# **QFT-Canonical Quantization**

Ling-Fong Li

(Institute)

æ

▶ ▲ 돈 ▶ ▲ 돈 ▶

# Chapter 3 Canonical Quantization

#### **Quantization of Free Fields**

The quantization of field is a generalization of the quantization in the non-relativistic quantum mechanics where we impose the commutation relations for coordinates  $q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2 \cdots, n$  and their conjugate momenta  $p_i$ ,

$$[q_i, p_j] = i\delta_{ij}$$

where  $p_i$  is defined by

$$p_j = rac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j}, \qquad L: Lagrangian$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i} p_i \dot{q}_i - L$$

The dynamics is determined by the Schrodinger equation,

$$H\Psi = i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

Here wave function  $\Psi(t)$  gives time evolution while operators  $p_{i,q_j}$  are time independent. This  $\rho$  is known as the **Schrodinger picture**. Alternatively, we can go to **Heisenberg picture** where

(Institute)

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 $p_i(t)$  and  $q_j(t)$  carry the time dependence instead of state vector  $\Psi$ . This is known as the Hsienberg picture which is related to the Schrodinger picture by unitary transformation,

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t) = e^{-iHt}\Psi_{H}$$

and

$$O_{H}(t) = e^{iHt}O_{S}e^{-iHt}$$

In this picture the canonical commutation relation is then

$$\left[ oldsymbol{q}_{i}\left( t
ight) ,oldsymbol{p}_{j}\left( t
ight) 
ight] =i\delta _{ij}$$

In relativistic field theory we will use Heisenberg picture so that both spatial coordinate  $\vec{x}$  and t both appear as arguments of the field operator  $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ Thus in field theory we replace  $q_i(t)$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ . To make this correspondence more transparent, divide the 3-dim space into cells of volume  $\Delta V_i$  and define the *i*th coordinate  $\phi_i(t)$  by averaging  $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$  over the *i*th cell

$$\phi_{i}(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta V_{i}} \int_{\Delta V_{i}} d^{3}x \phi\left(\overrightarrow{x}, t\right)$$

▲ロ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲

Similarly,  $\partial_0 \phi_i(t)$  is the averge of  $\partial \phi(\vec{x}, t) / \partial t$  over the *i*the cell. Write the Lagrangian *L* as integration of Lagrangain density  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$L=\int d^3x \mathcal{L}$$

and let  $\mathcal{L}_i$  be the average of  $\mathcal{L}$  in the *i*the cell. We define the conjugate momenta as

$$p_{i}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{0} \phi_{i}(t))} = \Delta V_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{i}}{\partial (\partial_{0} \phi_{i}(t))} \equiv \Delta V_{i} \pi_{i}(t)$$

The Hamiltonian is then defined as

$$H = \sum_{i} p_{i}(t) \partial_{0} \phi_{i}(t) - L = \sum_{i} \Delta V_{i}(\pi_{i} \partial_{0} \phi_{i}(t) - \mathcal{L}_{i}) \longrightarrow \int d^{3}x \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}=\pi_{i}\partial_{0}\phi_{i}\left(t\right)-\mathcal{L}$$

Canonical commutation relations are

$$\left[\phi_{i}\left(t\right), p_{j}\left(t\right)\right] = i\delta_{ij}, \qquad \left[\phi_{i}\left(t\right), \phi_{j}\left(t\right)\right] = 0, \qquad \left[p_{i}\left(t\right), p_{j}\left(t\right)\right] = 0$$

Or in terms of  $\pi_i$ 

$$\left[\phi_{i}\left(t\right), \ \pi_{j}\left(t\right)\right] = i \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\Delta V_{i}}$$

(Institute)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

These become in the continuum language,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi\left(\vec{x},t\right), \pi\left(\vec{x}',t\right) \end{bmatrix} = i\delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right), \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \phi\left(\vec{x},t\right), \phi\left(\vec{x}',t\right) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \pi\left(\vec{x},t\right), \pi\left(\vec{x}',t\right) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where the Dirac delta function emerges as the limit of  $\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\Delta V_i}$  as  $\Delta V_i \longrightarrow 0$ , according to

$$\int d^3x'\delta^3\left(\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{x}'\right)f(\overrightarrow{x'})=f(\overrightarrow{x})$$

Also we have

$$\pi\left(\overrightarrow{x},t\right)=rac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{0}\phi\right)}$$

# Scalar field

Consider a scalar field  $\phi$  satifies the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left(\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}+\mu^{2}
ight)\phi=0$$

Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi
ight)\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi
ight)-rac{\mu^{2}}{2}\phi^{2}$$

Euler-Lagrange equation for this  $\mathcal L$ 

(Institute)

$$\partial^{\mu}\left(rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial^{\mu}\phi)}
ight)-rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi}=0$$

gives the Klein-Gordon equation.

$$\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi + \mu^{2}\phi = 0$$

2

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Canonical quantization Conjugate momentum

$$\pi\left(\overrightarrow{x},t\right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{0}\phi\right)} = \left(\partial_{0}\phi\right)$$

Impose commutation relations,

$$[\phi(\vec{x},t),\pi(\vec{y},t)] = i\delta^{3}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}), \qquad [\phi(\vec{x},t),\phi(\vec{y},t)] = 0,$$
(1)  
$$[\pi(\vec{x},t),\pi(\vec{y},t)] = 0$$

Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H}=\pi\partial_0\phi-\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left[\left(\partial^0\phi
ight)^2+\left(ec{
abla}\phi
ight)^2
ight]+rac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^2$$

We can compute the commutator

$$\left[H,\phi(\vec{x},t)\right] = \int d^3y \left[\mathcal{H},\phi(\vec{x},t)\right] = -i\partial_0\phi$$

Thus Hamiltonian generates the time translation.

