = -(v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + (n-1) \sigma) A_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} - (n-1) \omega_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho} A_{\rho \mu_2...\mu_{n-1}}$ and in [\(4.2\)](#page-29-1)

$$
T_{A,c}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} F^{\mu\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} \partial^{\nu} A_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2n!} \eta^{\mu\nu} F^{\mu_1...\mu_n} F_{\mu_1...\mu_n} ,
$$

$$
X_A^{\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2(n-2)!} \left(F^{\rho\mu\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}} A^{\nu}{}_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}} - F^{\rho\nu\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}} A^{\mu}{}_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}} \right) .
$$
 (4.42)

Since $X_A^{\rho\mu\nu} - X_A^{\mu\rho\nu} - X_A^{\nu\rho\mu} = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} F^{\rho\mu\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}} A^{\nu}{}_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-2}}$ [\(4.4\)](#page-29-0) gives

$$
T_A^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(F^{\mu\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}} F^{\nu}{}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2n} \eta^{\mu\nu} F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \right) + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \partial_{\rho} F^{\rho\mu\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-2}} A^{\nu}{}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-2}} . \tag{4.43}
$$

The last term vanishes subject to the equations of motion, $\partial_{\rho} F^{\rho\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} = 0$, leaving then a symmetric traceless energy momentum tensor. From [\(4.43\)](#page-34-1)

$$
\partial_{\mu}T_{A}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\mu_{1}...\mu_{n-1}} \partial^{\nu}A_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{n-1}} , \quad \eta_{\mu\nu}T_{A}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\mu_{1}...\mu_{n-1}} A_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{n-1}} .
$$
\n(4.44)

4.3 Two Point Function

The energy momentum tensor is present as a conformal primary in all local CFTs. The correlation functions involving $T_{\mu\nu}$ are then of critical interest. The two point function is determined by conformal invariance as in [\(2.83\)](#page-13-4) which here takes the form

$$
S_d^2 \langle T_{\mu\nu}(x) T_{\sigma\rho}(0) \rangle = C_T \frac{1}{(x^2)^d} \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu,\sigma\rho}(x) , \qquad (4.45)
$$

for the inversion tensor in this case given by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu,\sigma\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \big(I_{\mu\sigma}(x) I_{\nu\rho}(x) + I_{\mu\rho}(x) I_{\nu\sigma}(x) \big) - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\sigma\rho} \,, \tag{4.46}
$$

where $I_{\mu\sigma}$ is defined in [\(2.27\)](#page-7-6). The coefficient C_T in [\(4.45\)](#page-34-2) is an intrinsic property of the CFT since the normalisation is prescribed by requiring the Ward identity (4.26) . In (4.45)

$$
S_d = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}d}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}d)},\tag{4.47}
$$

with the factor on the left hand side introduced for later convenience.

For free scalar fields the basic two point function determined by [\(4.29\)](#page-32-1) is

$$
\langle \varphi(x)\,\varphi(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{(d-2)S_d} \frac{1}{(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-2)}}.
$$
\n(4.48)

Since φ^2 is a conformal primary it follows that $\langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi}(x) \partial^{\sigma} \partial^{\rho} \varphi^2(0) \rangle = 0$. To calculate [\(4.45\)](#page-34-2) it is therefore sufficient to evaluate $\langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi}(x) \partial^{\sigma} \varphi \partial^{\rho} \varphi(0) \rangle$ with $T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi}$ given by [\(4.31\)](#page-32-2) and also taking $\partial^2 \varphi = 0$. This gives in this case

$$
C_{T,\varphi} = \frac{d}{d-1} \,. \tag{4.49}
$$

For free fermions then from [\(4.37\)](#page-33-1)

$$
\langle \psi(x)\,\bar{\psi}(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{S_d} \frac{\bar{\gamma} \cdot x}{(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}d}},\tag{4.50}
$$

and [\(4.39\)](#page-33-0) gives

$$
\left\langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\psi}(x) T^{\sigma\rho}_{\psi}(y) \right\rangle = -\text{tr}\left(\gamma^{(\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_x^{\ \nu)} \frac{\overline{\gamma} \cdot (x-y)}{\left((x-y)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}d}} \gamma^{(\sigma} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_y^{\rho)} \frac{\overline{\gamma} \cdot (y-x)}{\left((y-x)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}d}} \right). \tag{4.51}
$$

Hence

$$
C_{T,\psi} = \frac{1}{2} d \text{tr}(\mathbb{1}), \quad \text{tr}(\mathbb{1}) = 2^{n-1} \quad \text{for } d = 2n \text{ or } d = 2n - 1,
$$
 (4.52)

with the even dimensional case corresponding to chiral, or equivalently Weyl, fermions.

The theory of free $(n - 1)$ -forms in $(2n)$ -dimensions with an action (4.41) is a gauge theory and it is necessary to fix the gauge. In a Feynman type gauge

$$
S_A[A] \to -\frac{1}{2(n-1)!} \int d^{2n}x \ \partial^{\lambda} A^{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} \ \partial_{\lambda} A_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} \,, \tag{4.53}
$$

so that

$$
\left\langle A^{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}}(x) A_{\nu_1...\nu_{n-1}}(0) \right\rangle = \frac{(n-2)!}{2 S_{2n}} \frac{1}{(x^2)^{n-1}} \delta^{[\mu_1}{}_{\nu_1} \dots \delta^{\mu_{n-1}}{}_{\nu_{n-1}}.
$$
 (4.54)

Then, with F defined in (4.41) , the two point function for F, which is gauge independent, is given by

$$
\langle F^{\mu_1...\mu_n}(x) F_{\nu_1...\nu_n}(0) \rangle = \frac{n n!}{S_{2n}} \frac{1}{(x^2)^n} I^{[\mu_1}{}_{\nu_1}(x) \dots I^{\mu_n]}{}_{\nu_n}(x) \,. \tag{4.55}
$$

This has the expected form according to (2.83) for $F^{\mu_1...\mu_n}$ a conformal primary. Using [\(4.55\)](#page-35-0) with the expression [\(4.43\)](#page-34-1) for the energy momentum tensor and using the identity $a^{[\mu} b_{[\nu} \, \delta^{\mu_1}{}_{\mu_1} \ldots \delta^{\mu_{n-1}]} = (a^\mu b_\nu \, (d-n) + \delta^\mu_{\ \nu} \, a \cdot b \, (n-1)) (d-2) (d-3) \ldots (d-n+1)/(n \, n!)$ for $d = 2n$ then

$$
C_{T,A} = \frac{2\,n^2(2n-2)!}{(n-1)!^2} \,. \tag{4.56}
$$

For $n = 1$ this coincides with the result for a free scalar as expected. The results [\(4.49\)](#page-34-3), (4.52) were obtained in [\[13\]](#page-59-5) and (4.56) in [\[14\]](#page-59-6).

In four dimensions then for n_S free scalars, n_W Weyl fermions and n_A gauge vector fields

$$
C_T = \frac{4}{3} n_S + 4 n_W + 16 n_A. \tag{4.57}
$$

For the higher derivative theory defined by [\(4.33\)](#page-33-3) the two point function for the scalar field is now

$$
\langle \varphi(x)\,\varphi(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{2(d-4)(d-2)S_d} \,\frac{1}{(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-4)}}\,. \tag{4.58}
$$

Using the expression for the energy momentum tensor in [\(4.35\)](#page-33-4) (it is useful to note that $\partial^{\mu}(\partial^{\nu}\varphi\,\partial^2\varphi)=\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\sigma}(\partial^{\nu}\varphi\,\partial^{\sigma}\varphi)-\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} (\partial_{\sigma} \varphi \, \partial^{\sigma} \varphi))$ determining the energy momentum tensor two point function can be reduced to calculating

$$
\left\langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4}(x) T^{\sigma\rho}_{\varphi,4}(0) \right\rangle = \left\langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4}(x) 2 \partial^{\sigma} \partial^{\rho} \varphi \partial^2 \varphi(0) \right\rangle, \tag{4.59}
$$

since, as $T^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi A}$ $\psi^{\mu\nu}_{\varphi,4}$ is a conformal primary, derivatives of lower dimension conformal primaries in $T_{\omega}^{\sigma\rho}$ $\phi_{\varphi,4}^{\sigma\rho}$ can be dropped and also contributions involving $\partial^2\partial^2\varphi$ vanish at non coincident points. The result is in accord with [\(4.45\)](#page-34-2) with

$$
C_{T,\varphi,4} = -\frac{2d(d+4)}{(d-2)(d-1)}.
$$
\n(4.60)

The negative sign reflects the fact that the theory defined by [\(4.33\)](#page-33-3) is non unitary, it also fails to give a well defined energy momentum tensor when $d = 2$.

5 Operators and States

In a quantum field theory the fields are operators and the symmetry generators also become operators obeying the appropriate Lie algebra. For the conformal group as $O(d+1,1)$ or $O(d, 2)$ the Lie algebra generators belong to $\mathfrak{so}(d+1, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$, which has dimension 1 $\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$ and a basis $M_{AB} = -M_{BA}$. For a scalar $\Phi(X)$ the generators act as

$$
[M_{AB}, \Phi(X)] = L_{AB}\Phi(X). \tag{5.1}
$$

with L_{AB} defined in [\(3.23\)](#page-23-5). [\(5.1\)](#page-36-1) implies the Lie algebra

$$
[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = \eta_{AC} M_{BD} - \eta_{BC} M_{AD} - \eta_{AD} M_{BC} + \eta_{BD} M_{AC}.
$$
 (5.2)

The generators M_{AB} can be decomposed as

$$
A^{B\to} \nu \t d+1 \t d+2
$$

\n
$$
\mu \mu \left(M_{AB} \right) = d+1 \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu\nu} & -\frac{1}{2} (P_{\mu} + K_{\mu}) & \frac{1}{2} (P_{\mu} - K_{\mu}) \\ \frac{1}{2} (P_{\nu} + K_{\nu}) & 0 & -D \\ -\frac{1}{2} (P_{\nu} - K_{\nu}) & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(5.3)

or

$$
M_{-\mu} = -M_{\mu-} = \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} , \qquad M_{+\mu} = -M_{\mu+} = \frac{1}{2} K_{\mu} , \qquad M_{+-} = -M_{-+} = \frac{1}{2} D , \qquad (5.4)
$$

so that the algebra [\(5.2\)](#page-36-2) then gives, using $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\tau}] = \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\tau} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\mu\tau} M_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\tau} M_{\mu\rho},
$$
(5.5)

which is just the Lie algebra for $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(d-1,1)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\pm\rho}\right] &= \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\pm\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\pm\mu}, \qquad \left[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{+-}\right] = 0, \\
\left[M_{+-}, M_{\mp\mu}\right] &= \pm \frac{1}{2} M_{\mp\mu}, \qquad \left[M_{+\mu}, M_{-\nu}\right] = \eta_{\mu\nu} M_{+-} + \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu},\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}\right] &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho} P_{\mu}, \\
\left[M_{\mu\nu}, K_{\rho}\right] &= \eta_{\mu\rho} K_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho} K_{\mu}, \\
\left[D, P_{\mu}\right] &= P_{\mu}, \\
\left[D, K_{\mu}\right] &= -K_{\mu}, \\
\left[K_{\mu}, P_{\nu}\right] &= 2 \,\eta_{\mu\nu} D + 2 \, M_{\mu\nu}.\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}
$$

In applications an important role is played by Casimir operators, most significantly the quadratic Casimir

$$
C_2 = -\frac{1}{2} M_{AB} M^{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} - P^{\mu} K_{\mu} + D(D - d) \,. \tag{5.8}
$$

This of course commutes with all conformal generators. With the representation [\(3.27\)](#page-24-1) and the decomposition [\(5.3\)](#page-36-3) we may write

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{A}\bar{\Gamma}^{B}M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} s^{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu} + \mathbb{1}D & \gamma^{\mu}P_{\mu} \\ -\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}K_{\mu} & \bar{s}^{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\mathbb{1}}D \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (5.9)

The action of the conformal group generators on conformal primary fields ϕ_I may be decomposed as

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\mu\nu}, \phi_I(x)\right] &= L_{\mu\nu} \phi_I(x) - (s_{\mu\nu})_I{}^J \phi_J(x), \quad \left[D, \phi_I(x)\right] = (x \cdot \partial + \Delta) \phi_I(x), \\
\left[P_\mu, \phi_I(x)\right] &= \partial_\mu \phi_I(x), \\
\left[K_\mu, \phi_I(x)\right] &= \left(-x^2 \partial_\mu + 2 x_\mu x \cdot \partial + 2 \Delta x_\mu\right) \phi_I(x) - 2x^\nu (s_{\mu\nu})_I{}^J \phi_J(x).\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}
$$

Under finite scale transformations

$$
e^{\lambda D}\phi_I(x) e^{-\lambda D} = e^{\lambda \Delta} \phi_I(e^{\lambda} x). \tag{5.11}
$$

