

Fermi-Velocity-Controlled Length Scales in Solids: One Master Formula, Many Phenomena

Graduate Lecture Notes

July 3, 2026

Abstract

Every energy scale E in a metal — temperature, a superconducting gap, a Kondo temperature, a disorder broadening — defines a characteristic length $L_E \sim \hbar v_F/E$. These notes develop this master relation from three complementary physical pictures, then tour its realizations: the thermal length in Friedel oscillations and clean SNS Josephson junctions, the BCS coherence length, the Kondo screening cloud, and mean free path/localization lengths in disordered conductors. The diffusive generalization $L_E \rightarrow \sqrt{\hbar D/E}$ and the Thouless energy are derived, and the limits of the framework (flat bands, non-Fermi liquids, Dirac materials) are discussed. Full derivations are included.

Contents

1	The Master Formula	1
1.1	Setup: linearization at the Fermi surface	1
1.2	Three equivalent readings	2
1.3	A number to memorize	2
1.4	The trivial anchor: the Fermi wavelength	2
2	Temperature: The Thermal Length	2
2.1	Application A: Friedel oscillations at finite T	3
2.1.1	Zero temperature: sharp edge $\Rightarrow$ power law	3
2.1.2	Finite temperature: the Matsubara-pole derivation	3
2.2	Application B: the clean SNS Josephson junction	3
3	The Superconducting Gap: The Coherence Length	4
3.1	Pippard's argument	4
3.2	BCS derivation of the pair wavefunction	4
3.3	Numbers and finite-temperature remarks	5
4	The Kondo Temperature: The Screening Cloud	5
4.1	The exponentially small scale	5
4.2	Size of the cloud	5
4.3	Why this shocks students	5
5	Disorder: Mean Free Path and Localization	6
5.1	The building block	6
5.2	Strict 1D: transfer matrices	6
5.3	Dimensionality dressing	6

6	The Diffusive Generalization and the Thouless Energy	6
7	Summary Table	7
8	Where the Framework Fails	7

1 The Master Formula

1.1 Setup: linearization at the Fermi surface

Low-energy physics in a metal lives on the Fermi surface, where the dispersion may be linearized:

$$\varepsilon_k \simeq \hbar v_F (k - k_F), \quad v_F \equiv \left. \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F}. \quad (1)$$

Linearization provides a *rigid dictionary between energy and momentum*: an energy window E around the Fermi surface corresponds to a momentum shell of width

$$\delta k = \frac{E}{\hbar v_F}. \quad (2)$$

By elementary Fourier analysis, a superposition of plane waves drawn from a window δk remains phase-coherent in real space only over a distance $\sim 1/\delta k$. Hence the master formula:

$$\boxed{L_E \sim \frac{\hbar v_F}{E}} \quad (3)$$

Key Idea 1. *Any physical mechanism characterized by energy scale E necessarily mixes or smears electronic states within E of the Fermi surface, and therefore imprints itself on real space at the length $L_E = \hbar v_F/E$. The physics differs wildly from case to case; the length scale does not.*

1.2 Three equivalent readings

(R1) Fourier/uncertainty reading. Momentum shell $\delta k = E/\hbar v_F \Rightarrow$ real-space structure of size $1/\delta k = \hbar v_F/E$. Natural for: Cooper pair size, Kondo cloud.

(R2) Dephasing reading. Two quasiparticle states differing in energy by E differ in wavevector by $\delta k = E/\hbar v_F$. After propagating a distance x they accumulate a relative phase $\delta k x$; interference is washed out once $\delta k x \sim 1$, i.e. at $x \sim \hbar v_F/E$. Natural for: thermal decay of Friedel oscillations, SNS supercurrent.

(R3) Dynamical reading. An energy scale E defines a coherence time $\tau_E = \hbar/E$. A ballistic electron at the Fermi surface covers, during this time,

$$L_E = v_F \tau_E = \frac{\hbar v_F}{E}. \quad (4)$$

This reading generalizes immediately to diffusive metals (Sec. 6).