# Mode expansion

To find physical contents, expand in classical solutions,

(Institute)

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$\phi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k}} \left[ \mathbf{a}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right], \quad k_0 = \sqrt{\vec{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \mu^2}$$

a(k) and  $a^{\dagger}(k)$  are operators.Note that term  $a^{\dagger}(\vec{k})e^{ik\cdot x}$  corresponds to the negative energy solution. This will become the creation operator while the first term  $a(\vec{k})e^{-ikx}$  correspond to destruction operator.

æ

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Solve a(k) and  $a^{\dagger}(k)$  in  $\phi$  and  $\partial_0 \phi$ . This can be carried out as follows. The derivative of  $\phi$  is

$$\partial_0 \phi\left(\vec{x}, t\right) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k}} \left(-ik_0\right) \left[a(\vec{k})e^{-ik\cdot x} - a^{\dagger}(\vec{k})e^{ik\cdot x}\right], \quad k_0 = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + \mu^2} = w_k$$

Combining these two relations and integrating over x after multiplying  $e^{ik'x}$ , we get

$$\int e^{ik'x} d^3x \left(\partial_0 \phi - ik_0 \phi\right) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k}} \left(-2ik_0\right) \delta^3\left(k - k'\right) \mathsf{a}(k)$$

From this we get

$$a(k) = i \int d^3x \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k}} \left[ e^{ikx} \partial_0 \phi - \left( \partial_0 e^{ik \cdot x} \right) \right]$$

If we introduce the notation

$$f \overleftrightarrow{\partial_0} g \equiv f \partial_0 g - (\partial_0 f) g$$

we can write

$$\mathbf{a}(k) = i \int d^3 x \frac{\mathbf{e}^{ik \cdot \mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\mathbf{w}_k}} \overleftarrow{\partial_0} \phi(\mathbf{x})$$

Hermitian conjugate

æ

▲日 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ →

$$\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) = -i \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k}} \overleftrightarrow{\partial_0} \phi(\mathbf{x})$$

where

$$f \overleftrightarrow{\partial_0} g \equiv f \partial_0 g - (\partial_0 f) g$$

Commutators can be calculated as

$$\left[a(\overrightarrow{k}), a^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k'})\right] = \delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{k} - \overrightarrow{k'}), \qquad \left[a(\overrightarrow{k}), a(\overrightarrow{k'})\right] = 0$$

For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}(\vec{k}), \ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}') \end{bmatrix} = \int \frac{d^3 x d^3 x' e^{i\mathbf{k}x} e^{-i\mathbf{k}'x'}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k (2\pi)^3 2w_{k'}}} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_0 \phi(x) - ik_0 \phi(x), \ \partial_0 \phi(x') - ik'_0 \phi(x') \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 x d^3 x' e^{i\mathbf{k}x} e^{-i\mathbf{k}'x'}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k (2\pi)^3 2w_{k'}}} \left( ik'_0(-i) - ik_0 i \right) \delta^3(x - x')$$

$$= \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k'}) \ \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k'})$$

Same as harmonic oscillators.

(Institute)

э.

ヘロト 人間 ト くほ ト くほ トー

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int d^{3}k \mathcal{H}_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}k w_{k} \left[ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k}) \mathbf{a}(\overrightarrow{k}) + \mathbf{a}(\overrightarrow{k}) \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k}) \right]$$

superposition of oscillators with frequency  $w_k$ .

We can compute the commutator

$$\left[H, a^{\dagger}(k)\right] = \int d^{3}k' w_{k'} \left[a^{\dagger}(k')a(k'), a^{\dagger}(k)\right] = w_{k} a^{\dagger}(k)$$

If we have an eigenstate of H with eigenvalue E,

$$H|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$$
,

then applying the commutator, we get

$$\left(Ha^{\dagger}(k)-a^{\dagger}(k)H
ight)|E
angle=w_{k}\;a^{\dagger}(k)|E
angle$$

which gives

$$Ha^{\dagger}(k)|E\rangle = (E + w_k) a^{\dagger}(k)|E\rangle$$

Thus the operator  $a^{\dagger}(k)$  will increase the energy eigenvalue by  $w_k$ , creation operator.