For the conjugate field $\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}_I(x)\right] &= L_{\mu\nu} \bar{\phi}_I(x) + \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}}(x) \left(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\bar{J}} \bar{I}, \quad \left[D, \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x)\right] = (x \cdot \partial + \Delta) \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x), \\
\left[P_{\mu}, \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x)\right] &= \partial_{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x), \\
\left[K_{\mu}, \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x)\right] &= \left(-x^2 \partial_{\mu} + 2 x_{\mu} x \cdot \partial + 2 \Delta x_{\mu}\right) \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x) + \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}}(x) \left(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\bar{J}} \bar{I} 2x^{\nu}.\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}
$$

Although in general inversion is not a symmetry in CFTs we may use the inversion tensor to define a dual or conjugate field by

$$
\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x) = (x^2)^{-\Delta} \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}I}(x) \phi_I(x/x^2), \quad \tilde{\phi}^I(x) = (x^2)^{-\Delta} \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(x/x^2) \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}I}(x), \tag{5.13}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}I}(x)$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{I}_{I\bar{I}}(x/x^2) = \mathcal{I}_{I\bar{I}}(x)$. From [\(2.84\)](#page-13-5)

$$
x^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}I}(x) = 0, \qquad L_{\mu\nu} I^{\bar{I}I}(x) = (\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}} J^{\bar{J}I}(x) - \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}J}(x) (s_{\mu\nu}) J^{I},
$$

$$
x^{2} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}I}(x) = -2x^{\nu} (\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}} J^{\bar{J}I}(x) = \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}J}(x) (s_{\mu\nu}) J^{I} 2x^{\nu}, \qquad (5.14)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\mu\nu},\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x)\right] &= L_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x) - (\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}}\bar{J}\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{J}}(x)\,, \quad \left[D,\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x)\right] = -(x\cdot\partial + \Delta)\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x)\,, \\
\left[P_{\mu},\tilde{\phi}^{I}(x)\right] &= (x^2\partial_{\mu} - 2x_{\mu}\,x\cdot\partial - 2\,\Delta\,x_{\mu})\tilde{\phi}^{I}(x) + 2x^{\nu}\left(\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\bar{I}}\bar{J}\,\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{J}}(x)\,, \\
\left[K_{\mu},\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x)\right] &= -\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(x)\,,\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}
$$

with similar results for $\tilde{\bar{\phi}}^I$.

For any CFT there is a correspondence between conformal primary fields and states. For the field $\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}$ the associated state is defined by the ket vector

$$
|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\rangle = \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}(0)|0\rangle\,,\tag{5.16}
$$

and then from [\(5.12\)](#page-37-0)

$$
D|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\rangle = \Delta|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\rangle, \qquad M_{\mu\nu}|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\rangle = |\bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}}\rangle (\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{J}}{}_{\bar{I}}, \qquad K_{\mu}|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\rangle = 0. \tag{5.17}
$$

For any such conformal primary the associated infinite dimensional representation space is the Verma module, in integer d dimensions, defined in this case by

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R} = \text{span}\left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^d P_{\mu}^{n_{\mu}} | \bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}} \right\} : n_{\mu} = 0, 1, \dots \right\}. \tag{5.18}
$$

Here R labels the spin representation in d dimensions to which $\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}$ belongs. The states in the basis in [\(5.18\)](#page-38-0), for $n_{\mu} > 0$ for some μ , are the descendants of the conformal primary with $\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}$ the level. The module $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}$ is obviously closed under the action of P_{μ} and the action of the conformal generators D, $M_{\mu\nu}$, K_{μ} on any state in $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}$ is determined using the commutators [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4) and [\(5.17\)](#page-38-1). Thus at level N all states have scale dimension $\Delta + N$. Assuming the spin representation is irreducible so that

$$
-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}\left|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\right\rangle = C_R\left|\bar{\phi}_{\bar{I}}\right\rangle,\tag{5.19}
$$

then for the Casimir operator in [\(5.8\)](#page-37-1) all states in the Verma module have the same eigenvalue so that

$$
C_2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R} = C(\Delta,R) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}, \qquad C(\Delta,R) = \Delta(\Delta - d) + C_R. \tag{5.20}
$$

Corresponding to [\(5.16\)](#page-38-2) there is then an associated dual bra vector given by

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}} \vert = \langle 0 \vert \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(0) \,, \tag{5.21}
$$

where taking the limit $x \to 0$ is well defined in the Euclidean regime. With the definition [\(5.21\)](#page-38-3)

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}^I | D = \Delta \langle \tilde{\phi}^I |, \qquad \langle \tilde{\phi}^I | M_{\mu\nu} = (\bar{s}_{\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}}{}_{\bar{J}} \langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{J}} |, \qquad \langle \tilde{\phi}^I | P_{\mu} = 0, \qquad (5.22)
$$

which define a dual conformal primary. The corresponding dual Verma module is then given by

$$
\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\Delta,R} = \text{span}\left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^d \langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}} | K_{\mu}^{n_{\mu}} : n_{\mu} = 0, 1, \dots \right\}.
$$
\n(5.23)

The two point function [\(2.83\)](#page-13-4) determines a scalar product so that

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}} | \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}} \rangle \equiv \langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}}(0) | \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}}(0) \rangle = \delta^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}.
$$
\n(5.24)

This can be extended to all descendants defining a scalar product on $\bar{V}_{\Delta,R} \times V_{\Delta,R}$ assuming

$$
K_{\mu}^{\dagger} = P_{\mu}, \qquad D^{\dagger} = D, \qquad M_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} = -M_{\mu\nu}.
$$
 (5.25)

which requires the spin matrices $s_{\mu\nu}$, $\bar{s}_{\mu\nu}$ to be anti-hermitian. These hermeticity conditions in (2.54) are of course consistent with the commutation relations (5.5) and (5.7) .

For scalar fields the two point function for ϕ and its dual becomes

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}(x) \phi(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{(1 + x^2 y^2 - 2x \cdot y)^{\Delta}},
$$
\n(5.26)

which reduces to [\(5.24\)](#page-38-4) for $x, y \to 0$.

5.1 Conformal Generators in a Spinorial Basis

In subsection [2.6](#page-15-0) it was shown how for $d = 3, 4, 5, 6$ the spin generators belonging to $\mathfrak{so}(d-1,1)$, or $\mathfrak{so}(d)$, may be expressed in equivalent spinorial bases in each case using the special properties of the Dirac matrices for the particular dimension. Here we extend these results to the conformal generators satisfying [\(5.5\)](#page-36-5) and [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4).

In three dimensions we may re-express $M_{\mu\nu}$, P_{μ} , K_{μ} by writing

$$
M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = M_{\mu\nu} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} ,
$$

\n
$$
P_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} (\gamma^{\mu} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad K^{\alpha\beta} = K^{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} K_{\mu} (C\gamma^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} .
$$
 (5.27)

Using completeness conditions in the form

$$
(C\gamma^{\mu})^{\gamma\delta} \left(\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta}^{\delta} + \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} , \qquad -C^{-1}{}_{\alpha\beta} C^{\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta}^{\delta} - \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} , \qquad (5.28)
$$

and $M_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (s_{\mu\nu})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}$ then [\(5.5\)](#page-36-5), [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, M_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} M_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} M_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}, \qquad \left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, P_{\gamma\delta}\right] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} P_{\alpha\delta} + \delta_{\delta}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma\alpha} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma\delta} \,, \\
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, K^{\gamma\delta}\right] &= -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} K^{\beta\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} K^{\gamma\beta} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} K^{\gamma\delta} \,, \qquad \left[D, P_{\alpha\beta}\right] = P_{\alpha\beta} \,, \quad \left[D, K^{\alpha\beta}\right] = -K^{\alpha\beta} \,, \\
\left[K^{\gamma\delta}, P_{\alpha\beta}\right] &= \delta_{(\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta)}{}^{\delta} D + \delta_{(\alpha}{}^{(\gamma} M_{\beta)}{}^{\delta)} \,, \n\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}
$$

which extends [\(2.108\)](#page-16-6). For a Euclidean metric $s_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger} = -s_{\mu\nu}$, $(C\gamma_{\mu})^{\dagger} = \gamma_{\mu}C^{-1}$ then, corresponding to [\(5.25\)](#page-38-5), the hermeticity conditions in this spinorial basis become

$$
K^{\alpha\beta\dagger} = P_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad M_{\alpha}^{\beta\dagger} = M_{\beta}^{\alpha} , \qquad D^{\dagger} = D . \qquad (5.30)
$$

For $a = (\alpha, \alpha')$, $b = (\beta, \beta')$ the conformal generators are expressible as a 4 \times 4 matrix

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b\right] = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} D & 2P_{\alpha\beta'} \\ -2\,K^{\alpha'\beta} & M^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} - \delta^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -M_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} \,, \tag{5.31}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}_a{}^c \mathcal{C}^{-1}{}_{cb} = \mathcal{M}_{ba} \,, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ab} = \mathcal{C}^{ac} \mathcal{M}_c{}^b = \mathcal{M}^{ba} \,, \tag{5.32}
$$

for

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}^{-1}{}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta'} \\ -\delta^{\alpha'}{}_{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}^{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta'} \\ \delta_{\alpha'}{}^{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.33}
$$

The commutation relations [\(5.29\)](#page-39-1) are then equivalent to

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b,\mathcal{M}_c{}^d\right] = \delta_c{}^b \mathcal{M}_a{}^d - \delta_a{}^d \mathcal{M}_c{}^b + \mathcal{C}^{bd} \mathcal{M}_{ac} + \mathcal{C}^{-1}{}_{ac} \mathcal{M}^{bd}.
$$
 (5.34)

This defines the Lie algebra for $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$.

In four dimensions we may define

$$
M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} , \qquad \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} (\bar{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} , P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} (\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} , \qquad K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \frac{1}{2} K_{\mu} (\bar{\gamma}^{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} .
$$
 (5.35)

Using (2.113) as well as

$$
(\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\left(\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}\right)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = 2\,\delta_{\alpha}^{\ \beta}\,\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\ \dot{\alpha}}\,,\tag{5.36}
$$

the non zero commutators in this spinorial basis which follow from (5.5) , (5.7) are then

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, M_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} M_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} M_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}, & \left[\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \bar{M}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}\right] &= -\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} \bar{M}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} + \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}, \\
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, P_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} P_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}, & \left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, K^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}\right] &= -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} K^{\dot{\gamma}\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} K^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}, \\
\left[\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, P_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\right] &= -\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} P_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} P_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}, & \left[\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, K^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}\right] &= \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} K^{\dot{\alpha}\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} K^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}, \\
\left[D, P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] &= P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, & \left[D, K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\right] &= -K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}, & (5.37)\n\end{aligned}
$$

as well as

$$
\left[K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}, P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] = \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} D + \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}.
$$
\n(5.38)

Corresponding to [\(5.25\)](#page-38-5) the hermeticity conditions in this spinorial basis, with for a Euclidean metric $(s_{\mu\nu})^{\dagger} = -s_{\mu\nu}, \gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$, become

$$
K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta\dot{\dagger}} = P_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad M_{\alpha}^{\beta\dot{\dagger}} = M_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \qquad \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\dagger}} = \bar{M}^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad D^{\dot{\dagger}} = D. \tag{5.39}
$$

Defining

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b\right] = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} D & P_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \\ -K^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} & \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \left[\delta_a{}^b\right] = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5.40)
$$

where $a = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}), b = (\beta, \dot{\beta})$, then the commutators [\(5.37\)](#page-40-0), [\(5.38\)](#page-40-1) are equivalent to

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b,\,\mathcal{M}_c{}^d\right] = \delta_c{}^b \,\mathcal{M}_a{}^d - \delta_a{}^d \,\mathcal{M}_c{}^b\,. \tag{5.41}
$$

This defines the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_4 , which is therefore equivalent to [\(5.2\)](#page-36-2) in this case.