1.3 A number to memorize

For a typical metal $v_F \approx 10^6$ m/s, so

$$\hbar v_F \approx 0.66 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm}, \quad k_B \times 1 \text{ K} \approx 86 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}. \quad (5)$$

With these two numbers, every length in this lecture is a back-of-envelope estimate.

1.4 The trivial anchor: the Fermi wavelength

Setting $E = E_F$ (for a parabolic band, $E_F = \frac{1}{2} \hbar v_F k_F$):

$$L_{E_F} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{E_F} = \frac{2}{k_F} \sim \lambda_F, \quad (6)$$

a few Å in a good metal — the *shortest* length in the hierarchy, where the notion of “near the Fermi surface” itself breaks down. Throughout these notes, keep two roles cleanly separated:

k_F sets fast oscillation periods (π/k_F for Friedel/RKKY); $\hbar v_F/E$ sets slow envelope decay lengths.

2 Temperature: The Thermal Length

Set $E \sim k_B T$. Finite temperature enters diagrammatics via Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi k_B T$; the longest-lived contribution comes from the lowest one, giving

$$L_T = \frac{\hbar v_F}{2\pi k_B T} \quad (7)$$

At $T = 1 \text{ K}$, $L_T \approx 1.2 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$: mesoscopic physics in a dilution fridge happens on the micron scale for a reason.

2.1 Application A: Friedel oscillations at finite T

2.1.1 Zero temperature: sharp edge $\Rightarrow$ power law

At $T = 0$ a point impurity induces $\delta n(r) \propto \cos(2k_F r + \delta_0)/r^d$. The power law reflects the perfectly sharp Fermi surface: the relevant integral

$$\delta n(r) \propto \text{Im} \int_0^{k_F} dk g(k) e^{2ikr} \quad (8)$$

is endpoint-dominated at $k = k_F$ for $k_F r \gg 1$, and each integration by parts costs one power of $1/r$.

2.1.2 Finite temperature: the Matsubara-pole derivation

At finite T , occupation is governed by the Fermi function $f(\varepsilon) = [e^{\beta(\varepsilon - \mu)} + 1]^{-1}$, and the sharp endpoint is replaced by a smooth integral,

$$\delta n(r) \propto \text{Im} \int_0^\infty dk g(k) f(\varepsilon_k) e^{2ikr}. \quad (9)$$

Linearize around k_F : with $\varepsilon = \hbar v_F(k - k_F)$ and $q = k - k_F$,

$$\delta n(r) \propto \text{Im} \left[g(k_F) e^{2ik_F r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{2iqr}}{e^{\beta \hbar v_F q} + 1} \right]. \quad (10)$$

Close the contour in the upper half q -plane ($r > 0$). The Fermi factor has simple poles where $e^{\beta \hbar v_F q} = -1$, i.e. at

$$q_n = \frac{i\omega_n}{\hbar v_F}, \quad \omega_n = (2n+1)\pi k_B T, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (11)$$

— precisely the Matsubara frequencies. The residue at each pole is $-1/(\beta \hbar v_F)$, so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq e^{2iqr}}{e^{\beta \hbar v_F q} + 1} = -\frac{2\pi i}{\beta \hbar v_F} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\omega_n r / \hbar v_F} = -\frac{2\pi i}{\beta \hbar v_F} \frac{e^{-r/L_T}}{1 - e^{-2r/L_T}} = -\frac{\pi i}{\beta \hbar v_F} \frac{1}{\sinh(r/L_T)}, \quad (12)$$

where the geometric series over poles resummed into the $\sinh$. The asymptotic decay is controlled by the pole nearest the real axis ($\omega_0 = \pi k_B T$). Restoring the $T = 0$ normalization, the exact statement is that the zero-temperature result acquires a universal envelope:

$$\boxed{\delta n(r; T) = \delta n(r; 0) \times \frac{x}{\sinh x}, \quad x = \frac{r}{L_T} = \frac{2\pi k_B T r}{\hbar v_F}} \quad (13)$$

with limits $x/\sinh x \rightarrow 1$ ($r \ll L_T$: power law survives) and $\rightarrow 2x e^{-x}$ ($r \gg L_T$: exponential decay).