(Institute)

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Similarly,

$$[H, a(k)] = \int d^3k' w_{k'} \left[a^{\dagger}(k')a(k'), a(k)\right] = -w_k a(k)$$

and a(k) will decrease the energy eigenvalue by  $w_k$ , destruction operator. From Noether's theorem, momentum operator is,

$$P_{i} = \int d^{3}x T_{0i} = \int d^{3}x \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{0}\phi)} \partial_{i}\phi = \int d^{3}x \pi \partial_{i}\phi$$

and we have the commutator,

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_i, \phi(\vec{x}, t) \end{bmatrix} = \int d^3y \left[ \pi(\vec{y}, t) \partial_i \phi(\vec{y}, t), \phi(\vec{x}, t) \right]$$
  
= 
$$\int d^3y \partial_i \phi(\vec{y}, t) (-i) \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = -i \partial_i \phi(\vec{x}, t)$$

In terms of creation and annihilation operators,

$$\overrightarrow{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \, \overrightarrow{k} \left[ a^{\dagger}(k) \, a(k) + a(k) \, a^{\dagger}(k) \right] = \int d^3k \overrightarrow{p_k}$$

with

$$\overrightarrow{p_{k}} = \frac{\overrightarrow{k}}{2} \left[ a^{\dagger}(k) a(k) + a(k) a^{\dagger}(k) \right]$$

(Institute)

æ

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨトー

Note

$$a(k) a^{\dagger}(k) = a^{\dagger}(k) a(k) + \delta^{3}(0)$$

Interpret  $\delta^{3}\left(0
ight)$  as

$$\delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{k}) = \int \frac{d^{3}x}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\overrightarrow{k}\cdot\overrightarrow{x}}$$

as  $\overrightarrow{k} \to 0$ 

$$\delta^{3}(0) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^{3}x = rac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}}$$

V total volume of the system. Then

$$H = \int d^{3}k w_{k} \left[ a^{\dagger} \left( k \right) a \left( k \right) + \frac{\left( 2 \pi \right)^{-3}}{2} V \right]$$

Last term will be dropped.

To achieve this more formally, use normal ordering.

æ

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨトー

# Normal ordering

In normal ordering :  $(\cdots)$  : move all  $a^{\dagger}(k)$  to the left of a(k) . For example,

$$a(k)a^{\dagger}(k) := a^{\dagger}(k)a(k)$$
$$a^{\dagger}(k)a(k) := a^{\dagger}(k)a(k)$$

Vaccum is defined by

$$|a(k)|0
angle = 0 \qquad orall \ \overrightarrow{k} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle 0|a^{\dagger}(k) = 0$$

Then

$$\langle 0|:f\left(a,a^{\dagger}
ight):|0
angle=0$$

Define Hamiltonican by normaling ordering

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k w_k : \left[ \mathsf{a}^\dagger(k) \mathsf{a}(k) + \mathsf{a}(k) \mathsf{a}^\dagger(k) \right] := \int d^3k w_k \mathsf{a}^\dagger(k) \mathsf{a}(k)$$

Similarly,

$$\overrightarrow{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \overrightarrow{p_k} : \left[ a^{\dagger}(k) a(k) + a(k) a^{\dagger}(k) \right] := \int d^3k \overrightarrow{p_k} a^{\dagger}(k) a(k)$$

Then vacuum has zero energy and momentum.

(Institute)

3

ヘロト 人間 ト くほ ト くほ トー

#### Particle interpretation

State defined by

$$|\overrightarrow{k}\rangle = \sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2w_k} a^{\dagger}(k) |0\rangle$$

is eigenstate of  $H \& \overrightarrow{p}$ ,

$$|H|\overrightarrow{k}\rangle = w_k |\overrightarrow{k}\rangle, \qquad \overrightarrow{p}|\overrightarrow{k}\rangle = \overrightarrow{k}|\overrightarrow{k}\rangle \qquad \text{where } w_k = \sqrt{\overrightarrow{k}^2 + \mu^2}$$

Interpret this as one-particle state because eigenvalues are related by

$$w_k^2 + \overrightarrow{k}^2 = \mu^2$$

Similarly, we can define 2 particle satate by

$$|\overrightarrow{k}_{1},\overrightarrow{k}_{2}\rangle = \sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2w_{k_{1}}} \sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2w_{k_{2}}} \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_{1}}) \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_{2}}) |0\rangle$$

Generlization to multiparticle states,

$$|\overrightarrow{k}_{1},\cdots\overrightarrow{k}_{n}\rangle = \sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2w_{k_{1}}}\cdots\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2w_{k_{n}}}a^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_{1}})\cdots a^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_{2}})|0\rangle$$

Bose statistics Expand arbitrary state

(Institute)

3

$$|\Phi\rangle = \left[C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int d^3k_1 \dots d^3k_n C_n\left(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\right) a^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_1}) \dots a^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{k_n})|0\rangle\right]$$