In six dimensions from [\(2.102\)](#page-15-4) the six matrices $C\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$, $\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1}$ form a dual basis for antisymmetric 4×4 matrices with the completeness relation

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left(C\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}\right)^{\gamma\delta}\left(\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\beta}=\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\delta_{\beta}^{\delta}-\delta_{\beta}^{\gamma}\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta}.
$$
\n(5.42)

The spinorial basis for the conformal generators is given by

$$
M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} ,
$$

\n
$$
P_{\alpha\beta} = -P_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} (\gamma^{\mu} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad K^{\alpha\beta} = -K^{\beta\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} K_{\mu} (C\bar{\gamma}^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} .
$$
 (5.43)

Using $M_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (s_{\mu\nu})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}$ then [\(5.5\)](#page-36-5), [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4) are equivalent in this case to

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, M_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} M_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} M_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}\,, \qquad \left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, P_{\gamma\delta}\right] = \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} P_{\alpha\delta} + \delta_{\delta}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma\delta}\,, \\
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, K^{\gamma\delta}\right] &= -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} K^{\beta\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} K^{\gamma\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} K^{\gamma\delta}\,, \quad\n\left[D, P_{\alpha\beta}\right] = P_{\alpha\beta}\,, \quad\n\left[D, K^{\alpha\beta}\right] = -K^{\alpha\beta}\,, \\
\left[K^{\gamma\delta}, P_{\alpha\beta}\right] &= 2 \delta_{\left[\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta\right]}{}^{\delta} D + 4 \delta_{\left[\alpha}{}^{\left[\gamma} M_{\beta\right]}{}^{\delta\right]}\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}
$$

The hermeticity conditions are identical to [\(5.30\)](#page-39-2)

In a similar fashion to three dimensions, but interchanging symmetric and antisymmetric, the conformal generators can be encoded in terms of an 8×8 matrix

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b\right] = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} D & P_{\alpha \beta'} \\ K^{\alpha' \beta} & M^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -M_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}, \qquad (5.45)
$$

which satisfies

$$
\mathcal{M}_{ab} = (\mathcal{M}\mathcal{C}^{-1})_{ab} = -\mathcal{M}_{ba}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ab} = (\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M})^{ab} = -\mathcal{M}^{ba}, \tag{5.46}
$$

for

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}^{-1}{}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta'} \\ \delta^{\alpha'}_{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}^{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta'} \\ \delta_{\alpha'}{}^{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.47}
$$

The commutation relations [\(5.44\)](#page-40-2) are then equivalent to

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_a{}^b,\mathcal{M}_c{}^d\right] = \delta_c{}^b \mathcal{M}_a{}^d - \delta_a{}^d \mathcal{M}_c{}^b - \mathcal{C}^{bd} \mathcal{M}_{ac} - \mathcal{C}^{-1}{}_{ac} \mathcal{M}^{bd},\tag{5.48}
$$

or

$$
[\mathcal{M}_{ab}, \mathcal{M}_{cd}] = -\mathcal{C}^{-1}_{ac} \mathcal{M}_{bd} + \mathcal{C}^{-1}_{bc} \mathcal{M}_{ad} + \mathcal{C}^{-1}_{ad} \mathcal{M}_{bc} - \mathcal{C}^{-1}_{bd} \mathcal{M}_{ac}.
$$
 (5.49)

This is just the standard form for the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ albeit in a spinorial basis, which is a reflection of $SO(8)$ triality, the vector and the two chiral spinor representations each have dimension 8.

In five dimensions $(C\gamma_\mu, C), (\gamma_\mu C^{-1}, C^{-1})$ form a dual basis of antisymmetric matrices with the completeness relations

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left((C\gamma^{\mu})^{\gamma\delta}\left(\gamma_{\mu}C^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\beta} + C^{\gamma\delta}C^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right) = \delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\delta_{\beta}^{\delta} - \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma}\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta},\tag{5.50}
$$

 $\text{implying} -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \left((\gamma^{\mu})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} (\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \right) = C^{-1}{}_{\gamma\alpha} C^{\delta\beta} - \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta},$ and also

$$
\left(\gamma^{\mu}C^{-1}\right)_{\left[\alpha\beta\right.}\left(\gamma^{\nu}C^{-1}\right)_{\gamma\delta]} = \lambda \eta^{\mu\nu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad C^{-1}_{\left[\alpha\beta\right.}C^{-1}_{\left.\gamma\delta\right]} = -\lambda \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},
$$
\n
$$
\left(\gamma^{\mu}C^{-1}\right)_{\left[\alpha\beta\right.}\left(C^{-1}\right)_{\gamma\delta]} = 0,
$$
\n(5.51)

where $\lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ Pf(C^{-1}), with the Pfaffian here defined just as in [\(3.61\)](#page-28-2). The generators in a spinorial basis are given by

$$
M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = M_{\mu\nu} (s^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} , \qquad (CMC^{-1})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = -M_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} ,
$$

\n
$$
P_{\alpha\beta} = -P_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} (\gamma^{\mu} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad K^{\alpha\beta} = -K^{\beta\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} K_{\mu} (C\gamma^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} , \qquad (5.52)
$$

with commutators

$$
\begin{split}\n\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, M_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} M_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} M_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} + C^{\beta \delta} \left(M C^{-1}\right)_{\alpha \gamma} + C^{-1}_{\alpha \gamma} \left(C M\right)^{\beta \delta}, \\
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, P_{\gamma \delta}\right] &= \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} P_{\alpha \delta} + \delta_{\delta}{}^{\beta} P_{\gamma \alpha} - C^{-1}_{\alpha \delta} \left(C P\right)^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} + C^{-1}_{\alpha \gamma} \left(C P\right)^{\beta}{}_{\delta}, \\
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, K^{\gamma \delta}\right] &= -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} K^{\beta \delta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} K^{\gamma \beta} + C^{\beta \delta} \left(K C^{-1}\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha} - C^{\beta \gamma} \left(K C^{-1}\right)^{\delta}{}_{\alpha}, \\
\left[D, P_{\alpha \beta}\right] &= P_{\alpha \beta} \,, \qquad \left[D, K^{\alpha \beta}\right] &= -K^{\alpha \beta}, \\
\left[K^{\gamma \delta}, P_{\alpha \beta}\right] &= 2 \left(\delta_{\left[\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta\right]}{}^{\delta} + \frac{1}{4} C^{-1}_{\alpha \beta} C^{\gamma \delta}\right) D + 2 \delta_{\left[\alpha}{}^{\left[\gamma} M_{\beta\right]}{}^{\delta}\right].\n\end{split} \tag{5.53}
$$

5.2 Positivity

For unitary representations of conformal symmetry it is necessary that the norm of all descendants in the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}$ defined in [\(5.18\)](#page-38-0) should have positive definite norm. This is only possible if there are restrictions on Δ . The bounds on Δ are associated with singular vectors which are descendants $|O_{\Delta',I'}\rangle$ such that, for some $\Delta' > \Delta$

$$
|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'}\rangle \in \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell}, \qquad K_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'}\rangle = 0.
$$
\n(5.54)

The space spanned by $\{|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'}\rangle\}$ is invariant under the action of $M_{\mu\nu}$ and so must form the representation space for a spin representation R'. The states $\{|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'}\rangle\}$ therefore satisfy the conditions [\(5.17\)](#page-38-1) defining a conformal primary. Hence, just as in [\(5.18\)](#page-38-0), there is an associated Verma module $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta',R'}$. Clearly

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\Delta',R'} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R} \,,\tag{5.55}
$$

forms an invariant subspace under the action of the conformal generators. In consequence $V_{\Delta,R}$ is a reducible representation space but this reducibility is eliminated by taking the vector space quotient^{[6](#page-42-1)}

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}/\mathcal{V}_{\Delta',R'}.\tag{5.56}
$$

With the scalar product [\(5.24\)](#page-38-4) extended to $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\Delta,R} \times \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,R}$ it is evident that

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'} | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta',J'} \rangle = 0, \qquad (5.57)
$$

and consequently $|O_{\Delta',J'}\rangle$, and all descendants in in $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta',R'}$, have zero norm or are null. For a unitary representation, with all states having positive norm, it is necessary to set $|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta',I'}\rangle = 0.$

For a conformal primary with arbitrary Δ and spin representation the norms of all descendants can be computed using the commutation relations [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4), with $\eta_{\mu\nu} \to \delta_{\mu\nu}$. To illustrate we consider first a conformal primary scalar $|\phi\rangle$. Then at level one

$$
\langle \phi | K_{\mu} P_{\nu} | \phi \rangle = 2 \langle \phi | (M_{\mu\nu} + \delta_{\mu\nu} D) | \phi \rangle = 2 \delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta . \tag{5.58}
$$

Positivity requires

$$
\Delta > 0, \tag{5.59}
$$

and if $\Delta = 0$ this implies $P_{\nu}|\phi\rangle = 0$, so that $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$, the translationally invariant vacuum $|0\rangle$. At level two

$$
\langle \phi | K_{\sigma} K_{\rho} P_{\mu} P_{\nu} | \phi \rangle = 4\Delta \big((\Delta + 1) (\delta_{\sigma\mu} \delta_{\rho\nu} + \delta_{\sigma\nu} \delta_{\rho\mu}) - \delta_{\sigma\rho} \delta_{\mu\nu} \big) . \tag{5.60}
$$

The eigenvectors are $\delta^{\mu\nu}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\nu\mu}$, $\delta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = 0$, with eigenvalues $4\Delta(2\Delta + 2 - d)$ and $8\Delta(\Delta+1)$. Hence for [\(5.60\)](#page-42-2) to give a positive definite norm we must have

$$
\Delta > \frac{1}{2}(d-2). \tag{5.61}
$$

⁶For a vector space V with a subspace U the quotient $V/U = \{ |v\rangle/\sim : |v\rangle \sim |v'\rangle \text{ if } |v\rangle - |v'\rangle \in U\}$, where it is easy to verify that V/U is a vector space.

Furthermore we must have

$$
\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(d-2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^2|\phi\rangle = 0, \tag{5.62}
$$

which corresponds to ϕ being a free scalar $\partial^2 \phi = 0$. For $\Delta = -1$ there is also a null vector given by $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu} P_{\nu} |\phi\rangle$.

For states with spin we have at level one

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}^{\bar{I}} | K^{\sigma} P_{\rho} | \bar{\phi}_{\bar{J}} \rangle = 2 \big(\delta^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} \delta^{\sigma}{}_{\rho} \Delta - \bar{M}^{\sigma \bar{I}}_{\rho \bar{J}} \big) , \qquad \bar{M}^{\sigma \bar{I}}{}_{\rho \bar{J}} = - (\bar{s}^{\sigma}{}_{\rho})^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{s}_{\mu \nu})^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} (\bar{s}^{\mu \nu})^{\sigma}{}_{\rho} , \tag{5.63}
$$

with $(\bar{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\sigma}{}_{\rho} = -\delta^{\mu\sigma}\,\delta^{\nu}{}_{\rho} + \delta^{\nu\sigma}\,\delta^{\mu}{}_{\rho}$ spin matrices for the vector representation. The matrix $\bar{M}^{\sigma \bar{I}}{}_{\rho \bar{J}}$ is just the conjugate of that defined in [\(2.68\)](#page-11-1) and positivity requires in [\(5.63\)](#page-43-0) that $\Delta > \Delta_i$ the maximum eigenvalue determined by [\(2.71\)](#page-11-3). Hence from the results in [\(2.79\)](#page-12-4) and (2.82) then for symmetric traceless tensors of rank ℓ in general dimensions

$$
\Delta > d - 2 + \ell, \quad \ell \ge 1,
$$
\n^(5.64)

and for mixed symmetry tensors corresponding to a (n, m) Young tableaux

$$
\Delta > d - 2 + n, \quad n > m \ge 1, \qquad \Delta > d - 3 + m, \quad n = m \ge 1. \tag{5.65}
$$

In general the positivity restrictions require separate considerations for each dimension for spinorial representations. Here we list results for $d = 3, 4, 5, 6$ excluding the spin zero case where the bound just reduces to (5.59) . From (2.112) in three dimensions for spin-s spinors

$$
\Delta > \begin{cases} 1+s, & s \ge 1, \\ 1, & s = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}
$$
 (5.66)

In four dimensions from (2.122) for (s, \bar{s}) spinors

$$
\Delta > \begin{cases} 2+s+\bar{s}, & s, \bar{s} \ge \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1+s, & s \ge \frac{1}{2}, \bar{s} = 0, \\ 1+\bar{s}, & \bar{s} \ge \frac{1}{2}, s = 0, \end{cases}
$$
 (5.67)

as was first shown by Mack [\[15\]](#page-59-7). When these bounds are saturated, and the representation becomes reducible, then the corresponding fields are required to obey differential constraints to ensure irreducibility. This for $\Delta = \ell+d-2$ the tensor is conserved, and in four dimensions $\Delta = 1 + s$ for $\bar{s} = 0$ necessitates that the chiral spinor obeys the Dirac equation.

In six dimensions the bounds for the scale dimension of a primary operator with spin representation $[s_1, s_2, s_3]$ follow from (2.135)

$$
\Delta > \begin{cases} 4 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), & s_2 \ge 1, \\ 3 + \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_3), & s_2 = 0, s_1, s_3 \ge 1, \\ 2 + \frac{1}{2}s_1, & s_2 = s_3 = 0, s_1 \ge 1, \\ 2 + \frac{1}{2}s_3, & s_2 = s_1 = 0, s_3 \ge 1. \end{cases}
$$
(5.68)

In five dimensions from [\(2.150\)](#page-21-1)

$$
\Delta > \begin{cases} 3 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2} s_1, & s_2 \ge 1, \\ 2 + \frac{1}{2} s_1, & s_2 = 0, s_1 \ge 2, \\ 2, & s_2 = 0, s_1 = 1. \end{cases}
$$
 (5.69)

Positivity restrictions equivalent to (5.68) , (5.69) were obtained in [\[16\]](#page-59-8) and for general d in [\[17\]](#page-59-9) and [\[18\]](#page-59-10).