2.2 Application B: the clean SNS Josephson junction

Supercurrent through a normal metal of length L between two superconductors is carried by Andreev pairs: an electron at energy ε above E_F with

$$k_e = k_F + \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar v_F}, \quad (14)$$

correlated with the retroreflected hole at

$$k_h = k_F - \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar v_F}. \quad (15)$$

Traversing the normal region, the pair accumulates a relative phase

$$\delta\phi(\varepsilon) = (k_e - k_h) L = \frac{2\varepsilon L}{\hbar v_F}. \quad (16)$$

At $T = 0$ all energies contribute coherently and the junction carries a robust supercurrent. At finite T , the current involves a thermal average of $e^{i\delta\phi(\varepsilon)}$ over the window $\varepsilon \sim k_B T$. This is *the same integral* as Eq. (10) with $r \rightarrow L$: closing the contour over the Matsubara poles gives a $1/\sinh(L/L_T)$ factor, hence for a long junction

$$\boxed{I_c \propto e^{-L/L_T}, \quad L_T = \frac{\hbar v_F}{2\pi k_B T}} \quad (17)$$

Key Idea 2. *The Friedel envelope and the Josephson decay are the same calculation — thermal dephasing of Fermi-shell interference, resolved by the Matsubara pole series — dressed in different physical clothing.*

3 The Superconducting Gap: The Coherence Length

3.1 Pippard's argument

Pairing correlations involve only electrons within Δ of the Fermi surface: momentum shell $\delta k = \Delta/\hbar v_F$, hence pair size $\xi_0 \sim \hbar v_F/\Delta$. This uncertainty-principle argument (Pippard, pre-BCS) fixes everything but the numerical factor.

3.2 BCS derivation of the pair wavefunction

The equal-time pair amplitude is

$$F(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} u_k v_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad u_k v_k = \frac{\Delta}{2E_k}, \quad E_k = \sqrt{\xi_k^2 + \Delta^2}. \quad (18)$$

Perform the angular integral in 3D and linearize $\xi_k = \hbar v_F(k - k_F)$:

$$F(r) \propto \frac{\sin k_F r}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta^2}} \cos\left(\frac{\xi r}{\hbar v_F}\right) = \frac{\sin k_F r}{r} 2\Delta K_0\left(\frac{\Delta r}{\hbar v_F}\right), \quad (19)$$

using the standard integral representation of the modified Bessel function K_0 . Its asymptotics give

$$F(r) \xrightarrow{r \gg \hbar v_F/\Delta} \frac{\sin k_F r}{r} e^{-\Delta r/\hbar v_F} \equiv \frac{\sin k_F r}{r} e^{-r/\pi \xi_0}, \quad \boxed{\xi_0 = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\pi \Delta}} \quad (20)$$

Note the master pattern exactly: fast k_F -oscillations under a slow $\hbar v_F/\Delta$ envelope.

3.3 Numbers and finite-temperature remarks

Al ($\Delta \approx 0.18$ meV, $v_F \approx 2 \times 10^6$ m/s): $\xi_0 \sim 1.6$ μm . Nb ($\Delta \approx 1.5$ meV): $\xi_0 \sim 40$ nm. Bigger gap, smaller pair — obvious from Eq. (3) before any computation.

Two refinements:

1. Near T_c , the Ginzburg–Landau coherence length diverges, $\xi(T) \approx 0.74 \xi_0 (1 - T/T_c)^{-1/2}$ (clean limit): the relevant energy scale $\Delta(T)$ collapses, so the length blows up, exactly as the master formula demands.
2. In a *proximitized normal metal* at finite T , the pair correlations decay on L_T , not ξ_0 : the normal region has no gap, so temperature is the only energy scale left. Always ask: *which energy scale governs which region of the device?*

4 The Kondo Temperature: The Screening Cloud

4.1 The exponentially small scale

Below the Kondo temperature T_K , conduction electrons form a many-body singlet with an impurity spin. Yosida's variational treatment gives the singlet binding energy from the self-consistency condition

$$1 = \frac{3J\rho}{4} \int_0^D \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon + \Delta_b} \implies \Delta_b \approx D e^{-4/(3J\rho)} \sim k_B T_K, \quad (21)$$

the infrared logarithm coming — like the Cooper logarithm — from integrating $1/\epsilon$ down to a sharp Fermi edge.