 $C_{n}\left(k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{n}\right)$  the momentum space wavefunction. Since

$$\left[ \boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}\left( \boldsymbol{k}_{i}
ight)$$
 ,  $\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}\left( \boldsymbol{k}_{j}
ight) 
ight] = 0$ 

$$C_n(k_1,...,k_i,...,k_j...,k_n) = C_n(k_1,...,k_j,...,k_i...,k_n)$$

 $C_n(k_1, k_2, ..., k_n)$  satisfies Bose statistics

2

ヘロト 人間 ト くほ ト くほ トー

# Fermion fields

To quantize fermion field we can proceed the same way as the scalar field. Start with Dirac equation for free particles,

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}-m)\psi=0$$
 or  $\overline{\psi}\left(-i\gamma^{\mu}\overleftarrow{\partial_{\mu}}-m
ight)=0$ 

Lagrangian density for this equation is

$$\mathcal{L} = ar{\psi}_{lpha} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m 
ight)_{lpha eta} \psi_{eta}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_{\gamma}^{\dagger}} = \left(\gamma^{0}\right)_{\gamma \alpha} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m\right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\partial_{\mu} \psi_{\gamma}\right)} = 0$$

and Euler-Lagrange equation gives,

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}-m)_{lphaeta}\psi_{eta}=0$$

Conjugate momentum density is

$$\pi_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\partial_{0} \psi_{\alpha}\right)} = i \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$

If we impose the commutation relation like scalar field, will get Dirac particles satisfying Bose statistics which is not correct physically.

(Institute)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Impose anticommutation relations to get Fermi-Dirac statistics,

$$\left\{\pi_{\alpha}\left(\overrightarrow{x},t\right),\psi_{\beta}\left(\overrightarrow{y},t\right)\right\}=i\delta^{3}\left(\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{y}\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta},\quad\left\{\psi_{\alpha}\left(\overrightarrow{x},t\right),\psi_{\beta}\left(\overrightarrow{y},t\right)\right\}=0$$

Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \dot{\psi}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{L} = i \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_{0} \gamma_{0} \partial_{0} \psi - \overline{\psi} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m \right) \psi = \overline{\psi} \left( i \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} + m \right) \psi$$

3

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

#### Mode expansion

Expansion in terms of classical solutions,

$$\begin{split} \psi(\vec{x},t) &= \sum_{s} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} \left[ b(p,s) u(p,s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + d^{\dagger}(p,s) v(p,s) e^{ip \cdot x} \right] \\ \psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x},t) &= \sum_{s} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} \left[ b^{\dagger}(p,s) u^{\dagger}(p,s) e^{ip \cdot x} + d(p,s) v^{\dagger}(p,s) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right] \end{split}$$

Invert these relations to get the field operators in the momentum space. Multiply  $\psi$  by  $u^{\dagger}(p', s') e^{ip'x}$  and integrate over x,

$$\int d^{3}x e^{ip'x} u^{\dagger}\left(p',s'\right) \psi\left(\overrightarrow{x},t\right) = \sum_{s} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} b\left(p,s\right) u^{\dagger}\left(p',s'\right) u\left(p,s\right) \left(2\pi\right)^{3} \delta^{3}\left(p-p'\right)$$

where we have used the relation,

$$u^{\dagger}\left(-p,s'\right)v\left(p,s\right)=0$$

From the Dirac equation we have

$$ar{u}\left(p,s'
ight)\gamma^{\mu}\left(p\!\!\!/-m
ight)u\left(p,s
ight)=0$$

æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

and

$$ar{u}\left(p,s'
ight)\left(p\!\!\!/-m
ight)\gamma^{\mu}u\left(p,s
ight)=0$$

Add these two equations we get

$$p^{\mu}\bar{u}\left(p,s'
ight)u\left(p,s
ight)=m\bar{u}\left(p,s'
ight)\gamma^{\mu}u\left(p,s
ight)$$

Take the time component,

$$u^{\dagger}\left( p^{\prime},s^{\prime}
ight) u\left( p,s
ight) =2p^{0}$$

Using this relation, we get

$$b(p,s) = \int \frac{d^3x e^{ip \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}} u^{\dagger}(p,s) \psi\left(\vec{x},t\right)$$

The Hermitian conjugate yields

$$b^{\dagger}(p,s) = \int \frac{d^{3}x e^{-ip \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} \psi^{\dagger}\left(\vec{x},t\right) u\left(p,s\right)$$

From these, we can compute the anti-commutation relations for b, d,

æ

(日) (周) (三) (三)

$$\begin{split} \{b(p,s), b^{\dagger}(p',s')\} &= \int \frac{d^{3}x' d^{3}x e^{ip \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} \frac{e^{-ip' \cdot x'}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p'}}} \{u^{\dagger}(p,s) \psi\left(\vec{x},t\right), \ \psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}',t) u\left(p',s'\right)\} \\ &= \int \frac{d^{3}x e^{ip \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p}}} \int \frac{d^{3}x' e^{-ip' \cdot x'}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p'}}} (2\pi)^{3} \delta^{3}\left(x-x'\right) u^{\dagger}(p,s) u\left(p',s'\right) \\ &= \delta_{ss'} \delta^{3}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}'), \end{split}$$