6 Conformal and $SO(d)$ Representations

We discuss here some aspects of the representations of the conformal and rotation groups in various dimensions which are relevant in the understanding of CFTs. The Verma module defined in [\(5.18\)](#page-38-0) provides a representation space for the conformal group which has positive energy in the sense that the scale dimension eigenvalues are bounded below by a minimum Δ . Since the conformal group is non compact unitary representations are necessarily infinite dimensional but the Verma module may give rise for particular Δ to a reducible representation.

6.1 Singular Vectors

The Verma module gives a reducible representation when there are singular vectors solving (5.54) .^{[7](#page-44-3)} The determination of singular vectors in general requires finding all vectors in the Verma module, at an arbitrary level, annihilated by K_μ subject to conditions on Δ and the spin of the conformal primary state. Here we determine singular vectors in the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell}$, formed from a conformal primary which is a symmetric traceless tensor of rank ℓ and therefore satisfies

$$
D|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle = \Delta|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle, \qquad K_\mu|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle = 0,
$$

$$
M_{\mu\nu}|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle = \sum_{s=0}^\ell \left(-\delta_{\nu\sigma_s}|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\mu...\sigma_\ell}\rangle + \delta_{\mu\sigma_s}|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\nu...\sigma_\ell}\rangle\right),
$$
 (6.1)

so that $-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu}|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle = C_{[\ell]}|\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1...\sigma_\ell}\rangle$ with $C_{[\ell]} = \ell(\ell+d-2)$. For these states the Casimir eigenvalue is then $C(\Delta, \ell) = \Delta(\Delta - d) + \ell(\ell + d - 2)$. For arbitrary d the Verma module in this case can be expressed as a sum over the level N so that $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell} \simeq \bigoplus_N \mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell,N}$ where

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell,N} = \text{span}\left\{ P_{\mu_1} \dots P_{\mu_N} | \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell} \rangle \right\}.
$$
\n(6.2)

For simplicity we determine here singular vectors which are symmetric traceless tensors

⁷Singular vectors are crucial in the representation theory for Lie algebras. For the simple case of $sl(2)$, with $[J_+, J_-] = 2J_3$, $[J_3, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}$, the highest weight vectors satisfy $J_+ [j, j \rangle = 0$ and then $J_-^{2j+1} |j, j \rangle$ is a singular vector if $2j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ since then $J_+ J_-^{2j+1} |j \nvert j = 0$. Finite dimensional unitary representations, with $J_-=J_+^{\dagger}$, $J_3=J_3^{\dagger}$, as usual are obtained by setting $J_-^{2j+1}|j,j\rangle=0$.

of rank k and are then expressible as

$$
|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t)\rangle = (P \cdot t)^{k-r} (P^2)^n t_{\sigma_1} \dots t_{\sigma_r} P_{\sigma_{r+1}} \dots P_{\sigma_\ell} |\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}\rangle,
$$

\n
$$
n, k, r = 0, 1, \dots, r \leq k, \ell,
$$
\n(6.3)

where t_{μ} is a d-dimensional null vector, $t^2 = 0$. It is easy to check $D|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n+r,r}(t)\rangle =$ $\Delta_{k,n}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}(k,n+r,r}(t)\rangle$ for $\Delta_{k,n} = \Delta + \ell + k + 2n$.

From the commutation relations [\(5.7\)](#page-36-4)

$$
\begin{aligned} \left[K_{\mu}, (P \cdot t)^{k}\right] &= 2k \left(P \cdot t\right)^{k-1} \left(t_{\nu} M_{\mu\nu} + t_{\mu} \left(D + k - 1\right)\right), \\ \left[K_{\mu}, (P^{2})^{n}\right] &= 4n \left(P^{2}\right)^{n-1} \left(P_{\nu} M_{\mu\nu} + P_{\mu} \left(D + n - \frac{1}{2}d\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}
$$

and

$$
K_{\mu} P_{\sigma_{r+1}} \dots P_{\sigma_{\ell}} | \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{\ell}} \rangle = 2(\ell - r)(\Delta - d - r + 1) P_{\sigma_{r+1}} \dots P_{\sigma_{\ell-1}} | \mathcal{O}_{\mu \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{\ell-1}} \rangle, \quad (6.5)
$$

we may obtain

$$
K_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t) \right\rangle = 4n(\Delta + \ell + n - r - \frac{1}{2}d) P_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n-1,r}(t) \right\rangle
$$

+ 2(k - r)(\Delta + k + \ell + 2n - r - 1) t_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n,r}(t) \right\rangle
+ 4nr t_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n-1,r-1}(t) \right\rangle
+ 2(\ell - r)(\Delta - d - r + 1) \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\mu,k,n,r}(t) \right\rangle
- 4nr \left| \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\mu,k,n-1,r-1}(t) \right\rangle, \tag{6.6}

for

$$
|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\sigma,k,n,r}(t)\rangle = (P \cdot t)^{k-r} (P^2)^n t_{\sigma_1} \dots t_{\sigma_r} P_{\sigma_{r+1}} \dots P_{\sigma_{\ell-1}} | \mathcal{O}_{\sigma \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{\ell-1}} \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
n \ge 0, \ \ell \ge 1, \ 0 \le r \le \ell - 1, k. \tag{6.7}
$$

Using [\(6.6\)](#page-45-0) there are singular vectors in $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,\ell}$ when

$$
\Delta = \frac{1}{2}d - m, \qquad k = \ell, \ m = \ell + n - r = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{6.8a}
$$

$$
\Delta = 1 - \ell - m, \qquad n = 0, r = \ell, m = k - \ell = 1, 2, \dots,
$$
 (6.8b)

$$
\Delta = d + k - 1, \qquad n = 0, r = k, k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1.
$$
 (6.8c)

The case $n = 0$, $r = k = \ell$ of course corresponds to the original conformal primary. The two solutions given by $(6.8b)$, $(6.8c)$ are evident from (6.6) . To obtain $(6.8a)$ it is sufficient to assume the singular vectors are of the form

$$
|\mathcal{O}_s^{(\ell)}(t)\rangle = \sum_{-n,0 \le r \le \ell} \epsilon_r \, |\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\ell,n+r,r}(t)\rangle \,, \quad \Delta_s = m - \frac{1}{2}d \,, \tag{6.9}
$$

where ϵ_r are required to satisfy

$$
(\ell - r)(\Delta + 2\ell + 2n + r - 1)\epsilon_r + 2(n + r + 1)(r + 1)\epsilon_{r+1} = 0,
$$

$$
(\ell - r)(\Delta - d - r + 1)\epsilon_r - 2(n + r + 1)(r + 1)\epsilon_{r+1} = 0.
$$
 (6.10)

Consistency requires $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}d - \ell - n$, agreeing with [\(6.8a\)](#page-45-3) for $n \to n - r$ and ensuring also that the coefficient of $P_\mu|{\cal O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n-1,r}(t)\rangle$ vanishes in [\(6.6\)](#page-45-0). The solution for the singular vector in [\(6.9\)](#page-45-4) is then given, up to an arbitrary factor, by

$$
\epsilon_r = \frac{(-1)^r \left(\frac{1}{2}d + \ell + n - 1\right)_r}{2^r r! \left(\ell - r\right)!(n+r)!} \,. \tag{6.11}
$$

As a special case for $\ell = 0$ $(\partial^2)^n | \mathcal{O} \rangle$ is a singular vector for $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}d - n$. For [\(6.8b\)](#page-45-1), [\(6.8c\)](#page-45-2) the singular vectors are just

$$
|O_s^{(k)}(t)\rangle = (P \cdot t)^m t_{\sigma_1} \dots t_{\sigma_\ell} |O_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}\rangle, \quad k = \ell + m, \quad \Delta_s = 1 - \ell,
$$

$$
|O_s^{(k)}(t)\rangle = t_{\sigma_1} \dots t_{\sigma_k} P_{\sigma_{k+1}} \dots P_{\sigma_\ell} |O_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}\rangle, \quad \Delta_s = d + \ell - 1,
$$
 (6.12)

and we may directly verify $C(\Delta_s, k) = C(\Delta_\epsilon, \ell)$ in each case. For [\(6.8a\)](#page-45-3), when from [\(6.9\)](#page-45-4) $\Delta_s = d - \Delta$, $\Delta(d - \Delta)$ is invariant. The results for singular vectors in [\(6.8\)](#page-45-5) were obtained in [\[19\]](#page-59-11).

At level one the conditions in [\(6.8b\)](#page-45-1), [\(6.8c\)](#page-45-2) match the results obtained directly in [\(2.79\)](#page-12-4), while [\(6.8a\)](#page-45-3) for $\ell = 0$, $n = 1$ corresponds to [\(5.62\)](#page-43-2).

6.2 $SO(d)$ Tensorial Representations and Null Vectors

Tensorial representations of $SO(d)$ can be defined for arbitrary d. Irreducible representations are defined in terms of representations of the permutation group and are summarised in terms of Young tableaux but it is also necessary to impose tracelessness under contraction of tensorial indices. For symmetrisation and removal of the traces for a set of tensorial indices it is very convenient to contract them with d-dimensional null vectors.

For the simplest case of totally symmetric rank k tensors it is sufficient to use a single null vector t_{μ} , as was done in [\(6.3\)](#page-45-6) to ensure that $|{\cal O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t)\rangle$ transformed under the action of $M_{\mu\nu}$ as an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{so}(d)$. The action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ on arbitrary $f(t)$ is given by the differential operator

$$
L_{\mu\nu} = -t_{\mu}\,\partial_{\nu} + t_{\nu}\,\partial_{\mu} \,. \tag{6.13}
$$

The action of $L_{\mu\nu}$ preserves the constraint $t^2 = 0$ but more generally to define a derivative acting on $f(t)$ restricted to $t^2 = 0$ it is necessary to extend the usual derivative $\partial_\mu \to \nabla_\mu$ [\[20\]](#page-59-12). For applications here ∇_{μ} is defined by

$$
\nabla_{\mu} f(t) = \partial_{\mu} f(t) - t_{\mu} \frac{1}{2n + d - 4} \partial \cdot \partial f(t), \qquad (6.14)
$$

assuming $f(\lambda t) = \lambda^n f(t)$ and where ∂_μ is the conventional derivative, $\partial_\mu t_\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, without regard to the constraint $t^2 = 0$. Taking $n = 2$, [\(6.14\)](#page-46-1) gives $\nabla_{\mu} t^2 = 0$. With the prescription (6.14) the standard Leibnitz rule for differentiation of a product is then modified to

$$
\nabla_{\mu}(f(t) g(t)) = \nabla_{\mu} f(t) g(t) + f(t) \nabla_{\mu} g(t) - t_{\mu} \frac{2}{2n + d - 4} \nabla_{\nu} f(t) \nabla_{\nu} g(t), \quad n = n_f + n_g. \tag{6.15}
$$

and furthermore

$$
[\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] = 0, \qquad \nabla \cdot \nabla = 0.
$$
\n(6.16)

Directly from (6.14) and (6.15)

$$
t_{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} f(t) = n f(t), \qquad \nabla_{\mu} (t_{\mu} f(t)) = \frac{(2n+d)(n+d-2)}{2n+d-2} f(t).
$$
 (6.17)

Applying these relations for $[t_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] t_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} f(t)$ we obtain

$$
-\frac{1}{2}L_{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}f(t) = t_{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}f(t) + \frac{2n+d-4}{2n+d-2}t_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}(t_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}f(t)) = C_{(n)}f(t),
$$
\n(6.18)

for the Casimir eigenvalue

$$
C_{(n)} = n(n+d-2),
$$
\n(6.19)

just as in [\(2.78\)](#page-12-5). The vector space $V_{(n)}$ formed from symmetric rank n tensors after subtracting all traces corresponds exactly to homogeneous functions $f(t)$ of null vectors t with degree n so that $f(t) \in V_{(n)}$. The formal dimension (this takes integer values for integer d) is then

$$
\dim V_{(n)} = N_{dn} = \frac{1}{n!} (d)_n - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} (d)_{n-2} = \frac{1}{n!} (2n + d - 2) (d - 1)_{n-1}, \tag{6.20}
$$

with the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_n$ defined by

$$
(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}, \qquad (a)_n = a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1), \quad n \ge 1.
$$
 (6.21)

From [\(6.20\)](#page-47-0) $N_{3n} = 2n + 1$, $N_{4n} = (n+1)^2$.