4.2 Size of the cloud

The bound orbital is built from amplitudes $\alpha_k \propto (\epsilon_k + \Delta_b)^{-1}$, i.e. a shell of width $k_B T_K$ above the Fermi surface. Fourier transforming with linearized dispersion (s-wave channel),

$$\phi(r) \propto \frac{1}{r} \text{Im} \int_0^D d\epsilon \frac{e^{i(k_F + \epsilon/\hbar v_F) r}}{\epsilon + k_B T_K}, \quad (22)$$

which yields fast k_F oscillations under an envelope crossing over at

$$\boxed{\xi_K = \frac{\hbar v_F}{k_B T_K}} \quad |\phi(r)|^2 \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\sin^2 k_F r}{r^2} \ln^2(\xi_K/r), & r \ll \xi_K, \\ \frac{\cos^2 k_F r}{r^2} \frac{\xi_K^2}{r^2}, & r \gg \xi_K. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

4.3 Why this shocks students

T_K is exponentially small in the exchange coupling, so ξ_K is exponentially *large*: for $T_K \sim 1$ K, $\xi_K \sim$ several microns — larger than many devices containing the impurity. This is why the Kondo cloud eluded direct detection for half a century; its observation in a quantum-dot interferometer (Borzenets *et al.*, *Nature* 2020) confirmed the $\hbar v_F/k_B T_K$ scaling directly.

5 Disorder: Mean Free Path and Localization

5.1 The building block

Set $E = \hbar/\tau$, the disorder broadening of quasiparticle levels (τ the elastic scattering time). The master formula returns

$$L_{\hbar/\tau} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\hbar/\tau} = v_F \tau = \ell, \quad (24)$$

the elastic mean free path.

5.2 Strict 1D: transfer matrices

The tight-binding equation $-t(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1}) + \epsilon_n \psi_n = E \psi_n$ is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ recursion; Furstenberg's theorem guarantees a positive Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(E) = 1/\xi_{\text{loc}}$, so all 1D states are exponentially localized. Weak-disorder perturbation theory gives

$$\xi_{\text{loc}} = \frac{8 t^2 \sin^2(ka)}{\langle \epsilon_n^2 \rangle}. \quad (25)$$

To expose the universal structure, restore the clean-band group velocity $\hbar v(E) = 2ta \sin(ka)$ and use the 1D Born scattering rate $\hbar/\tau = 2\pi \langle \epsilon^2 \rangle \rho_{1D} a$ with $\rho_{1D} = 1/(\pi \hbar v)$:

$$\boxed{\xi_{\text{loc}} \simeq 4\ell = 4v_F \tau} \quad (26)$$

— again $\hbar v_F/E^*$ with $E^* = \hbar/\tau$.

5.3 Dimensionality dressing

$$\xi_{\text{loc}} \sim \ell \text{ (1D)}, \quad \xi_{\text{loc}} \sim N_{\text{ch}} \ell \text{ (quasi-1D)}, \quad \xi_{\text{loc}} \sim \ell e^{\pi k_F \ell/2} \text{ (2D)}. \quad (27)$$

Honesty clause: the master formula supplies the *brick* ℓ , but the 2D exponential and the channel-number enhancement come from interference physics (weak localization, scaling theory) genuinely beyond dimensional analysis. *The framework tells you the bricks; not always the architecture.*

6 The Diffusive Generalization and the Thouless Energy

The dynamical reading (R3) — distance traveled during the coherence time $\hbar/E$ — extends everything to dirty metals. When $L_E \gg \ell$, propagation over $\tau_E = \hbar/E$ is diffusive with $D = v_F \ell / d = v_F^2 \tau / d$, so $L = v_F \tau_E \rightarrow L = \sqrt{D \tau_E}$:

$$\boxed{L_E^{\text{ballistic}} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{E} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L_E^{\text{diffusive}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar D}{E}}} \quad (28)$$