SImilarly

$$\left\{ d\left(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{s}\right),d^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{p}',\boldsymbol{s}'\right)\right\} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{s}\boldsymbol{s}'}\delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}')$$

and all other anticommutators vanish. Hamiltonian

$$H=\sum_{s}\int d^{3}p\mathcal{H}_{ps}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\textit{ps}} = \textit{E}_{\textit{p}}\left[\textit{b}^{\dagger}\left(\textit{p},\textit{s}\right)\textit{b}\left(\textit{p},\textit{s}\right) - \textit{d}\left(\textit{p},\textit{s}\right)\textit{d}^{\dagger}\left(\textit{p},\textit{s}\right)\right]$$

Similarly,

$$\overrightarrow{p} = \sum_{s} d^{3} p \overrightarrow{p}_{p}$$

(Institute)

э.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

where

$$\overrightarrow{p}_{p} = \overrightarrow{p} \left[ b^{\dagger}(p,s) b(p,s) - d(p,s) d^{\dagger}(p,s) \right]$$

Commutators of H with  $b^{\dagger}(p, s)$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} H, b^{\dagger}(p, s) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{s'} d^{3}p' \left[ b^{\dagger}(p', s') b(p', s'), b^{\dagger}(p, s) \right] E_{p} = b^{\dagger}(p, s) E_{p}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{p}, b^{\dagger}(p, s) \end{bmatrix} = \overrightarrow{p} b^{\dagger}(p, s)$$

where we have used the identity

$$[AB, C] = A\{B, C\} - \{A, C\}B$$

 $b^{\dagger}(p,s)$  creats a particle with  $E_p$  and  $\overrightarrow{p}$  with relation  $E_p = \sqrt{\overrightarrow{p}^2 + m^2}$ .  $d^{\dagger}(p,s)$  creates a particle with same mass but opposite charge as  $b^{\dagger}(p,s)$ .

# Symmetry

$$\mathcal{L}=\overline{\psi}\left(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}-m
ight)\psi$$

is invariant under,

$$\psi\left(x
ight)
ightarrow e^{ilpha}\psi\left(x
ight)\Longrightarrow\psi^{\dagger}\left(x
ight)
ightarrow\psi^{\dagger}\left(x
ight)e^{-ilpha}$$
  $lpha$  : some real constant

Noether's theorem,  $\Longrightarrow$  conserved current,

$$\partial^{\mu}j_{\mu}=$$
 0, where  $j_{\mu}=\overline{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$ 

To see this consider the infinitesmal transformation

$$\delta \psi = i \alpha \psi, \qquad \delta \psi^{\dagger} = -i \alpha \psi^{\dagger}$$

Then from Noether's theorem, the conserved current is

$$j_{\mu}=rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\partial_{\mu}\psi_{lpha}
ight)}\delta\psi_{lpha}=-ilpha\overline{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$$

We can compute the conserved charge

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

$$Q = \int j_0(x) d^3x = \int d^3x : \psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, t) \psi(\vec{x}, t) :$$
  

$$= \int d^3x \sum_{ss'} \int \frac{d^3p'}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}}} : \left[ b^{\dagger}(p', s') u^{\dagger}(p', s') e^{ip' \cdot x} + d(p', s') v^{\dagger}(p', s') e^{-ip' \cdot x} \right]$$
  

$$\times \int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}} \left[ b(p, s) u(p, s) e^{-ip \cdot x} + d^{\dagger}(p, s) v(p, s) e^{ip \cdot x} \right] :$$
  

$$= \sum_{s} \int d^3p : \left[ b^{\dagger}(p, s) b(p, s) + d(p, s) d^{\dagger}(p, s) \right] := \sum \int d^3p \left[ N^{+}(p, s) - N^{-}(p, s) \right] :$$

where

$$N_{ps}^{+} = b^{\dagger}(p,s) b(p,s) \qquad N_{ps}^{-} = d^{\dagger}(p,s) d(p,s)$$

are the number operators  $\implies$  particle and anti-particle have opposite "charge".

Ξ.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

#### **Electromagnetic fields**

Maxwell's equations,

$$abla \cdot \overrightarrow{B} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \overrightarrow{E} + \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{B}}{\partial t} = 0,$$
(2)

$$abla \cdot \vec{E} = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \vec{B} - \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = 0$$
(3)

Introduce  $\overrightarrow{A}$ ,  $\phi$  by

$$\overrightarrow{B} = \nabla \times \overrightarrow{A}, \qquad \overrightarrow{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{A}}{\partial t}$$
 (4)

These solve equations in Eq(2). Write relations in Eq(4) as

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu} \quad \text{with} \quad F^{0i} = \partial^{0}A^{i} - \partial^{i}A^{0} = -E^{i}, \quad F^{ij} = \partial^{i}A^{j} - \partial^{j}A^{i} = -\epsilon_{ijk}B_{k}$$

Other two sets of equations in Eq(3)

$$\partial_
u {m F}^{\mu
u}=$$
 0,  $\mu=$  0, 1, 2, 3

For example

$$\mu = 0, \quad \partial_i F^{0i} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0$$
$$\mu = i, \quad \partial_\nu F^{i\nu} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = 0$$

2

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Note  $c^2=rac{1}{\mu_0\epsilon_0}=1.$   $F^{\mu
u}$  is invariant under the transformation,

$$A^{\mu} \longrightarrow A^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu} \alpha \qquad \alpha = \alpha(x)$$

 $\alpha(x)$  is arbitrary function. This is called gauge transformation. Given a set of  $\overrightarrow{B}$  and  $\overrightarrow{E}$  fields,  $\overrightarrow{A}$ , and  $\phi$  are not unique. Different  $\alpha(x)$  gives same  $\overrightarrow{B}$  and  $\overrightarrow{E}$  fields. This property is usually called the gauge invariance.