With the definition [\(6.3\)](#page-45-6) $|{\cal O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t)\rangle$ is a scalar under rotations generated by $M_{\mu\nu}$ and $L_{\mu\nu}$, $(M_{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu}) | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}(k,n,r}(t) \rangle = 0$, so that

$$
\frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} L_{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t) \rangle = -C_{(k)} | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r}(t) \rangle. \tag{6.22}
$$

Additional irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ for general d can be constructed with extra null vectors. For two such vectors t, s then

$$
L_{\mu\nu} = L_{t,\mu\nu} + L_{s,\mu\nu} \,. \tag{6.23}
$$

Acting on $f(t, s)$, $f(\lambda t, \mu s) = \lambda^n \mu^m f(t, s)$ then

$$
\frac{1}{2} L_{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} f(t,s) = - (n(n+d-2) + m(m+d-4)) f(t,s) \n+ 2 \frac{2n+d-4}{2n+d-2} t \cdot s \nabla_t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) - 2 s \cdot \nabla_t (t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s)).
$$
\n(6.24)

Imposing the conditions

$$
\nabla_t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) = t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) = 0, \qquad (6.25)
$$

ensures $f(t,s) \in V_{(n,m)}$ forming the representation space for a mixed symmetry irreducible representation corresponding to the Young tableaux $(n, m, 0, ...)$ for $m \leq n, \frac{|1|2}{|1|} \frac{|1|}{|m|}$, and then

$$
-\frac{1}{2}L_{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}f(t,s) = C_{(n,m)}f(t,s), \quad C_{(n,m)} = n(n+d-2) + m(m+d-4), \tag{6.26}
$$

with $C_{(n,1)}$ identical to [\(2.78\)](#page-12-5). For $f(t,s) \in V_{(n)} \otimes V_{(m)}$ then $t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) \in V_{(n+1)} \otimes V_{(m-1)}$ and $\nabla_t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) \in V_{(n-1)} \otimes V_{(m-1)}$. However the conditions in [\(6.25\)](#page-47-1) are not completely independent as a consequence of

$$
\nabla_t \cdot \nabla_s t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) = \frac{1}{2n+d-2} t \cdot \nabla_s \nabla_t \cdot \nabla_s f(t,s) \in V_{(n)} \otimes V_{(m-2)}.
$$
 (6.27)

This ensures the dimension counting is given by

$$
\dim V_{(n,m)} = N_{dn} N_{dm} - N_{dn+1} N_{dm-1} - N_{dn-1} N_{dm-1} + N_{dn} N_{dm-2}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(n+1)! \, m!} (n+1-m)(n+m+d-3)(2n+d-2)(2m+d-4)
$$

$$
\times (d-1)_{n-2} (d-3)_{m-1} . \tag{6.28}
$$

Of course $V_{(n,0)} = V_{(n)}$ and dim $V_{(n,0)} = N_{dn}$ so long as $d \neq 3, 4$. For $d = 4$ [\(6.28\)](#page-47-2) gives dim $V_{(n,m)} =$ $2(n+m+1)(n-m+1)$ and for $d=5,6$ [\(6.28\)](#page-47-2) agrees with [\(2.145\)](#page-20-2), [\(2.131\)](#page-19-3) for $s_1=2m$, $s_2=n-m$ and $s_1 = s_3 = m$, $s_2 = n-m$ respectively. In three dimensions mixed symmetry representations are reduced by the identifications

$$
d = 3, \quad V_{(n,m)} \simeq 0, \ m \ge 2, \quad V_{(n,1)} \simeq V_{(n)}, \tag{6.29}
$$

which are easily checked to be consistent with the dimension formula (6.28) .

Tensor products of symmetric traceless tensors can be reduced to a sum over mixed symmetry irreducible representations $V_{(n,m)}$,

$$
V_{(n_1)} \otimes V_{(n_2)} \simeq \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\min(n_1, n_2)} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\min(n_1, n_2) - m} V_{(n_1 + n_2 - m - 2r, m)}.
$$
 (6.30)

With [\(6.28\)](#page-47-2) we may verify $N_{dn_1}N_{dn_2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\min(n_1,n_2)} \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,n_2)-m} \dim V_{(n_1+n_2-m-2r,m)}$. For $d=3$, [\(6.30\)](#page-48-0) using [\(6.29\)](#page-48-1) reduces to $V_{(n_1)} \otimes V_{(n_2)} \simeq \bigoplus_{r=[n_1-n_2]}^{n_1+n_2} V_{(r)}$.

By introducing more null vectors further mixed symmetry representations can be discussed. For three such vectors and extending the constraints (6.25) irreducible representations of $SO(d)$ corresponding to Young tableaux with three rows can be obtained. Labelling these by (n, m, l) , with $l \leq m \leq n$, this gives for the Casimir eigenvalues

$$
C_{(n,m,l)} = n(n+d-2) + m(m+d-4) + l(l+d-6),
$$
\n(6.31)

and for the dimension of the representation

$$
\dim V_{(n,m,l)} = \frac{1}{(n+2)!(m+1)!l!} (n+1-m)(n-l+2)(m-l+1)
$$

× (n+m+d-3)(n+l+d-4)(m+l+d-5)
× (2n+d-2)(2m+d-4)(2l+d-6) (d-1)_{n-3}(d-3)_{m-2}(d-5)_{l-1}. (6.32)

For $l = 0$ this reduces to [\(6.28\)](#page-47-2). For $d = 4, 5$ the decomposition of tensor products as a direct sum of irreducible spaces can be reduced with the identities

$$
d = 4, \quad V_{(n,m,l)} \simeq 0, \, l \ge 3, \quad V_{(n,m,1)} \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & m \ge 2 \\ V_{(n)}, & m = 1 \end{cases}, \quad V_{(n,m,2)} \simeq -V_{(n,m)}, \, m \ge 2, \quad (6.33a)
$$
\n
$$
d = 5, \quad V_{(n,m,l)} \simeq 0, \, l \ge 2, \quad V_{(n,m,1)} \simeq V_{(n,m)}.
$$
\n
$$
(6.33b)
$$

For
$$
d = 6
$$
 the tensorial representation (n, m, l) is related to $SO(6)$ representations with Dynkin
or highest weight labels $[s_1, s_2, s_3]$ by $(n, m, 0) \rightarrow [n - m, m, m]$ and for $l > 0$ by $(n, m, l) \rightarrow$
 $[n - m, m + l, m - l] + [n - m, m - l, m + l].$

The representation spaces $V_{(n,m,l)}$ arise in tensor products involving $V_{(n,m)}$ and $V_{(\ell)}$ but now there is a non trivial multiplicity,

$$
V_{(n,m)} \otimes V_{(\ell)} \simeq \bigoplus_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\ell \rfloor} \bigoplus_{r,s,\,|r|+|s| \leq \ell-2t} (1+t) V_{(n+r,m+s,\ell-2t-|r|-|s|)}.
$$
\n(6.34)

The representations $V_{(n',m',l)}$ appearing in the tensor product satisfy $n' \geq m' \geq l$ if $n-m, m \geq l$.

6.3 Representation Space

The Verma module defines a representation space for the conformal algebra. Instead of [\(6.7\)](#page-45-7) we define

$$
\begin{split} |\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{(\ell)}_{\sigma,k,n,r}(t)\rangle \\ &= |\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\sigma,k,n,r}(t)\rangle - P_{\sigma} |\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n,r+1}(t)\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{d+k-3} \big((k-r-1) \, t_{\sigma} |\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+1,r+1}(t)\rangle - (k-2r-1) \, t_{\sigma} |\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n,r}(t)\rangle \big) \,. \end{split} \tag{6.35}
$$

This satisfies $\nabla_{\sigma}|\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{(\ell)}(\sigma,k,n,r(t))|=t_{\sigma}|\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{(\ell)}(\sigma,k,n,r(t))|=0$ as required by (6.25) for $M=1$ and so belongs to the $[k, 1, 0, \ldots]$ irreducible representation.

With the definition [\(6.35\)](#page-49-1)

$$
P_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n+r,r}(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{k+1} \Big(\nabla_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k+1,n+r,r}(t)\rangle - r|\hat{O}^{(\ell)}_{\mu,k,n+r,r-1}(t)\rangle\Big) + \alpha_r^{(k)} t_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+r+1,r}(t)\rangle + \alpha_{r,-}^{(k)} t_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+r,r-1}(t)\rangle, \tag{6.36}
$$

for $r \leq k, \ell$ where

$$
\alpha_r^{(k)} = \frac{(k-r)(d+k+r-3)}{(d+2k-2)(d+k-3)}, \qquad \alpha_{r,-}^{(k)} = r \frac{d+2r-4}{(d+2k-2)(d+k-3)}.
$$
(6.37)

Similarly

$$
K_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n+r,r}(t)\rangle
$$

= $\frac{1}{k+1} \Big(\gamma_r^{(n)} \nabla_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k+1,n+r-1,r}(t)\rangle + \delta_{r,-}^{(k,n)} |\hat{O}^{(\ell)}_{\mu,k,n+r-1,r-1}(t)\rangle$
+ $\gamma_{r,+} (\nabla_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k+1,n+r,r+1}(t)\rangle + (k-r) |\hat{O}^{(\ell)}_{\mu,k,n+r,r}(t)\rangle) \Big)$
+ $\beta_{r,+}^{(k,n)} t_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+r+1,r+1}(t)\rangle + \beta_r^{(k,n)} t_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+r,r}(t)\rangle$
+ $\beta_{r,-}^{(k,n)} t_{\mu}|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k-1,n+r-1,r-1}(t)\rangle,$ (6.38)

for $r \leq k, \ell$ and

$$
\gamma_r^{(n)} = 4(n+r)(\Delta + \ell + n - r - \frac{1}{2}d), \qquad \gamma_{r,+} = 2(\ell - r)(\Delta - d - r + 1),
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{r,-}^{(k,n)} = -4(n+r)r(\Delta + \ell + k + n - r + 1 - \frac{1}{2}d),
$$

\n
$$
\beta_{r,+}^{(k,n)} = -2\frac{(\ell - r)(k-r)(k-r-1)(\Delta - d - r + 1)}{(d+2k-2)(d+k-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\beta_{r,-}^{(k,n)} = 4(n+r)r\frac{(d+2r-4)(\Delta + \ell + 2k + n - r - 2 + \frac{1}{2}d)}{(d+2k-2)(d+k-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\beta_r^{(k,n)} = \frac{4(k-r)}{(d+2k-2)(d+k-3)}((\ell - r)(\frac{1}{2}d + k - r - 2)(\Delta - d - r + 1) + (n+r)r(\Delta + \ell + n + k - r + 1 - \frac{1}{2}d))
$$

\n
$$
+\frac{4(k-r)}{d+2k-2}(\frac{1}{2}d + k + n + r - 1)(\Delta + \ell + n + k - 1).
$$
 (6.39)

The scalar product of symmetric traceless tensor descendants

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k',n'+r',r'}(t) | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{k,n+r,r}(t) \rangle = (\bar{t} \cdot t)^k \, \delta_{k'k} \delta_{n'n} \, \mathcal{N}_{r'r}^{(k,n)},\tag{6.40}
$$

defines a symmetric $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$, or $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$, if $k \leq \ell$ and $n \geq 0$, normalisation matrix ${\mathcal N}^{(k,n)} = \big[{\mathcal N}^{(k,n)}_{r'r}\big]$ $\mathcal{L}_{r'r}^{(k,n)}$, if $n < 0$ then $-n \leq r, r' \leq k, \ell$. The basic normalisation is chosen so that

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \ell, 0, \ell(t) | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \ell, 0, \ell(t) \rangle = (\bar{t} \cdot t)^{\ell}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{\ell\ell}^{(\ell, -\ell)} = 1,
$$
\n(6.41)

or equivalently, using $\overline{\nabla} \cdot \nabla (\overline{t} \cdot t)^r = r(d+r-3) \frac{d+2r-2}{d+2r-4} (\overline{t} \cdot t)^{r-1}$,

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1...\mu_\ell} | \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1...\mu_\ell} \rangle = \frac{1}{(\ell!)^2} \left(\bar{\nabla} \cdot \nabla \right)^{\ell} \left(\bar{t} \cdot t \right)^{\ell} = n_{d\ell} \,, \tag{6.42}
$$

with $n_{d\ell}$ given by [\(6.20\)](#page-47-0). Directly from [\(6.5\)](#page-45-8)

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{0,0,0} | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{0,0,0} \rangle = 2^{\ell} \ell! \left(\Delta - d - \ell + 2 \right)_{\ell} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}} | \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}} \rangle , \qquad (6.43)
$$

giving

$$
\mathcal{N}_{00}^{(0,0)} = 2^{\ell} \ell! \left(\Delta - d - \ell + 2 \right)_{\ell} n_{d\ell} \,. \tag{6.44}
$$

By considering $\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \rangle_{k,n+r,r}(t) | K_\mu | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \rangle_{k+1,n+s,s}(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \rangle_{k+1,n+s-1,s}(t) | K_\mu | \mathcal{O}^{(\ell)} \rangle_{k,n+r,r}(t) \rangle$ and using [\(6.38\)](#page-49-2) and the conjugate of [\(6.36\)](#page-49-3) we may obtain the matrix recurrence relations