Every ballistic length has a dirty-limit partner:

$$\xi_0 = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\pi \Delta} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \xi_{\text{dirty}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar D}{\Delta}} \sim \sqrt{\xi_0} \ell, \quad (29)$$

$$L_T = \frac{\hbar v_F}{2\pi k_B T} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L_T^{\text{diff}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar D}{2\pi k_B T}}. \quad (30)$$

Note v_F has not disappeared — it hides inside D . The unified, regime-independent statement:

The length associated with energy scale E is the distance a Fermi-surface electron propagates during the coherence time $\hbar/E$.

The Thouless energy: the inverse dictionary. Given a sample of size L , the associated energy is

$$\delta = \frac{\hbar v_F}{L} \quad (\text{ballistic}), \quad \delta = \frac{\hbar D}{L^2} \quad (\text{diffusive}). \quad (31)$$

The short- versus long-junction classification of a Josephson device is simply: which is smaller, Δ or δ ? — equivalently, which length is longer, ξ or L ? Students should translate freely in both directions between energies and lengths.

7 Summary Table

Energy scale E	Length $\hbar v_F / E$	Physics	Typical size
E_F	$\lambda_F \sim 1/k_F$	Fermi wavelength; Friedel/RKKY period	$\text{\AA}$
$\hbar/\tau$	$\ell = v_F \tau$	mean free path; seed of ξ_{loc}	nm– μm
Δ	$\xi_0 = \hbar v_F / \pi \Delta$	Cooper pair size	10 nm– μm
$2\pi k_B T$	L_T	Friedel envelope; SNS decay	μm at 1 K
$k_B T_K$	ξ_K	Kondo screening cloud	μm
$\delta = \hbar v_F / L$	L (by definition)	inverse dictionary: size $\rightarrow$ energy	—

Reading top to bottom is reading the hierarchy of energies from largest to smallest, and correspondingly the lengths from shortest to longest. The nested structure $\lambda_F \ll \ell \ll \xi_0, L_T, \xi_K$ is what makes the quasiparticle description self-consistent in the first place.

8 Where the Framework Fails

The construction rests on a sharp Fermi surface and finite v_F . Three modern frontiers break it:

Flat bands. In magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, $v_F \rightarrow 0$ by design. Every length in the table collapses toward the lattice scale, kinetic energy loses to interactions, and correlated insulators and superconductivity emerge — flat-band engineering is the deliberate demolition of this lecture.

Non-Fermi liquids. Near quantum critical points, well-defined quasiparticles may not exist; ω/T scaling replaces the dispersion. The coherence *time* $\hbar/k_B T$ survives (the “Planckian” time of strange-metal transport), but there is no clean v_F to convert it into a length.

Dirac materials. At the neutrality point of graphene or a topological semimetal there is no Fermi surface, yet the velocity survives as a fundamental constant of the low-energy theory (an emergent “speed of light”). The master formula still works, with v_F fixed and E measured from the Dirac point.

Suggested Problems

1. Derive the $x/\sinh x$ thermal envelope of Friedel oscillations in 1D from the finite- T Lindhard function, identifying the Matsubara pole controlling the asymptotic decay.
2. For a clean SNS junction, compute the Andreev phase $\delta\phi(\varepsilon)$ and show that thermal averaging reproduces $I_c \propto e^{-L/L_T}$. Redo the estimate for a diffusive junction using $\tilde{D} = \hbar D/L^2$.
3. Estimate ξ_K for $T_K = 0.5$ K and $v_F = 1.5 \times 10^6$ m/s; compare with the size of a typical quantum-dot device. What does “the cloud is bigger than the device” mean physically?
4. (Open-ended) Suppose the dispersion near E_F were quadratic in $k - k_F$ rather than linear. How does the energy–length dictionary change? Which lengths in the table are modified?