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Lagrangian density given by,

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4}F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u} = rac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{E}^2 - \overrightarrow{B}^2)$$

will give Maxwell equations a la Euler-Lagrange equations.. To see this we compute

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)} = - \left(\partial^{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}\right), \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{A}_{\nu}} = \mathbf{0}$$

Then

$$\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{A}_{\nu}}, \qquad \Longrightarrow \partial_{\mu} \left(\partial^{\mu} \mathcal{A}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}\right) = \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

These are indeed the Maxwell equations as we discussed before. Conjugate momenta

$$\pi_0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_0 A_0)} = 0, \qquad \qquad \pi^i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_0 A_i)} = -F^{0i} = E^i$$

No conjugate momenta for  $A_0 \Longrightarrow$  not a dynamical degree of freedom. Hamiltanian density,

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi^{k} \dot{A}_{k} - \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\overrightarrow{E}^{2} + \overrightarrow{B}^{2}) + (\overrightarrow{E} \cdot \nabla) A_{0}$$

æ

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Using  $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{E} = 0$ , Hamiltonian becomes,

$$H = \int d^3 x \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x (\overrightarrow{E}^2 + \overrightarrow{B}^2)$$

Impose commutation relation,

$$[\pi^{i}(\overrightarrow{x},t), A^{j}(\overrightarrow{y},t)] = -i\delta_{ij}\delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{y}), \quad \dots$$

But this is not consistent with  $\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$  because

$$[
abla \cdot E(x,t), A_j(x,t)] = -i\partial_j\delta^3(x-y) 
eq 0$$

 $\delta$ -function in momentum space

$$\partial_j \delta^3(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}) = i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i \overrightarrow{k} \cdot (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}})} k_j$$

To get zero for the commutator of  $\nabla \cdot E$ , replace,

$$\delta_{ij}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \to \delta_{ij}^{tr}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} (\delta_{ij}-\frac{k_ik_j}{k^2})$$

then

$$\partial_i \delta^{tr}_{ij} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}(\cdot\vec{x} - \vec{y})} k_i (\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{c_i k_j^2}) = 0$$

(Institute)

So commutator is modified to,

$$[E^{i}(x,t), A_{j}(y,t)] = -i\delta^{tr}_{ij}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$$

which implies

$$[E^{i}(x,t), \ \overrightarrow{
abla} \cdot \overrightarrow{A}(y,t)] = 0$$

Now that  $A_0$  and  $\overrightarrow{
abla} \cdot \vec{A}$  commute with all operators, they must be C-number. Choose a gauge such that

$$A_0 = 0$$
 and  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$  radiation gauge

In this gauge

$$\pi^{i} = \partial^{i} A^{0} - \partial^{0} A^{i} = -\partial^{0} A^{i}$$
$$\partial_{0} A^{i}(\vec{x}, t), \ A^{j}(\vec{y}, t)] = i \delta^{tr}_{ii}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

 $\frac{\text{Mode expansion}}{\text{Equation of motion}} \quad \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ gives}$ 

$$\partial_{\nu}\left(\partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}-\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}\right)=\Box A^{\mu}-\partial^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\nu}A^{\nu}\right)=0$$

In radiaiton gauge ,

$$A_0=0, \qquad \overrightarrow{
abla}\cdot\overrightarrow{A}=0$$

wave equation becomes

 $\Box \overrightarrow{A} = 0$  massless Klein-Gordon equation

General solution

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x},t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2\omega(2\pi)^3}} \sum_{\lambda} \vec{\epsilon}(\vec{k},\lambda) [a(k,\lambda)e^{-ikx} + a^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)e^{ikx}] \qquad w = k_0 = |\vec{k}|$$

Only two degrees of freedom

$$ec{\epsilon}(k,\lambda),\lambda=1,2$$
 with  $ec{k}\cdotec{\epsilon}(k,\lambda)=0$ 

Standard choice

$$\vec{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k},\lambda)\cdot\vec{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k},\lambda')=\delta_{\lambda\lambda'},\quad \vec{\epsilon}(-\mathbf{k},1)=-\vec{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k},1),\quad \vec{\epsilon}(-\mathbf{k},2)=\vec{\epsilon}(-\mathbf{k},2)$$