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}\,\underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k+1,n)} = \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)}\,\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{(k,n)}\,,\qquad \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}\,\underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k+1,n-1)}\,\underline{\mathcal{C}}^{(n)}\,,\tag{6.45}
$$

for

$$
\mathcal{A}_{sr}^{(k)} = \alpha_s^{(k+1)} \delta_{sr} + \alpha_{s,-}^{(k+1)} \delta_{s-1\ r}, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{sr}^{(n)} = \gamma_r^{(n)} \delta_{sr} + \gamma_{r+} \delta_{s\ r+1},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_{rs}^{(k,n)} = \beta_{s,-}^{(k+1,n)} \delta_{r\ s-1} + \beta_s^{(k+1,n)} \delta_{rs} + \beta_{s,+}^{(k+1,n)} \delta_{r\ s+1}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{rs} = \delta_{rs},
$$
\n
$$
0, -n \le r \le k, \ell, \qquad 0, -n \le s \le k+1, \ell.
$$
\n(6.46)

The relations [\(6.45\)](#page-50-0) determine $\mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}$ for all k, n although there is no simple general expression. Simpler results are obtained by considering determinants. For $k \geq \ell, n \geq 0$ all matrices in [\(6.45\)](#page-50-0) are $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$ giving for this case

$$
\frac{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k+1,n)}}{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}} = 2^{\ell+1} \frac{(k-\ell+1)_{\ell+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d+k+n\right)_{\ell+1} (d+k-2)_{\ell+1} (\Delta+k+n)_{\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)^{\ell+1} (d+k-2)^{\ell+1}},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k+1,n)}}{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n+1)}} = \frac{1}{2^{3(\ell+1)}} \frac{(k-\ell+1)_{\ell+1} (d+k-2)_{\ell+1}}{(n+1)_{\ell+1} (\Delta+n+1-\frac{1}{2}d)_{\ell+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)^{\ell+1} (d+k-2)^{\ell+1}}.
$$
\n(6.47)

For $k \leq \ell, n > 0$ taking the determinant of $\underline{P} \underline{A}^{(k)} \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n-1)} \underline{B}^{(k,n-1)} \underline{\mathcal{C}}^{(n)}$ gives

$$
\frac{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}}{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n-1)}} = 2^{4(k+1)}(n)_{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d + \ell + n - 1\right)_{k+1} (\Delta + n - \frac{1}{2}d)_{k+1} (\Delta + \ell + n - 1)_{k+1}.
$$
 (6.48)

When $0 \leq -n \leq k < \ell$,

$$
\frac{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}}{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k+1,n-1)}} = 2^{k+n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)^{k+n+1} (d+k-2)^{k+n+1} \frac{(\ell-k)_{k+n+1} (\Delta - d - k + 1)_{k+n+1}}{(1-n)_{k+n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d - n - 1\right)_{k+n+1}},
$$
(6.49)

and if $0 \leq -n \leq \ell \leq k$,

$$
\frac{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k+1,n)}}{\det \mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}} = 2^{\ell+n+1} \frac{(k-\ell+1)_{\ell+n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)_{\ell+n+1} (d+k-2)_{\ell+n+1} (\Delta+k)_{\ell+n+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)^{\ell+n+1} (d+k-2)^{\ell+n+1}}.
$$
 (6.50)

The results for det $\mathcal{N}^{(k,n)}$ split into four similar cases according to whether k is larger or less than ℓ and n is positive or negative. For $k \leq \ell, n \geq 0$ we may combine [\(6.45\)](#page-50-0) to obtain for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, assuming [\(6.44\)](#page-50-1),

$$
\det \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \left(\frac{2^{4n+2k+\ell}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}d-1\right)_{k+1}}\right)^{k+1} \times \prod_{r=0}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell!} (\ell-r)!(n+r)!(k-r)!^{2} (d+k-2)_{\ell-k+r} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}d-1\right)_{r}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}d+\ell-1\right)_{n+r+1} \times (\Delta-1)_{r} (\Delta-d-\ell+2)_{\ell-r} (\Delta+\ell)_{n+r} (\Delta+1-\frac{1}{2}d)_{n+r}. \tag{6.51}
$$

Starting from $k = \ell$ then for any $k \ge \ell, n \ge 0$ [\(6.47\)](#page-50-2) implies

$$
\det \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \left(\frac{2^{4n+k+2\ell}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}d-1\right)_{\ell}}\right)^{\ell+1} \times \prod_{r=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\ell! \, r!} (\ell-r)!^3 \, (n+r)! \, (k-\ell+r)! \, (d+k-2)_r \, \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}d-1\right)_r\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}d+k\right)_{n+r} \times (\Delta-1)_r \, (\Delta-d-\ell+2)_{\ell-r} \, (\Delta+\ell)_{n+k-\ell+r} \, (\Delta+1-\frac{1}{2}d)_{n+r} \, . \tag{6.52}
$$

For $n \leq 0$ and $k \leq \ell$ using [\(6.49\)](#page-50-3)

$$
\det \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \left(\frac{2^{3n+2k+\ell}}{(\frac{1}{2}d-1)_{k+1}}\right)^{k+n+1} \times \prod_{r=0}^{k+n} \frac{1}{\ell!} (\ell+n-r)! \, r! \, (k-r)! \, (k+n-r)! \, (d+k-2)_{\ell-k+r} \, (\frac{1}{2}d-1)_{r-n} \, (\frac{1}{2}d-1)_r \times (\frac{1}{2}d+\ell-1)_{r+1} \, (\Delta-1)_r \, (\Delta-d-\ell+2)_{\ell+n-r} \, (\Delta+\ell)_r \, (\Delta+1-\frac{1}{2}d)_r, \tag{6.53}
$$

and for $k \geq \ell$,

$$
\det \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{(k,n)} = \left(\frac{2^{3n+k+2\ell}}{(\frac{1}{2}d-1)_{\ell}}\right)^{\ell+n+1} \times \prod_{r=0}^{\ell+n} \frac{1}{\ell!} (\ell+n-r)! \, r! \, (\ell-r)! \, (k+n-r)! \, (d+k-2)_r \, (\frac{1}{2}d-1)_{r-n} \, (\frac{1}{2}d-1)_r \times (\frac{1}{2}d+\ell-1)_r \, (\Delta-1)_r \, (\Delta-d-\ell+2)_{\ell+n-r} \, (\Delta+\ell)_{k-\ell+r} \, (\Delta+1-\frac{1}{2}d)_r \, . \tag{6.54}
$$

6.4 Singular Vectors for Three Dimensional CFTs

There is a general theory [\[21\]](#page-59-13) for singular vectors in the representations of non compact groups which extends that for compact groups initiated by Verma. For low dimensional CFTs such results can also be obtained by brute force.

In three dimensions with the spinorial basis in [\(5.27\)](#page-39-3) and writing $M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ in terms of angular momentum operators, just as in [\(2.109\)](#page-16-5),

$$
\left[M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} J_3 & J_+ \\ J_- & -J_3 \end{pmatrix},\tag{6.55}
$$

we assume irreducible representations of the conformal algebra [\(5.29\)](#page-39-1) are defined in terms of lowest weight vectors satisfying

$$
D|\Delta, s\rangle = \Delta|\Delta, s\rangle, \quad J_3|\Delta, s\rangle = -s|\Delta, s\rangle, \quad J_-|\Delta, s\rangle = 0, \quad K^{22}|\Delta, s\rangle = 0, \quad (6.56)
$$

which as $[J,K^{22}] = 2K^{12}$, $[J,K^{12}] = K^{11}$ is equivalent to [\(5.17\)](#page-38-1) in this case. The associated Verma module is defined by

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,s} = \text{span}\left\{ P_{11}^{\nu} P_{12}^{\mu} P_{22}^{\nu} J_{+}^{\ r} | \Delta, s \rangle : v, u, t, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}. \tag{6.57}
$$

Finite dimensional spin representations dictate $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$

The action of J_-, K^{22} , which correspond to short, long simple roots for $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$, on this basis in [\(6.57\)](#page-52-0) is determined by

$$
[J_{-}, P_{11}^{v}] = 2v P_{11}^{v-1} P_{12}, \quad [J_{-}, P_{12}^{u}] = u P_{11} P_{12}^{u-1}, \quad [J_{-}, P_{22}^{t}] = 0,
$$

\n
$$
[J_{-}, J_{+}^{r}] = -r J_{+}^{r-1} (2J_{3} + r - 1),
$$

\n
$$
[K^{22}, P_{11}^{v}] = 0, \quad [K^{22}, P_{12}^{u}] = \frac{1}{2} u P_{12}^{u-1} J_{+} + \frac{1}{4} u (u - 1) P_{11} P_{12}^{u-2},
$$

\n
$$
[J_{+}, P_{22}^{t}] = 2t P_{12} P_{22}^{t-1}, \quad [K^{22}, P_{22}^{t}] = t P_{22}^{t-1} (D - J_{3} + t - 1),
$$

\n
$$
[K^{22}, J_{+}^{r}] = 0.
$$
\n(6.58)

To obtain singular vectors we consider an eigenvector of D, J_3 with eigenvalues $\Delta', -s'$ of the form

$$
|\Delta', s'\rangle = \sum_{r, t \ge 0} \epsilon_{rt} P_{11}^{\nu} P_{12}^{\mu} P_{22}^{\nu} J_{+}^{\nu} |\Delta, s\rangle, \quad u = N - 2t + r \ge 0, \ v = S + t - r \ge 0,
$$

$$
N = \Delta' + s' - \Delta - s \in \mathbb{Z}, \ S = s - s' \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad N + S \ge 0, \ N + 2S \ge 0.
$$
 (6.59)

Imposing the conditions that this is annihilated by J_-, K^{22} , so that [\(6.56\)](#page-52-1) defines a descen-dant satisfying the conditions in [\(6.56\)](#page-52-1) for $\Delta \to \Delta'$, $s \to s'$, gives

$$
2(S+t-r)\epsilon_{r} + (N-2t+r+2)\epsilon_{r} + (r+1)(2s-r)\epsilon_{r+1} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{4}(N-2t+r)(N-2t+r-1)\epsilon_{r} + \frac{1}{2}(N-2t+r-1)\epsilon_{r-1} + (t+1)(\Delta' + s' - t - 2)\epsilon_{r} + (t+1)(\Delta' + s' - t - 2)\epsilon_{r+1} = 0.
$$
\n(6.60)

There are two immediate solutions

$$
\epsilon_{r,t} = \delta_{r,0} \, \delta_{t,-S} \,, \quad N = -2S \,, \quad \Delta' + s' + S = 1 \,, \tag{6.61}
$$

so that [\(6.59\)](#page-52-2) gives the singular vectors

$$
|1-s, s+n\rangle = P_{22}^{n} |1-s-n, s\rangle, \quad s \ge 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,
$$
 (6.62)

and

$$
\epsilon_{r t} = \delta_{r,2s+1} \delta_{t,0}, \quad S = -N = 2s+1, \quad |\Delta, -s-1\rangle = J_{+}^{2s+1} |\Delta, s\rangle.
$$
 (6.63)

This singular descendant is set to zero for finite dimensional unitary representations of the spin group.

Otherwise solving [\(6.60\)](#page-52-3) requires integrability conditions to be imposed since ϵ_{rt} for $r, t > 0$ can be obtained by more than one route starting from ϵ_{r0} and ϵ_{0t} . For $\epsilon_{00} \neq 0$ by considering ϵ_{11} we obtain the constraints

$$
\Delta' = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} N \qquad \text{or} \qquad N = 0, \tag{6.64}
$$

and then from the results for ϵ_{21} we obtain

$$
\Delta' = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}N \implies S = 0 \text{ or } N = -1 - 2s, \qquad N = 0 \implies \Delta' = 2 + s. \tag{6.65}
$$

There are thus potential solutions, for $S = 0$,

$$
\epsilon_{rt} = \frac{2^{r-2t}}{r!(t-r)!} \frac{N!}{(N-2t+r)!} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-N)-s\right)_t}, \quad s \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{6.66}
$$

and for $N = 0$,

$$
\epsilon_{rt} = \frac{2^{r-2t}}{t!(r-2t)!} \frac{S!}{(S+t-r)!} \frac{1}{(-2s)_r}, \quad 2t \le r \le 2s, \quad s \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad S = 1, 2, \dots, 2s, \quad (6.67)
$$

and finally

$$
\epsilon_{rt} = \frac{2^{r-2t}}{r! \, t!} \, \frac{2^N \, (N+S)! \, (N+2S)!}{(N-2t+r)! \, (S+t-r)!} \,, \quad s \ge 0 \,, \quad N = -1-2s, \, S+N = 1, 2, \dots \,. \tag{6.68}
$$

For $S > s$, corresponding to $s' = -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}, \ldots, -s$ or $s' = -1, -2, \ldots, -s$, in [\(6.66\)](#page-53-1) the singular vectors can be discarded by restricting to finite dimensional spin representations so that [\(6.66\)](#page-53-1) and [\(6.67\)](#page-53-2) then give respectively singular vectors

$$
\left|\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}N, s\right| \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}N, s}, \quad s \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, ,
$$

$$
\left|2 + s, s - S\right| \in \mathcal{V}_{2+s-S, s}, \quad s \ge 1, \quad S = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor s \rfloor. \tag{6.69}
$$

The results given by (6.62) , (6.69) correspond to (2.112) for $n, N, S = 1$ and to $(6.8b)$, $(6.8a)$, $(6.8c)$ for $s = \ell$ and $n = m + 1$, $N = 2m$, $S = m + 1$ respectively. The solution [\(6.68\)](#page-53-4) corresponds to a singular vector

$$
|1-s, -1-s-n\rangle = J_+^{\,2(s+n)+1} P_{22}^{\,n} |1-s-n, s\rangle \,, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \,, \tag{6.70}
$$

the descendant of (6.9) analogous to (6.63) , which is set to zero for finite dimensional spin representations.

7 Three Point Functions

A crucial consequence of conformal symmetry is that the three point functions of conformal primary fields are determined uniquely, up to an overall coefficient, if two of the fields are spinless and in general for all fields with non zero spin there are a finite number of possibilities. This is a result of the fact that conformal transformations map any three points on \mathbb{R}^d to any other three points and there are therefore no conformal invariants. If the three

points are on a line then $SO(d+1,1) \rightarrow SO(2,1) \times SO(d-1)$, where $SO(2,1)$ corresponds to conformal transformations along the line and $SO(d-1)$ rotations in the transverse space. For three points in d dimensions then $3d-\dim SO(d+1,1)+\dim(SO(2,1)\times SO(d-1))=0$.

For three conformal primary scalars ϕ_i with scale dimensions Δ_i then it is easy to see that the three point function is given by

$$
\langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \phi_3(x_3) \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3)} (x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1)} (x_{31}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2)}},
$$
\n
$$
x_{ij} = x_i - x_j.
$$
\n(7.1)

Assuming the normalisation of ϕ_i is fixed by the two-point function then the coefficients C_{123} are, along with the scale dimensions Δ_i , fundamental properties of the CFT. Of course symmetries may entail $C_{123} = 0$. These results may be quite easily extended to the case when one of the fields have spin. In d-dimensions for a symmetric traceless tensor conformal primary $\phi_{\mu_1...\mu_\ell}$ we may define

$$
\phi^{(\ell)}(x,t) = \phi_{\mu_1...\mu_\ell}(x) t^{\mu_1} \dots t^{\mu_\ell}, \quad t^2 = 0, \quad \phi^{(\ell)}(x,\lambda t) = \lambda^{\ell} \phi^{(\ell)}(x,t). \tag{7.2}
$$

Applying the results [\(2.34\)](#page-7-1) for the conformal vectors defined in [\(2.33\)](#page-7-2) it is also straightforward to see that, if $\phi^{(\ell)}$ is a conformal primary with scale dimension Δ ,

$$
\langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \phi^{(\ell)}(x_3, t) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{C_{12, (\Delta, \ell)}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta + \ell)} (x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_2 - \Delta_1 - \ell)} (x_{31}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \ell)}} (\mathcal{X}_3 \cdot t)^{\ell},
$$
(7.3)

where

$$
\mathcal{X}_{3\mu} = \frac{1}{x_{13}^2} x_{31\mu} - \frac{1}{x_{23}^2} x_{32\mu} \,. \tag{7.4}
$$

For fields extended to the embedding space then there are equivalent expressions for three point functions [\[22\]](#page-59-14). For scalars, $\phi_i(x) \to \Phi_i(X)$ satisfying [\(3.18\)](#page-23-1) for $\Delta = \Delta_i$, then [\(7.1\)](#page-54-0) is equivalent to

$$
\langle \Phi_1(X_1) \Phi_2(X_2) \Phi_3(X_3) \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{(X_1 \cdot X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3)} (X_2 \cdot X_3)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1)} (X_3 \cdot X_1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2)}},
$$
(7.5)

with $X_i \cdot X_j$ defined according to [\(3.15\)](#page-22-5). It is easy to verify that [\(7.5\)](#page-54-1) satisfies the crucial homegeneity properties (7.5) . For symmetric traceless tensors then (3.20) , (3.21) may be extended to tensors on the null cone

$$
\phi_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_\ell}(x) \to \Phi_{A_1\ldots A_\ell}(X)\,,\tag{7.6}
$$

subject to

$$
X^{A_i} \Phi_{A_1...A_\ell}(X) = 0, \ \Phi_{A_1...A_\ell}(X) \sim \Phi_{A_1...A_\ell}(X) + X_{A_i} \chi_{A_1...A_i...A_\ell}(X), \ i = 1, ..., \ell, \ (7.7)
$$

with \hat{A}_i denoting that this index is omitted. Corresponding to [\(7.2\)](#page-54-2)

$$
\phi^{(\ell)}(x,t) \to \Phi^{(\ell)}(X,T) = \Phi_{A_1...A_\ell}(X) T^{A_1} \dots T^{A_\ell}, \quad T^2 = X \cdot T = 0, \tag{7.8}
$$

where $\Phi^{(\ell)}$ satisfies

$$
\Phi^{(\ell)}(X,T) = \Phi^{(\ell)}(X,T + \lambda X), \qquad \Phi^{(\ell)}(\lambda X,\rho T) = \lambda^{-\Delta} \rho^{\ell} \Phi^{(\ell)}(X,T). \tag{7.9}
$$

which is necessary for $\Phi^{(\ell)}(X,T)$ to be unambiguous since T^A is arbitrary up to $T^A \to$ $T^A + \lambda X^A$. For $X^A \to X^A(x)$ as in [\(3.6\)](#page-22-0) we may take

$$
T^{A} \to T^{A}(x,t) = (t^{\mu}, 0, -x \cdot t), \qquad (7.10)
$$

which obeys the conditions in (7.8) . The result (7.3) is then equivalent to

$$
\langle \Phi_1(X_1) \Phi_2(X_2) \Phi^{(\ell)}(X_3, T_3) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{C_{12,(\Delta,\ell)}}{(X_1 \cdot X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta + \ell)} (X_2 \cdot X_3)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_2 - \Delta_1 - \ell)} (X_3 \cdot X_1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \ell)}} \mathcal{Z}_{12}(X_3, T_3)^{\ell},
$$
(7.11)

where, with the definition [\(3.15\)](#page-22-5),

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{12}(X_3, T_3) = \frac{X_1 \cdot T_3}{X_1 \cdot X_3} - \frac{X_2 \cdot T_3}{X_2 \cdot X_3},\tag{7.12}
$$

is determined by requiring $\mathcal{Z}_{12}(X_3,T_3) = \mathcal{Z}_{12}(X_3,T_3+\lambda X_3).$

For three point correlation functions for conformal primaries with spin results are more involved. Obtaining an explicit form is just a linear algebraic problem although the number of solutions may depend on the dimension. For primary fields transforming as in [\(2.48\)](#page-9-4) the basic conformal Ward identity has the form

$$
\sum_{i=1,2,3} \left(v^{\mu}(x_i) \partial_{i\mu} + \Delta_i \sigma_v(x_i) \right) \left\langle \phi_{1,I_1}(x_1) \phi_{2,I_2}(x_2) \phi_{3,I_3}(x_3) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_1) (s_{1\mu\nu})_{I_1} J \left\langle \phi_{1,J}(x_1) \phi_{2,I_2}(x_2) \phi_{3,I_3}(x_3) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_2) (s_{2\mu\nu})_{I_2} J \left\langle \phi_{1,I_1}(x_1) \phi_{2,J}(x_2) \phi_{3,I_3}(x_3) \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_3) (s_{3\mu\nu})_{I_3} J \left\langle \phi_{1,I_1}(x_1) \phi_{2,I_2}(x_2) \phi_{3,J}(x_3) \right\rangle.
$$
 (7.13)

Clearly in the spinless case this is satisfied by [\(7.1\)](#page-54-0). Solutions for particular cases in general dimensions can be constructed using the inversion tensor and the conformal vectors defined in [\(2.33\)](#page-7-2), [\(2.35\)](#page-7-7) and more generally using the embedding formalism [\[22,](#page-59-14) [23\]](#page-60-0). The identity [\(7.13\)](#page-55-0) may be simplified [\[13,](#page-59-5) [24\]](#page-60-1) by using the intertwiners $\mathcal I$ defined by the two point function in [\(2.83\)](#page-13-4) to write the three point function in the form

$$
\langle \phi_{1,I_1}(x_1) \phi_{2,I_2}(x_2) \phi_{3,I_3}(x_3) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1I_1\bar{I}_1}(x_{13}) \mathcal{I}_{2I_2\bar{I}_2}(x_{23})}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1} (x_{23}^2)^{\Delta_2}} C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}{}_{I_3}(\mathcal{X}_3) ,\tag{7.14}
$$

with \mathcal{X}_3 defined in [\(7.4\)](#page-54-5). To verify the result [\(7.14\)](#page-55-1) we use, as a consequence of [\(2.84\)](#page-13-5),

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1,3} v^{\mu}(x_i)\partial_{i\mu} + \Delta_1 \sigma_v(x_1)\right) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1I_1\bar{I}_1}(x_{13})}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1}} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_1)(s_{1\mu\nu})_{I_1} J \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1J\bar{I}_1}(x_{13})}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1}} \n= -\Delta_1 \sigma_v(x_3) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1I_1\bar{I}_1}(x_{13})}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1}} - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1I_1\bar{J}}(x_{13})}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1}} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_3)(\bar{s}_{1\mu\nu})^{\bar{J}}_{\bar{I}_1},
$$
\n(7.15)

and also the corresponding result for $\mathcal{I}_{2I_2\bar{I}_2}(x_{23})/(x_{23}^2)^{\Delta_2}$, to rewrite the conformal identity [\(7.13\)](#page-55-0) just in terms of $C^{\overline{I_1} \overline{I_2}}_{I_3}$

$$
\sum_{i=1,2,3} v^{\mu}(x_i) \partial_{i\mu} C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2} I_3(\mathcal{X}_3)
$$

= $(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \sigma_v(x_3) C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2} I_3(\mathcal{X}_3) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_3) (s_{3\mu\nu}) I_1^{J} C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2} J(\mathcal{X}_3)$
+ $\frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_3) (\bar{s}_{1\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}_1} \bar{J} C^{\bar{J} \bar{I}_2} I_3(\mathcal{X}_3) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}_v^{\mu\nu}(x_3) (\bar{s}_{2\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}_2} \bar{J} C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{J}} I_3(\mathcal{X}_3).$ (7.16)

This is satisfied, by extending the transformation rules [\(2.37\)](#page-8-4) to \mathcal{X}_3 , if

$$
C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}_{I_3}(\lambda y) = \lambda^{-\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3} C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}_{I_3}(y) , \qquad (7.17)
$$

and

$$
L_{y\mu\nu}C^{\bar{I}_1\bar{I}_2}{}_{I_3}(y) = (s_{3\mu\nu})_{I_1}{}^{J}C^{\bar{I}_1\bar{I}_2}{}_{J}(y) + (\bar{s}_{1\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}_1}{}_{J}C^{\bar{J}\bar{I}_2}{}_{I_3}(y) + (\bar{s}_{2\mu\nu})^{\bar{I}_2}{}_{J}C^{\bar{I}_1\bar{J}}{}_{I_3}(y) \,. \tag{7.18}
$$

This condition just implies that $C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}_{I_3}(y)$ is rotationally covariant under $SO(d)$ transformations. Up to the freedom allowed by (7.17) , (7.18) the solution is unique since there are no conformal invariants. For the spinless case (7.1) is reproduced if we take $C(\mathcal{X}_3) = C_{123} (\mathcal{X}_3^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3)}.$