2

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Solve for  $a(k, \lambda)$  and  $a^+(k, \lambda)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}(k,\lambda) &= i \int \frac{d^3x}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}} \left[ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \overleftarrow{\partial_0} \vec{\epsilon}(k,\lambda) \cdot \vec{A}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \\ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(k,\lambda) &= -i \int \frac{d^3x}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}} \left[ e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \overleftarrow{\partial_0} \vec{\epsilon}(k,\lambda) \cdot \vec{A}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Commutation relations,

$$[\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k},\lambda), \ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}',\lambda')] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta^{3}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}-\vec{\mathbf{k}}'), \quad [\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k},\lambda), \ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}',\lambda')] = \mathbf{0},$$

Hamiltonian and momentum operators are

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x : (E^2 + B^2) := \int d^3 k \omega \sum_{\lambda} a^+(k, \lambda) a(k, \lambda)$$
$$\vec{P} = \int d^3 x : E \times B := \int d^3 k \vec{k} \sum_{\lambda} a^+(k, \lambda) a(k, \lambda)$$

The vaccum is defined by

$$\mathbf{a}(ec{k},\lambda)|\mathbf{0}>=\mathbf{0}\quad orallec{k},\lambda$$

and one photon state with momentum k polarization  $\lambda$  is given by,  $a^{\dagger}(\vec{k},\lambda)|0>$ .

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Appendix 1– Simple Harmonic Oscillator

Here we review the creation and annihilation operators in the simple harmonic oscillator in one dimension. The Hamiltonian is

$$H=\frac{p^2}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\omega^2x^2$$

where for convenience we have set m = 1. Here p, x satisfy the comutation relation,

$$[x, p] = i$$

Define

$$a = \sqrt{rac{1}{2\omega}} \left(\omega x + ip
ight), \qquad a^{\dagger} = \sqrt{rac{1}{2\omega}} \left(\omega x - ip
ight)$$

The commutator is ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{a}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \begin{bmatrix} \omega x + i p, \ \omega x - i p \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

From

$$x = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}}\left(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}
ight), \qquad p = -i\sqrt{rac{\omega}{2}}\left(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}
ight)$$

we get for the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} \left( \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \right)^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2}}{2\omega} \left( \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \right)^{2} = \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

(Institute)

æ

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Using the commutation relation we can write H as

$$H = \omega \left( \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The second term here is called the zero-point energy.

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

We can compute the commutator of H with a or  $a^{\dagger}$ ,

$$[H, a] = -\omega a, \qquad \left[H, a^{\dagger}\right] = \omega a^{\dagger}$$

Suppose  $|E\rangle$  is eigenstate of Hamiltoian with eigenvalue E,

$$H|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$$

Then we get

$$\left(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}-\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{H}\right)|\boldsymbol{E}\rangle=\omega\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}|\boldsymbol{E}\rangle\,,\qquad\Longrightarrow\qquad\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}|\boldsymbol{E}\rangle\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{E}+\omega\right)\left(\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}|\boldsymbol{E}\rangle\right)$$

Thus  $a^{\dagger}$  increases the energy eigenvalue by  $\omega$  and is called **raising operator** (or **creation operator**). Similarly,

$$(\mathsf{H}\mathsf{a}-\mathsf{a}\mathsf{H})\,|\mathsf{E}\rangle=-\omega\mathsf{a}\,|\mathsf{E}\rangle\,,\qquad\Longrightarrow\qquad\mathsf{H}\,(\mathsf{a}\,|\mathsf{E}\rangle)=(\mathsf{E}-\omega)\,(\mathsf{a}\,|\mathsf{E}\rangle)$$

which implies that the operator *a* decreaes the energy eigenvalue by  $\omega$ .Since *H* is bounded below, there must exist a state with lowest energy eigen value, the ground state  $|0\rangle$ , defined by

$$|a|0\rangle = 0$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

will have energy eigen value

$$H\ket{0}=rac{1}{2}\omega\ket{0}$$

It is clear that the excited states are related to  $|0\rangle$  by the action of  $a^{\dagger}$ . For example,

$$H\left|n
ight
angle = \left(n+rac{1}{2}
ight)\omega\left|n
ight
angle$$
, where  $\left|n
ight
angle = rac{\left(a^{\dagger}
ight)^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}\left|0
ight
angle$ 

The state  $|n\rangle$  can be interpreted as state with *n* quanta, each with energy  $\omega$ . So the operator  $N = a^{\dagger}a$  is the number operator.