Under finite transformations [\(7.18\)](#page-56-1) integrates to

$$
C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}_{I_3}(y) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\bar{I}_1} \bar{J}_1 \bar{\mathcal{R}}_2^{\bar{I}_2} \bar{J}_2 \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{3I_3}^{J_3} C^{\bar{J}_1 \bar{J}_2} J_3(y R) , \qquad (7.19)
$$

with $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1$, $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$, \mathcal{R}_3 corresponding to the rotation $R \in SO(d)$ in the appropriate representations. Choosing

$$
\bar{\mathcal{R}}_i^{\bar{I}_{i}} \bar{J}_i = \mathcal{I}_i^{\bar{I}_i K}(x_{31}) \mathcal{I}_{i K} \bar{J}_i(x_{12}) = \mathcal{I}_i^{\bar{I}_i K}(x_{32}) \mathcal{I}_{i K} \bar{J}_i(\mathcal{X}_2), \quad i = 1, 2,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{R}_{3 I_3}^{J_3} = \mathcal{I}_{3 I_3 \bar{K}}(x_{32}) \mathcal{I}_3^{\bar{K} J_3}(\mathcal{X}_2), \qquad \mathcal{X}_{2\mu} = \frac{1}{x_{23}^2} x_{23\mu} - \frac{1}{x_{12}^2} x_{21\mu}, \tag{7.20}
$$

which depend on (2.43) , and using, from (2.40) ,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{3\nu} I^{\nu\rho}(x_{32}) I_{\rho\mu}(\mathcal{X}_2) = \frac{x_{13}^2}{x_{12}^2} \mathcal{X}_{2\mu} , \qquad (7.21)
$$

the result [\(7.14\)](#page-55-1) is equivalent to

$$
\langle \phi_{1,I_1}(x_1) \phi_{2,I_2}(x_2) \phi_{3,I_3}(x_3) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{I}_{1I_1\bar{I}_1}(x_{12}) \mathcal{I}_{3I_3\bar{I}_3}(x_{32})}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_1} (x_{23}^2)^{\Delta_3}} \tilde{C}^{\bar{I}_1}{}_{I_2}^{\bar{I}_3}(\mathcal{X}_2) , \tag{7.22}
$$

for

$$
\tilde{C}^{\bar{I}_1}I_2^{\bar{I}_3}(y) = (y^2)^{\Delta_2 - \Delta_3} \mathcal{I}_{I_2 \bar{I}_2}(y) \mathcal{I}^{\bar{I}_3 I_3}(y) C^{\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2}I_3(y).
$$
\n(7.23)

An illustration of these results is given by the three point function involving two scalars and the energy momentum tensor. The general construction easily gives

$$
\langle \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) T_{\mu\nu}(x_3) \rangle = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}d} (x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}d}} C_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{X}_3),
$$

$$
C_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{X}_3) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu,\sigma\rho}(x_{32}) C_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{X}_2) = C_{\phi\phi T} \left(\frac{\mathcal{X}_{3\mu} \mathcal{X}_{3\nu}}{\mathcal{X}_{3}^2} - \frac{1}{d} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right),
$$
(7.24)

with the inversion tensor as in [\(4.46\)](#page-34-4). The coefficient $C_{\phi\phi T}$ in [\(7.24\)](#page-56-2) is later determined by Ward identities.

Following the definitions in [\(5.16\)](#page-38-2) and [\(5.21\)](#page-38-3), with a Euclidean metric, the three point function [\(7.14\)](#page-55-1) is equivalent to

$$
\langle \tilde{\phi}_1^{\bar{I}} | \phi_{2,J}(y) | \phi_{3,K} \rangle = \lim_{x \to \infty} (x^2)^{\Delta_1} \mathcal{I}_1^{\bar{I}I}(x) \langle \phi_{1,I}(x) \phi_{2,J}(y) \phi_{3,K}(0) \rangle \n= \frac{1}{(y^2)^{\Delta_2}} \mathcal{I}_{2,J\bar{J}}(y) C^{\bar{I}\bar{J}}(y/y^2) ,
$$
\n(7.25)

As an application we may consider the three point function for three symmetric traceless conformal primaries encoded in terms of three null vectors $t_i, t_i^2 = 0$, as in [\(7.2\)](#page-54-2). In this case the general expression [\(7.14\)](#page-55-1) becomes

$$
\langle \phi_1^{(\ell_1)}(x_1, t_1) \phi_2^{(\ell_2)}(x_2, t_2) \phi^{(\ell_3)}(x_3, t_3) \rangle = \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2)^{\Delta_1} (x_{23}^2)^{\Delta_2}} C(t'_1, t'_2, t_3, \mathcal{Z}_3),
$$

$$
t'_{i\mu} = t_{i\nu} I_{\nu\mu}(x_{i3}), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t'_i{}^2 = 0,
$$
 (7.26)

for

$$
C(t_1, t_2, t_3, y) = (y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3)} (t_1 \cdot y)^{\ell_1} (t_2 \cdot y)^{\ell_2} (t_3 \cdot y)^{\ell_3}
$$

\$\times \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \le \ell_3, n_1 + n_3 \le \ell_2, n_2 + n_3 \le \ell_1}} c_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \left(\frac{t_1 \cdot t_2 y^2}{t_1 \cdot y t_2 \cdot y}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{t_1 \cdot t_3 y^2}{t_1 \cdot y t_3 \cdot y}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{t_2 \cdot t_3 y^2}{t_2 \cdot y t_3 \cdot y}\right)^{n_1} . \tag{7.27}

An equivalent form was given in $[22]$. Manifestly each choice of n_1, n_2, n_3 compatible with ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 is linearly independent.

The number of independent terms in [\(7.27\)](#page-57-0) is given by

$$
N_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \le \ell_3, n_1 + n_3 \le \ell_2, n_2 + n_3 \le \ell_1}} 1.
$$
\n(7.28)

Equivalently we may determine the number of common $[n, m]$ representations appearing in the tensor products $(\ell_1) \otimes (\ell_2)$ and $(\ell_3) \otimes (\ell)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ using [\(6.30\)](#page-48-0), where (ℓ) corresponds to the symmetric traceless tensor representations which can be formed from y for any ℓ . The (n, m) allowed by (6.30) are then given by

$$
|\ell_1 - \ell_2| + m \le n \le \ell_1 + \ell_2 - m, \quad (-1)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - m - n} = 1,|\ell_3 - n| + m \le \ell \le \ell_3 + n - m, \quad (-1)^{\ell_3 + \ell - m - n} = 1, \quad 0 \le \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell \le m.
$$
 (7.29)

The sum over ℓ for fixed (n, m) gives

$$
\sum_{\ell=\lvert \ell_3 - n \rvert + m}^{\ell_3 + n - m} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (-1)^{\ell_3 + \ell - m - n} \right) = \min \left(n + 1 - m, \ell_3 + 1 - m \right). \tag{7.30}
$$

Choosing

$$
\ell_1 \le \ell_2 \le \ell_3, \qquad p = \ell_1 + \ell_2 - \ell_3,
$$
\n(7.31)

the sum over possible *n* becomes, $(\theta(p) = 1, p \ge 0, 0, p < 0)$,

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{\ell_1 - m} (\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1 - 2m - 2r) - \theta(p - m) \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(p - m) \rfloor} (p - m - 2r)
$$

= $(\ell_1 + 1 - m)(\ell_2 + 1 - m) - \theta(p - m) (\frac{1}{4}(p - m)(p + 2 - m) + \frac{1}{8}(1 - (-1)^{p - m}))$. (7.32)

Finally summing over $m = 0, \ldots, \ell_1$ gives, subject to [\(7.31\)](#page-57-1) so that $p - \ell_1 \leq 0$,

$$
N_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} = \frac{1}{6}(\ell_1+1)(\ell_1+2)(3\ell_2-\ell_1+3) - \theta(p)\left(\frac{1}{24}p(p+2)(2p+5) + \frac{1}{16}(1-(-1)^p)\right), (7.33)
$$

as was obtained in [\[22\]](#page-59-14). For $d = 3$ the sum over m is restricted to just $m = 0, 1$ which gives

$$
N^{+}_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} = 2\ell_1 \ell_2 + \ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1 - \theta(p) \frac{1}{2} p(p+1).
$$
 (7.34)

As a consequence of the identification $V_{(n)} \simeq V_{(n,1)}$ in [\(6.29\)](#page-48-1) there are additional independent contributions to the three point function in three dimensions with counting

$$
N^{-}\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3} = \sum_{n=\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}^{\ell_{2}+\ell_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (-1)^{\ell_{2}+\ell_{1}-n}\right) \min\left(n, \ell_{3}\right) + \sum_{n=\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}+1}^{\ell_{2}+\ell_{1}-1} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (-1)^{\ell_{2}+\ell_{1}-1-n}\right) \min\left(n+1, \ell_{3}+1\right) = 2\ell_{1}\ell_{2} + \ell_{1} + \ell_{2} - \theta(p) \frac{1}{2} p(p+1).
$$
\n(7.35)

These terms involve the ε -tensor and are parity odd.

References

- [1] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, Z. U. Khandker, D. Li, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, "Covariant Approaches to Superconformal Blocks," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)129) 1408 [\(2014\) 129,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)129) [arXiv:1402.1167 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.1167).
- [2] S. Rychkov, "EPFL Lectures on Conformal Field Theory in $D_{\xi} = 3$ Dimensions," [arXiv:1601.05000 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.05000).
- [3] J. D. Qualls, "Lectures on Conformal Field Theory," [arXiv:1511.04074 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.04074).
- [4] D. Simmons-Duffin, "TASI Lectures on the Conformal Bootstrap," [arXiv:1602.07982 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07982).
- [5] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Senechal, [Conformal Field Theory](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2256-9). Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. [http:](http://www-spires.fnal.gov/spires/find/books/www?cl=QC174.52.C66D5::1997) [//www-spires.fnal.gov/spires/find/books/www?cl=QC174.52.C66D5::1997](http://www-spires.fnal.gov/spires/find/books/www?cl=QC174.52.C66D5::1997).
- [6] R. Rattazzi, V. S. Rychkov, E. Tonni, and A. Vichi, "Bounding scalar operator dimensions in 4D CFT," JHEP 0812 [\(2008\) 031,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/031) [arXiv:0807.0004 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.0004).
- [7] H. Kastrup, "On the Advancements of Conformal Transformations and their Associated Symmetries in Geometry and Theoretical Physics," [Annalen Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/andp.200810324) 17 [\(2008\) 631–690,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/andp.200810324) [arXiv:0808.2730 \[physics.hist-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2730).
- [8] H. Bateman, "The conformal transformations of a space of four dimensions and their applications to geometrical optics," Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 7 (1909) 70.
- [9] H. Bateman, "The transformation of the electrodynamical equations," Proc. London Math. Soc. 8 (1910) 223.
- [10] E. Cunningham, "The principle of relativity in electrodynamics and an extension thereof," Proc. London Math. Soc. 8 (1910) 77.
- [11] P. Dirac, "The Electron Wave Equation in De-Sitter Space," [Annals Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1968649) 36 (1935) [657–669.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1968649)
- [12] S. Weinberg, "Six-dimensional Methods for Four-dimensional Conformal Field Theories," Phys.Rev. D82 [\(2010\) 045031,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.045031) [arXiv:1006.3480 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.3480).
- [13] H. Osborn and A. Petkou, "Implications of conformal invariance in field theories for general dimensions," Annals Phys. 231 (1994) 311-362, [arXiv:hep-th/9307010](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9307010) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9307010).
- [14] A. Buchel, J. Escobedo, R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos, A. Sinha, and M. Smolkin, "Holographic GB gravity in arbitrary dimensions," $JHEP$ 03 [\(2010\) 111,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)111) [arXiv:0911.4257 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.4257).
- [15] G. Mack, "All Unitary Ray Representations of the Conformal Group SU(2,2) with Positive Energy," [Commun. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01613145) 55 (1977) 1.
- [16] S. Minwalla, "Restrictions Imposed by Superconformal Invariance on Quantum Field Theories," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 781–846, [arXiv:hep-th/9712074](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9712074).
- [17] S. Ferrara and C. Fronsdal, "Conformal fields in higher dimensions," in Recent developments in theoretical and experimental general relativity, gravitation and relativistic field theories. Proceedings, 9th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, MG'9, Rome, Italy, July 2-8, 2000. Pts. A-C. 2000. [arXiv:hep-th/0006009 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0006009).
- [18] F. A. Dolan, "Character formulae and partition functions in higher dimensional conformal field theory," [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2196241) 47 (2006) 062303, [arXiv:hep-th/0508031](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508031) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508031).
- [19] F. Kos, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, "Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the 3D Ising Model," JHEP 1411 [\(2014\) 109,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2014)109) [arXiv:1406.4858 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.4858).
- [20] V. Bargmann and I. T. Todorov, "Spaces of Analytic Functions on a Complex Cone as Carriers for the Symmetric Tensor Representations of $SO(N)$," [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523383) 18 [\(1977\) 1141–1148.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523383)
- [21] J. Penedones, E. Trevisani, and M. Yamazaki, "Recursion Relations for Conformal Blocks," [arXiv:1509.00428 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.00428).
- [22] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland, and S. Rychkov, "Spinning Conformal Correlators," JHEP 1111 [\(2011\) 071,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2011)071) [arXiv:1107.3554 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.3554).
- [23] M. S. Costa and T. Hansen, "Conformal correlators of mixed-symmetry tensors," JHEP 02 [\(2015\) 151,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)151) [arXiv:1411.7351 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.7351).
- [24] J. Erdmenger and H. Osborn, "Conserved currents and the energy momentum tensor in conformally invariant theories for general dimensions," [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00545-7) B483 (1997) [431–474,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00545-7) [arXiv:hep-th/9605009 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9605009).