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

### Appendix 2–U(1) local symmetry

The free Maxwll's equations are

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0,$$
  
 $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}, \qquad \vec{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$ 

Solve the first two equations by introducing  $\overrightarrow{A}$ ,  $\phi$ 

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}, \qquad \vec{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$
 (5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Convenient to write

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}$$
 with  $F^{0i} = \partial^{0}A^{i} - \partial^{i}A^{0} = -E^{i}$ ,  $F^{ij} = \partial^{i}A^{j} - \partial^{j}A^{i} = -\epsilon_{ijk}B_{k}$ 

For a charged particle moving in electromagnetic field, the equation of motion is,

$$mrac{d^2ec{x}}{dt^2} = e\left(ec{E}+ec{v} imesec{B}
ight)$$

æ

The Lagrangian for these equations is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\overrightarrow{v}\right)^2 + e\overrightarrow{A}\cdot\overrightarrow{v} - eA_0$$

To see this, we compute the derivatives with respect to  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{v}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} = mv_i + eA_i, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = e\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i}v_j - e\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x_i}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i}\right) = m\frac{dv_i}{dt} + e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}\frac{dx_j}{dt} + e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t}$$

Euler-Lagrange equation gives

$$m\frac{dv_i}{dt} + e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}\frac{dx_j}{dt} + e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = e\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i}v_j - e\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x_i}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left(\vec{v}\times\vec{B}\right)_{i}=\varepsilon_{ijk}\,\mathbf{v}_{j}B_{k}=\varepsilon_{ijk}\,\mathbf{v}_{j}\varepsilon_{klm}\partial_{l}A_{m}=\mathbf{v}_{j}\left(\delta_{il}\delta_{jm}-\delta_{im}\delta_{jl}\right)\partial_{l}A_{m}=\mathbf{v}_{j}\left(\partial_{i}A_{j}-\partial_{j}A_{i}\right)$$

Then we get

$$m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = -e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}v_j - e\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + e\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i}v_j - e\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x_i}$$

(Institute)

Or

$$m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = e\left(\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i\right) v_j + e\left(-\partial_i A_0 - \partial_0 A_i\right) = e\left(\overrightarrow{E} + \overrightarrow{v} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right)_i$$

which is the correct equation of motion. From Lagrangian define the conjugate momentum,

$$p_i = rac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} = m v_i + e A_i, \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad v_i = rac{1}{m} \left( p_i - e A_i 
ight)$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$H = p_i v_i - L = p_i v_i - \frac{1}{2} m \left( \overrightarrow{v} \right)^2 - e \overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{v} + e A_0$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \overrightarrow{p} - e \overrightarrow{A} \right)^2 + e A_0$$

The Schrodinger equation for a charged particle moving in the electromagnetic field is,

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2m}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}-ie\overrightarrow{A}\right)^{2}+eA_{0}\right]\psi=i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}$$

This shows that it is the potentials  $\vec{A}$ ,  $A_0$ , not the  $\vec{E}$ ,  $\vec{B}$  fields show up in the Schrodinger equation. However, Schrodinger equation is not invariant under the gauge transformation,

$$A^{\mu} \longrightarrow A^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu}\alpha, \quad \text{or} \quad \overrightarrow{A} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{A} - \overrightarrow{\nabla}\alpha, \quad A_{0} \xrightarrow{} A_{0} + \partial_{0}\alpha = 0 \quad A_{$$

But it turns out that we can recover the Schrodinger equation if we also change the wave function  $\psi$  by a phase,

$$\psi \longrightarrow \psi' = e^{-ielpha}\psi$$

This can be seen as follows. Define the covariant derivative as

$$\stackrel{\rightarrow}{D}\psi = \left(\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\partial} - i e \stackrel{\rightarrow}{A}\right)\psi$$

The covariant derivative for the new fields is then,

$$\vec{D}\psi' = \left(\vec{\partial} - ie\vec{A}'\right)\psi' = e^{-ie\alpha}[\vec{\partial} - ie\vec{\nabla}\alpha - ie\left(\vec{A} - \vec{\nabla}\alpha\right)]\psi$$
$$= e^{-ie\alpha}\left(\vec{D}\psi\right)$$

So the covariant derivative  $D\psi$  transforms by a phase in the same way as the field  $\psi$ . In other words, the covariant derivative  $D = (\overrightarrow{\partial} - ie\overrightarrow{A})$  does not change the transformation property of the object it acts on. It is then easy to see that

$$\stackrel{
ightarrow}{D}^{
ightarrow}\psi'={
m e}^{-ielpha}\left(\stackrel{
ightarrow}{D}^{
ightarrow}\psi
ight)$$

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ 三ト・ ・ 三ト・

For the time derivative, we have

$$D_0\psi = (\partial_0 + ieA_0)\psi$$

and

$$D_0\psi'=e^{-ielpha}\left(\partial_0+ie\partial_0lpha-ieA_0-ie\partial_0lpha
ight)\psi=e^{-ielpha}D_0\psi$$

With this phase transformation, the Schrodinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2m}\left(\vec{\nabla}-ie\vec{A}'\right)^2+eA_0'\right]\psi'=i\frac{\partial\psi'}{\partial t}$$

becomes

$$e^{-ie\alpha}\left[-\frac{1}{2m}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}-ie\overrightarrow{A}\right)^{2}+eA_{0}\right]\psi=e^{-ie\alpha}i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}$$

After cancelling out the phase  $e^{-ie\alpha}$ , we get back the original Schrodinger equation. The phase transformation of the wave function is a symmetry transformation and is a local symmetry because  $\alpha = \alpha \left( \vec{x}, t \right)$ . The phase transformation in usually referred to as U(1) transformation and we call the electromagnetic possesses U(1) local symmetry.